# István Lázár

TRANSYLVANIA

A SGORT GISTORY

Edited by Andrew L Simon

Copy right © 1996 by István Lázár

Original Hungarian ti tle: Erdély rövid története. Bu da pest: Korvina, 1997.

English trans la tion by Thomas J. de Kornfeld

Li brary of Con gress Card Number:

ISBN: 1-931313-21-0

Distributed by Ingram Book Company

Printed by Light ning Source Inc. La Vergne, TN

Pub lished by Si mon Pub li ca tions, P.O. Box 321, Safety Har bor, FL

# **Contents**

Contents 3
Prologue 1
Transylvania is Far from Mes o po ta mia 5
Who Were The Dacians and What Be came of Them? 11
The Pe riod of the Great Mi grations 19
The Scourge of Eu rope 25
Rex and Dux, Mines and Bor der Guards 33
How Does it Hap pen that Three is Really Four? 39
The Tearful Chronicle 49
Ra ven on High 59
The Remainder 69
A Peculiar, Peculiar Little Country 79
Transylvania in World Politics 87
Cast Onto the Pe riph ery 103
The Fight for Free dom, the Compromise, Du alism 121
Down fall and Pun ish ment 141
Since Then 149
Epilogue 171
Geo graphic Names, Then and Now

"How many people have ever heard of the Szekelys of Transylvania? Yet there are over 800,000 of them, all en titled to a place in the sun and all capa ble of making trouble if it is de nied to them." — Wal ter Lippmann: *The Stakes of Diplomacy*, New York: Holt, 1915.

### **Prologue**

Transylvania, with its deep val leys sur rounded by a cor o net of peaks, its wide bas ins and high lands, pine for ests and the Al pine mead ows at the feet of im pos ing gla ciers; with its salt mines al ready worked in pre history, with its gold gath ered since Neo lithic times from veins in its rocks and from the wa ters of its streams; with its re fresh ing, acidic, wine-like, nat u rally car bon ated springs, Transylvania, a small area in the lap of the East ern and South ern Carpathians, a coun try on the east ern most edge of Central Europe. Even though it was approached early by Eastern Orthodoxy emanating from Byzantium, its Christianity is basically west ern. Initially the Roman ritual was pre dominant but later it be came the bas tion of European Protestantism.

Transylvania, this land pro tected by its moun tains but ac ces si ble by its passes and open valleys, was overrun, ravished, conquered and re-conquered. It was the his toric ap ple of Eris be tween its original inhabitants and the conquering Hungarians, between the Hungarians and the Turks, be tween the Turks and the Aus trian Habsburgs, be tween the Austrian Habsburgs and the Hungarians and be tween the Hungarians and the Romanians. Transylvania is the land of a remarkable people whose lan guage is Hun gar ian, but who are distinctly Székely<sup>1</sup>, and who consider themselves descendants of the Huns. According to the legends of their or i gin, they are the long awaited children of Prince Csaba, one of At tila's sons, who came along the High way of the Armies, the Milky Way of the Heavens. This myth of their national origin is well-appreciated in the other parts of Hun gary as well, but it is no where as strong as here. The dilemma of their true or igin can not be dis cussed here, but it should be men tioned that their cen tu ries-long role as guardians of the bor ders can be doc u mented not only in Transylvania. There were Székelys along the no-man's lands separating nations and coun-

1 Pronounced as *say-kaiy*. The common English usage is "Sekler".

#### KOCO STROM

, TSMASM 9 IFM SHMSH , SHMSH 914 $\mu$ T for . 19 NK+MS 1578A , 2018 ARESERSA

PPHCOOTI ISOEO RCHATO BTCY P ITOAP, CSREO XPHTPCP I NPLAC VECAENSC.

OCTOCO PRI PHI PPI IPRICH CAXCHTYPP, (C XP++ CAMCICT CH+COY TAYCCCB!

#### The Székely An them in runic char ac ters.

tries in the southern and west ern Transdanubia<sup>2</sup>, near the foothills of the Alps, in Pannonia, next to the southern Slav-German (Austrian-German) eth nic groups, as well as in the north, along the con tempo rary Slovakian-Ruthenian (Carpatho-Ukrainian) bor der. The origin, history and fate of the Csángó-Hungarians, who were pushed beyond the Carpathians and who were there slowly broken up, is a his torical question, allied to that of the Székelys. Their remnants, mired in Moldavia, still use a me di eval Hungarian, just as though some hid den, de tached frag ment of a Serb or German pop u la tion had kept old Slavic or Teu tonic alive in their daily speech.

Transylvania is the native land of independent, towering individuals. This is whence Sándor Kõrösi Csoma started out toward the East, searching for the original home of the Hungarians and ma rooned in a mountain monastery in Tibet, uncovered the secrets of the Tibetan lan guage, pre vi ously un known in the West. It was in Transylvania that the son of Farkas Bólyai, János Bólyai, spent most of his life and "cre-

In this area in 1998 archeologists found Székely runic writing on a bellows mouth-piece used in a 10th century iron smelter.

ated a new world out of nothing" by in depend ently de lineating absolute geometry, anticipating most force fully Einstein's theory of relativity. It was this land that Count Samu Teleki, the passionate hunter and explorer returned to from Africa, the only Hungarian traveler whose name is associated with the discovery of large tracts of "terra in cognita". All were remark able eccentrics, native geniuses of the for ests and the crags.

Transylvania was an independent principality for barely 150 years and yet, in 1568, at the Diet in Torda, the as sembled representatives enacted into law the principle of religious freedom, un precedented in Europe at that time and for very many years thereafter. For the readers and movie-goers in Eu rope and around the world, Transylvania is the se cret and mysterious refuge of Dracula, the monster hiding in the blood-stained os su ary of a casemated cas tle among the light ning-torn, ghost-ridden mountains. We consider Dracula as a specter born of a dis eased imag i na tion, and that is ex actly what he is, al though there are traces of a his toric model for his existence. In one of the most beautiful Székely bal lads, the ma sons were un able to keep the walls of Déva castle from crumbling until they drained the unresisting wife of mason Kelemen of all her blood, burned her lily-white body and mixed her ashes with the mor tar. Then and only then would the stones hold and the walls rise. Béla Bartók drew many of his ideas from Transylvanian, Hungarian and Romanian folklore. His opera, "Bluebeard's Castle", with all of Bluebeard's former wives immured in their rooms, takes place among the moun tains of Transylvania. One thing is cer tain: the soil of Transylvania has always produced more myths than wheat. Among the fate ful storms of his tory and in the fre quent famines, only a peo ple having a rich and vivid imagination could sur vive. In the recent past, Transylvania again became the center of a fiction that must be clas si fied as a myth. The Ro ma nian eth nic group, late in de vel op ing into a nation and into a realm, based its national pride on its mid-Balkan roots and made the hypothesis of the continuous evolution of its Daco-Roman de scent not only a part of, but the ac tual ba sis of its national and popularide ology.

The bor ders of Transylvania can be de ter mined ac curately by the ge og raphy of its mountains and rivers, both his torically and administratively. Politically and eth ni cally, how ever, in the present Ro mania, these borders are more un cer tain, more vague and in fact are forcibly ob scured and eliminated. For a millen nium the early Slavic and other nation alities were accommodated roughly in this sequence—Hungarian, Saxon, and Romanian people. Even though there were numerous conflicts among them, they co ex isted so that again and again there was hope for tolerance and for a joint development so essential for mutual advantage. Yet, in this cen tury and, partic u larly, during the sec ond half of this cen tury, there was a sharp in crease in the Ro ma nian en deav ors to ward the complete as similation or annihilation of the Hungarian, Saxon and other extra-Transylvanian Roma nian na tion al i ties. This cre ated a se rious cri sis af fect ing all of Eu rope. Transylvania was called a "Fairy Garden" and was con sid ered an experiment in the his tory of East-Central Europe. In fact, more frequently, it was a small but threatening, inflamed and pu ru lent wound on the body that was Eu rope.

## Transylvania is Far from Mesopotamia

It is easy to draw Transylvania's nat u ral geo graphic bound aries. The region lies in the mighty em brace of the crests of the east ern and southern Carpathians. It be gins in the north at the sources of the river Tisza and extends in the south to that stretch of the Danube which once again flows in an easterly direction, and which by snug gling up to the south ern most tip of the Carpathians, sep a rates the Carpathian and Balkan moun tain sys tems. Its West ern bound aries are formed by the rivers flowing toward the center of the Carpathian basin. They emerge among their own detritus from the valleys of the central, isolated Transylvanian mountains, and both to the north and south of these mountains from the Carpathian ranges. Thus, it is enclosed on two sides by moun tains, tra versed only by nearly in trac table passes, and on the third side by rivers and, for merly, ex ten sive marshy ar eas. This conception of Transylvania as a geo graphic en tity is cur rently widely accepted in Hungary. It is inaccurate and, more importantly, not his tor i cally cor rect. The term Transylvania may be used to day to de fine three dis tinct ter ri to rial en ti ties. There is a geo graphic Transylvania. It has an ideal shape and is a geographically homogenous basin surrounded by well-defined mountain ranges with an area of approximately 22,000 square miles, a bit less than the surface area of Lake Michigan. We can talk about a his toric Transylvania with a variable area, which in the 17th cen tury, as an in depend ent principality, ex tended far be youd the bound aries of the geo graphic Transylvania. The at tached ar eas were re ferred to as Partium. This Partium shifted back and forth between the Kingdom of Hungary and the Principality of Transylvania. The third Transylvania is the area that was as signed to Roma nia by the peace trea ties of the 1920s, and which to day still forms a part of Romania. This area is larger by 17,760 square miles than the geographic Transylvania and encompasses a total of 39,768 square miles.

The geographic Transylvania has magnificent natural boundaries. In the east and south we find the con tin u ous 5,000 - 6,500 feet high walls of the Carpathians, while in the west there is the mas sive block of the Bihar Moun tains. This bas tion is traversed by three wide, easily pass able gates, all three of them pointing to ward the west, to ward the Hungarian Great Plains. They are the gate of the Szamos valley, the Meszes gate leading to the Berettyó re gion, and the gate of the Maros valley. The Carpathians, and the Bihar Moun tains are tra versed only by a few narrow passes, across extensive, poorly pop u lated ar eas. In the lap of the great moun tains there is a cen tral ba sin, the Mezőség, and a hilly area frag mented by rivers, the Küküllő re gion. There is also a whole range of small, pe riph eral, moun tain ous bas ins among the ranges of the East ern and South ern Carpathians, and in their foot hills. Out side of the his toric Transylvania, there is a wide segment of the Hungarian Great Plains, given to Ro ma nia in the 1920s, now also referred to as Transylvania and extending from the plains to the watershed along the crest of the moun tains. This region does not consist of adjacent, compatible parts and each part has a nat u ral af fin ity to ward a dif fer ent area of the Great Plains.

At this point, how ever, we have ad vanced far be yond our selves. As we turn to the begin nings of the his toric devel op ment of Transylvania, let us re turn to the nat u ral geo graphic con sid er ations. Transylvania's valleys are in some places only 650 - 1,000 feet above the sea level, while the sur rounding and cen tral peaks rise to heights of 5,000 to 8,200 feet. Its climate is determined by its low average temperature and by relatively copious precipitation. This favored a hunting and grazing economy, while it was less fa vor able to agriculture. The latter is also limited by the con tours of the land and by the rel a tive poverty of the soil.

According to the earliest archeological findings, in ancient times Transylvania was a well circumscribed area, occasionally bypassed by ethnic and economic movements, but in which external forces or set tlements, produced a transient but specific internal cultural environment, and led to tangible progress. Yet everything that can be found in Transylvania to day and that can be sub sumed under the heading of pre-

history, is not sufficiently specific or detailed to warrant inclusion in this brief summary. It may suffice to say that the Neo lithic evo lution, which showed marked Mediterranean influences, suffered repeated and marked stops and regressions. Even though the do mestication of an imals did take place, hunting and the consumption of game was still significant. This can be easily explained by the environment. (It may be mentioned here, that very many years later the last European bisons and aurochs in the Carpathian basin were killed in Transylvania, and that the Carpathian brown bear can still be found in the for ests.)

The his toric spot light shone, al beit briefly, on this region after the discovery of the famous artifacts in Lower-Tatárlaka, which showed pictographic writing and which were dated to 4000 B.C. It may be as sumed logically that the local evolution in the Transylvanian area at that time led the inhabitants to a level of specialization and social stratification that required a system of permanent, written means of communication, and thus the introduction of writing. The Tatárlaka tab lets are not unique. Their interpretation is supported by other pictograms dating to the same period, which had never been viewed in this light, and which suggest the evolution of a high civilization, extensive both in space and time and centered on the Vinca-Tordos Culture located in the Banat - South ern Transylvania region.

What is there in this period of the Transylvanian Neolithic age—already leaning toward the metal and early Bronze Age—which would per mit that the Tatárlaka writ ten tab lets be in ter preted as be ing in dic a tive of an early, high civ i li za tion? We en croach here on an enor mously com plex prob lem. Is the Transylvanian Neo lithic cul ture the re sult of an in de pend ent evo lu tion, or is it in sep a rable from the Mediter ranean Fer tile Cres cent evo lu tion? In any case, it rep re sents the existence of an as ton ishingly ma ture early Bal kan metal cul ture.

In the wide-ranging and complicated archaeological debate dealing with as yet in soluble chronological dilem mas and arguing whether the evolution of the various early cultures was in dependent or interdependent, whether they developed in iso lation or whether they learned and

borrowed from each other, one thing appears to be certain. The advanced Bal kan metal cul ture pro duced gold and elec tron (gold-silver) mas ter pieces, found in the Varna area since 1972, which in their sum total equal the esthetic and historic significance of the Tutankhamen trea sure or of pre-Columbian gold. It could not have de vel oped without either ex ten sive ex ploi ta tion of the Aegean or Transylvanian metal ores and the ex por ta tion of the precious met als from the mines to the heart land of the Bal kans. We be lieve that Transylvania was the source of these ores. Yet, even if the ores came from the Aegean, the his tory of Transylvania shows that this area served as the source of dis cord for a variety of peo ples, and that this was due pri marily to the salt mines and to the mining of cer tain met als, namely gold, sil ver and, most im portantly, cop per, which can be dated back to the Neo lithic era.

The great step forward documented by the Tatárlaka findings was, however, only tempo rary, and the spec u la tions linking Transylvania to Sumeria are with out foundaa tion, as is the idea that Transylvania was the cradle of Sumerian civilization, and that the native "pre-Hungarian" peo ple were the sires of the civilization in which the pre his tory of man was turned into the his tory of hu man ity. This "the ory" was de vel oped and prop a gated as the completely errone ous Hungarian an swer and as a spite ful re action to the equally fan tastic Romanian hypothesis of the Daco-Roman continuity. The further, sometimes slow, sometimes more vig or ous, but never complete ex change of populations was the at times peaceful, at times violent fusion of migrating peoples who belong to a his toric frame work in which even the name of the tribes is unknown. The neighboring and se quential cultures can be sep a rated only on the basis of certain in dicators of their ethnicity, found in their burial grounds. It should be mentioned, however, that when the extensive Bodrog-Keresztúr culture, preferring the less wooded areas, was expanding toward Transylvania, even though the natural environment was not favorable for it, the motivation for this expansion is clearly shown by its use of cop per, which was high est in the set tle ments closest to Transylvania and least in the set tle ments far thest from it.

Over the years, eastern pastoral tribes repeatedly invaded the Late-Neolithic and the cop per and Bronze Age peo ple of this region. The animal husbandry of these tribes was also a Neolithic achievement, but rep resented a less effective production of food than that of the early agriculturists. The belligerence and mobility of these tribes temporarily overshad owed the advantages of an agricultural economy. There was also a time when these pastoral people completely overwhelmed the devel opers of the Transylvanian ore mines, and the latter with drew from their set tle ments to caves in the mountains.

# Who Were The Dacians and What Became of Them?

During its prehistory, Transylvania never had a homogenous population and was divided into smaller, temporarily isolated areas. It was about 2,500 years ago that the first so ci ety ap peared which, based on its burial customs and other re mains, seems to have in hab ited the en tire Transylvanian re gion, and for which we can find a name. The find ings in di cate that these peo ple were re lated to the Scythians. Herodotos refers to them under the name of Agathursos. During their ex pan sion, they even ap peared on the east ern edge of the Great Plains. They also con tinued the Transylvanian tra di tion and had an ad vanced metal culture, which is no lon ger con sid ered to be long to the Bronze Age. The Agathursos sup plied the peo ple sur round ing them with iron weap ons. They be came fu gi tives during the fourth and third cen tu ries, vic tims of the ar rival and ter ri to rial con quests of the Celts.

Following the tran sient do min ion of the Celts and in spite of the permanent residence of many of their people, the Dacian era of Transylvania and of a significant portion of the Carpathian basin had arrived. It is a particularly difficulterato discuss. Every thing connected with them be longs to the highly sensitive area of the pre his tory of the Romanian people and of modern Romania. From a Hungarian perspective, this fact makes this en tire matter a delicate and highly controversialis sue.

The prehistory and origin of these people, who came from Thrace, who slowly ad vanced from the Bal kans north ward and who had ac tive and lasting contacts with the Greeks, remain obscure and much debated. This hap pens to be true for most Euro pean nations. The gene sis of their Neo-Latin language is a peculiar and specific problem. They presumably infiltrated into Transylvania primarily from the Great Plains area of the Carpathian basin, although their "conquest" may have originated from several different areas simultaneously.

Dacian society itself was internally sharply divided into two groups. The elite group, the "cap wearers" or more accurately the "Fur Hat People" were the aris too racy, which lived in mountain for tresses, well supplied with expensive imported Greek goods. Their subjects, the "Longhaired Peo ple" had their poorer and more defense less dwellings in the open country. The outstanding personality among the Dacians was King Burebista, who ruled for as much as four decades during the first half of the first century B.C.. The foundations for his strong administrative organization and stormy conquests may have been laid down by his father. This is similar to Hungarian his tory where (Saint) Stephen I only completed the initiatives of his father, the great Prince Géza, and yet Stephen is considered as the founding father of the country.

Un der Burebista Dacian rule ex tended far be yond Transylvania. In the east it reached the Greek cities along the Black Sea. In the west, it extended to Transdanubia and to parts of the area of the present Slovakia. In the south, it encompassed Macedonia and the Adriatic. Thus, about half a cen tury be fore the birth of Christ, the Ro man Empire had to view the Dacian Empire as its greatest foe in the Balkans. Yet this empire, which very rapidly con quered a large number of tribal groups, was just as fragile as many other power ful or ganizations of antiquity.

The first ma jor con fron ta tion be tween Rome and the Dacians should have oc curred during the rules of Caesar and Burebista. The situation was ripe for it. Both rulers, how ever, were eliminated by a political conspiracy and "regicide". The showdown between the two powers, Dacian and Roman, was critical for the control of the vital Mid dle and Lower Danubian space, and could thus be only de layed but not ignored. The causes and conduct of the conspiracy against Julius Caesar are well known from Roman his toriography. Burebista's fate is much harder to elucidate. He most probably fell victim to his great est ac complishment, the unification of the Dacian tribes, which in evitably led to the curbing of the jealously guarded pre rog a tives of the tribal lead ers. (*Nota bene*, Burebista's ad min is trative prob lems may have been similar in many re-

spects to the *problems* encountered 1000 years later by the Hungarian Stephen.)

The rapid disintegration of the Dacian Empire following the mur der of its char is matic leader does not mean that we no longer have to be concerned with the Dacians. Rome, much beset by problems, slowly but consistently proceeded in strengthening its position in the northern Balkans and in East-Central Europe to ensure the flanks of its East ern conquests, which now extended to Mesopotamia. Heading north ward from Illyricum, it brought the Pannonian tribes under its rule, encompassing all of Pannonia, which corresponds to the entire present Hungarian Transdanubia. In a north east erly direction it moved to ward the Iron Gate in or der to even tually control the entire lower reaches of the Danube. During this period it preferred to live in peace with the Dacians, rather than fighting them. In or der to main tain this peace, it made major financial sacrifices and of fered and provided technical assistance as well.

It is im por tant to digress at this point and to men tion the un usu ally significant changes, which took place at this time of continuous national dislocations, in the lap of the Carpathian basin, in the Great Plains. This area was in fil trated from the north by Sarmatian tribes who set tled this region per ma nently, ruling over and min gling with the lo cal Celts, Dacians and other mi nor groups. This new Sarmatahome land in evita bly be came a buffer zone be tween the rul ers of Pannonia in the west and of Transylvania in the east. This was true even on those oc ca sions when the Sarmates them selves ac cepted and earned Ro man pay as, for in stance, when they built the "ditch and dike" Ro man de fense sys tem which spanned the entire Great Plains and was known as the Devil's Ditch. At other times, how ever, ei ther in de pend ently, or in league with the Transylvanian Dacians, they en dan gered the peace of Pannonia and re peat edly struck across the Danubian fron tier. Af ter the cen tury-long frag men ta tion, which followed the murder of Burebista, a new and eminent Dacian leader, Decebalus, who ruled from 80 to 106 A.D., again united the tribes of his na tion. Thus—seen in the clear light of ret rospection—it appears that the prep a rations of the Romans against the

Dacians were delayed for too long. The Roman sacrifices, made for tem po rary peace, had been to tally use less. It is a fact that shortly after his appear ance in the 80s, Decebalus's armies in flicted several humiliating defeats on the Romans. The new Dacian ruler could black mail the Romans and the revenues of such black mail further strength ened him and his rule. It was only in 101-102 that the great Dacian campaign of Trajan reversed the Romans' for tunes of war. The Dacian power, recently so expansive, was stopped, with drew and was forced on the defensive, at least temporarily. To in sure the supplies for his legions and for the security of his logistic organizations, Trajan built the first permanent bridge across the Dan ube at the present Turnu-Severin. This facilitated the definitive victory of the new, 105-106 A.D. campaign.

Even though we don't share all the current Romanian enthusiasm for him, Decebalus was clearly an out standing figure of this age. The fact that an enor mous amount of gold, hid den during his time, was found, partly already in Roman times and also very much later, may perhaps lead to the not unwarranted conclusion that if Decebalus had not hoarded and hidden his gold, but had used it to increase his military strength and to buy allies, the Dacian campaigns of Trajan may have turned out quite differ ently.

On the other hand, the Dacian king could be described as a "Roman char ac ter". He knew well the fate of the loser. He knew that he would be taken to Rome by the vic to ri ous le gion naires like a cap tured an imal, and there dragged along in the tri um phal march in front of the hys terical multitudes. For rea sons un known, he could not es cape the pur suing Ro man mounted troops and on their ar rival, he killed him self. It was only his head that they could take to Rome.

The Provincia Dacia was es tab lished in 107 A.D. This Ro man oc cu pation, pro truding into the present Transylvania, or rather into its natural geo graphic unit from be low, fell far short of filling up the entire east ern bay of the Carpathians. Its borders on the Great Plains only in the South west. The North east ern part of Transylvania, the up per Tisza region was not in cluded. And, although the Romans used the Carpathians

in the east as a line of de fense, it was not the crest that they used, but an in terior line. The south ern bor der of the province was provided largely by the lower Dan ube. This bor der was of less im por tance, since here the province abuts on the neighbouring Moesia Provincia.

Dacia Provincia—later di vided into smaller com po nents—was in ex istence for barely more than 250 years. How significant is this period? What hap pened during this time, and what be came of the Dacians? According to the Daco-Roman Continuity the ory, the Romanian people, speaking the Neo-Latin language and forming a majority of the population living in present day Romania, are the direct descendants of the ethnic Dacians who be came Romanized in the Dacia Provincia. The Dacians, conquered and sub missive at the time of Trajan, quickly made Roman culture their own and remained in place after the with drawal of Rome. Their descendants still live there and have moved but lit tle with time.

As far as Romanization is concerned, the Romanians fos ter the concept by claim ing that during the two great cam paigns of Trajan, a substantial number of the Dacians of fered no real resistance. This would explain the sudden collapse of the previously triumphant and clever Decebalus. They seem to have anticipated the new status and culture that Rome of fered to those who submitted voluntarily in a new province. It was this surrender that created the opportunity to accept the blessings of the advanced Roman civilization. Every thing, that is subsumed by the single word, Romanization.

The counter-arguments are weighty. Trajan's troops had to fight long and bloody bat tles to make the es tab lish ment of Dacia Provincia pos sible. Fur ther more, the Ro man rule was never as complete and per va sive in Transylvania, where the geographic configurations favored the defenders, as it was in the gently rolling hill country of Pannonia. It is also pos sible that while the upper crusts of the Dacians, the "Fur Hat People" suffered severe losses during the fighting, the "Longhairs" be came a Dacian subject people to the Romans. It is also possible that some of the Transylvanian mountain strongholds never came under Roman

rule. These small spots sur vived Dacia Provincia, or, at least a sub stantial por tion of its ex is tence.

The eth nic and spir i tual Romanization, which must be assumed as an essential component of the Daco-Roman continuity theory, did not take place even where Roman sov er eignty, he ge mony and cul tural in fluence were much stronger and where the local resistance was much weaker both ini tially and later—in Pannonia, for in stance where, compared to Dacia, Ro man rule lasted two to two and a half times as long and was main tained for al most half a millen nium. The local Pannonian and Celt pop u la tions barely re sisted the Romans ini tially, and later on, there were no outbreaks against the Roman rule, such as were fomented re peat edly by the Dacians in their own ter ri tory.

If we were writing the his tory of the Ro ma nian people and of the Romanian "National State", we could list numerous arguments why so many Romanians should consider the Daco-Roman relations and the emphasison continuity, sological and indeed in evitable, both politically and psychologically. In addition, this theory is strengthened by the many Latin elements in the Romanian language. On the other hand, the precise findings provided by archeological excavations hardly serve to support the continuity hypothesis. Although psychologically weighty, this theory of national identity and occupation by "his toric rights" is legally just as inconsequential, and worth exactly as little as the declarations on the Hungarian side which claim that the Carpathian basin is our "Hun in her i tance" and that we had occupied it at the time of the Árpádian con quest as direct de scen dants of At tila's Huns...

Significant eth nic changes ap peared early in Dacia Provincia. The fact that Ro man vet er ans be gan to set tle the land very rap idly, points to an optimis tic at titude. The fact that large numbers of people moved in for the exploitation of the gold mines suggests that the precious metal supplies in Transylvania—in the absence of any data from the Dacian times—had again become a valuable asset. These new settlements, however, did not fulfill the earlier expectations. They did not bring peace to the area. The up risings suggest that the complete pacification

of the Dacians was not achieved in spite of the Romans' considerable military superiority. In fact, the area became even less secure for the Romans, particularly when internal uprisings coincided with attacks from the outside. Finally, in the middle of the third century, the Romans yielded Dacia to the Goths. This shortened their overly long border, (*limes*) which was subject to numerous assaults and freed troops, very much in de mand in other ar eas.

For us, the fate and problems of the Roman Empire, weighty though they may be, are of less in ter est. We are much more in ter ested in those who—perhaps—stayed in place. Is it possible to assume the Daco-Roman Con ti nu ity on the basis of what we know about them? We will try to approach this problem from two sides. One is the appearance of the Neo-Latin people. This can be seen only within the original patrimony of the Roman Empire and even there only consider ably later than the cession of Dacia. The second approach is more direct. It evaluates the local events on the basis of the changes that took place in Transylvania at that time and which can be properly documented.

The Roman withdrawal from Dacia was followed by a reasonably peace ful time. By then, how ever, wars and epidemics have made significant in roads into the lo cal pop u la tion. This made it pos si ble for the departing Romans to take a major portion of the remaining in habit ants with them—pri mar ily those most closely al lied with them—and set tle them within the bound aries of the new bor ders. The for mer Dacia was left as the spoils, battle ground and living space to the Goths, Carps, Sarmatians, Gepids and Vandals. The complete excavation of some contemporary cemeteries could irrevocable prove—or disprove—the con tin ued sur vival of a "Romanized Dacian pop u la tion". We know of no such ex ca va tion in con tem po rary Ro ma nia. It must be noted that in the Latin Dacian inscriptions we find that the majority of names are Oriental rather than Latin (Italian). Perhaps Christian inroads had already be gun un der the Ro man rule. In Pannonia we have evidence of episcopal sees, shortly after the Roman occupation. Such evidence from Dacia is lack ing. Even more dam aging is the almost complete absence of place names of Latin origin in the area of present Transylvania. Rome is re mem bered only by the name of some rivers. (The re cently in tro duced place names—e.g., Cluj-Napoca—have been re vived ar ti fi cially after an in ter val of al most 2000 years.

What then was the fate of the Dacians? Those who re mained in the old Dacia Provincia, dis ap peared in the great melt ing pot of the great migra tions. Those, who moved to ward the south and south west were assimilated by the hot-blooded people of the Balkans. After the dissolution of Dacia Provincia, we hear practically nothing about contemporary Dacians during the following three to four centuries. This is not at all surprising. Just the opposite! Many people and ethnic groups of the Great Migra tions continued their biologic existence only by giving up their for mer in dividuality. Their units and groups lose their identity or rather gain a new one. This is not their tri umph or their shame; this is as it should be in an or derly progression in na ture and his tory.

Then, if not descendants of the Dacians, who are the Romanians? Whence and when did they come and set tle in the for mer lands of the Dacians—or, at least, on part of that land? It is a much later story, which be gins some where else and we will re turn to it at the proper place and time.

## The Period of the Great Migrations

When look ing at Transylvania af ter the Romans left Dacia and be fore the Hun gar i ans set tled there—the for mer took place around 271 and the lat ter af ter 896—it must be empha sized that just as Dacia Provincia did not cover the entire geographic unit referred to later as Transylvania, the changes in populations and governments described for these six centuries also did not affect the entire area of Transylvania, nor its entire population. Thus, the changes could be both consecutive and parallel. It is not possible, nor is it necessary, in this book to fol low all these changes in de tail ei ther geo graph i cally or temporally.

We know of a brief Carp in ter lude but fol low ing this, the above mentioned Goth occupation was both widespread and long-lasting, so much so that the Visigoths were occasionally referred to as Sylvan Goths be cause of the set tle ment of this group in the for ested parts of Transylvania. Con trary to their name, how ever, and to their rep u ta tion as no mads breed ing large herds of cat tle and horses, these peo ple primar ily set tled in the most fer tile parts of Transylvania, where they led an agricultural existence. They became familiar with Christianity, thanks to Arianmissionaries.

When the Hun forces in creased their drive to ward the west, they first defeat the Ostrogoths and then destroyed the main forces of the Visigoth chief tain Athanaric (376). The rem nants of the Visigoths first fled to their breth ren in Transylvania, but later the en tire Visigoth population sought the protection of Rome and, following the tracks of the Dacians, re treated be hind the east ern bound aries of the Roman Empire.

The Goth period of Transylvania was a period of destruction. They didn't use the Roman buildings and allowed them to fall into decay. Their en tire way of life —be cause or in spite of their ag ri cul tur ist nature —was much simpler than what was typical of the ear lier Dacia

Provincia. Yet this was only the beginning of the decay that followed the departure of the Romans.

Be fore the Huns, re spon si ble for the larg est mi gra tions of peo ples of these times, could them selves take over the reign of this area, there was an in ter lude of sev eral events as so ci ated with the Gepids. The Gepids who were blood re la tions of the Ger manic Visigoths, were also east ern Germanic and came down from the region of the Vistula. The most noteworthy part of their rich archeological material is the famous Szilágysomlyó trea sure. Its own ers bur ied it and later lacked the op portu nity of re cov er ing it. From this we may spec u late on the fate of the Gepid leadership during the times of the Huns and assume that this peo ple had lost its en tire rul ing class, at least for a while.

between 420-450, During the decades certain parts Transylvania—primarily along the Maros and in the valleys of the South ern Carpathians—with their cool for ests rich in game, served the Hun lead ers as sum mer quar ters. To ward the north, the Gepids, un der new leaders appointed by the Huns and subject to the Huns, gained new strength. Soon, their foot sol diers be came the main and most impor tant aux il iary force of the Hun forces and served un der At tila all the way to the fate ful bat tle of Catalaunum. This is a fa miliar sce nario. The in ner strength of a de feated peo ple leads it to a new flow ering in such a plas tic and complex eth nic power struc ture as the Hun Empire and system. Ardaric, the king im posed upon the Gepids by the Huns, standing at the helm of the united ar mies of the peoples of the Dan ube ba sin just two years after At tila's death (453) gains vic to ries against those who had el e vated him to the king ship. The Gepid king dom es tab lished and ex panding after these events, ruled for more than a century over an area larger than Transylvania or the for mer Dacia Provincia. Dur ing this period, the Dacians and other splin ter groups, who re treated be hind the Ro man bor ders, were forced to move fur ther west-southwest from the Carpathians.

All this coincided with the Merovingian ep och in Eu rope. The name, originally that of a dynasty, also signifies a level of devel opment which

reached far beyond the actual realm of the Merovingians and which per me ated all ways of life. What does this mean? It means pri mar ily the re ver sal of the eco nomic de cline fol low ing the dis so lu tion of the homogenous Roman civilization. It also means some improvements in productivity and a new form of urbanization. The center of this Merovingian development was ruled by the Franks, and this central area ex tended in the west to the At lan tic. In the east, there was no sharp line of de mar cation, but it extended in a wide arc over a peripheral area, easy to trace all the way to the for mer Pannonia. East of here the limits of the pe riph ery ex tended all the way to the east ern end of Transylvania and demonstrated the indirect but characteristic effects of the Merovingian evolution. Be yond Transylvania this evolution, which had shaped much of Europe, did not have even an indirect effect. The newly independent Gepid Kingdom, which extended well beyond Transylvania to the center of the adja cent Great Plains cre ated a century-long solid stability, which demon strated to several generations the value of rap proche ment and at tach ment to Eu rope. This is am ply documented by the Gepid royal tombs from this period and by other graves rich in ar ti facts.

In the meantime, displaced from their original home in Central Asia and under pressure from the Turkic tribes, a new nation, capable of creating a dominant concentration of power, appeared on the scene. The Avars begin a fan tas tic "reel" around the Carpathian ba sin. They first ap peared by the lower Dan ube, but when they found there nei ther an op por tu nity for set tle ment nor a pos si bil ity to pro ceed to ward the south, they marched around the Carpathians to the north to the Elbe, where the Franks forced them back.

Subsequently, they tried again to es tab lish a foot hold, in deed we may say, a con quest along the lower Dan ube. Be ing again un suc cess ful, they once again cir cled the Carpathians to ward the north and pen e trated as far as Thüringia. Here, their path was blocked again by the Franks, but now the Franks of fered an all i ance. Not only their own, but also that of the Longobards allied with them.

It is of interest concerning these future founders of Lombardy in northern Italy, that their movements in our space are known "from min ute to min ute"—an oc cur rence ex tremely rare at this pe riod. They arrived on the soil of Pannonia in 546 and they left for the south 22 years later, at Easter of 568. As late ar riv als, they were initially ad ver saries of the Gepids. The lat ter were sur rounded by en e mies on all sides: Byzantium, the Slavs infiltrating from the north, the still wandering Avars, and now the Longobards, who had suddenly occupied the course of the Danube from the west. The fate of the Gepids was sealed, but the peo ple was even now not en tirely ex ter mi nated. Its survival can be seen in a num ber of ar eas, but the Gepid king dom was finished. Not much later, the Gepid remnants were assimilated and disappeared.

The sce nario is plain. The en e mies of the Gepids "gener ously" of fered the land of these peo ple to the Avars. They killed two birds with one stone: they rid themselves of the war like Gepids and of fered the opportunity to settle down to the equally feared, bellicose Avars. It was from them that the Hungarians, even more feared at a future date, learned—still in Asia—the use of the stir rups. This en abled them to sit their horses much more securely, shoot their arrows more accurately, attack as a compact cav alry unit—and turn around and flee if nec essary.

Trading Avars for Gepids? A dubious exchange it was. An already Europeanized nation was replaced in the heart of the easily defensible Carpathian basin by the Avars, fresh from Asia with Asiatic élan and Asiatic temperament. This was very much appreciated by the Longobards who, in spite of the jointly gained victory, im me diately saw the advantages of departing from the Carpathian basin. Their departure was followed by Avar suzerainty over this area, lasting almost a quarter of a millen nium (567-827).

Initially, Transylvania had little appeal to the Avars, who were still engaged in a primarily Asiatic type of an imal hus bandry. They set tled here in small numbers, leaving room to set tle for the Slavs and for a series of

sub se quent Turkic waves. In this re gion the late Avar set tle ments and gravesites, dat ing to the sec ond half of the Avar Empire, are even more rare. Yet there is much uncer tainty in all this, particularly in the his tory of the set tle ments. The excavations are sparse and their as sess ment is much in fluenced by all that is in volved in the unfortunate Daco-Roman Continuity hypothesis. When the study of the ethnicity of a former population of a region and of their entire so cial structure is per me ated, debated and distorted by politico-ideological considerations, the threads of his torical as sess ment be come hope lessly entangled.

We have al ready men tioned the slow, grad ual "per co la tion", rather than in va sion, of the Slavs into this region. This was directed initially southward, more to ward the Bal kans. The Slavs went from the north "to ward the sun". When this progress was impeded, they encircled the Carpathians. It was only later that they pen e trated into the Avar ter ritory, principally across the wooded peripheral areas, which had been very sparsely in hab ited for many years. It was in these regions that they es tab lished their poor but te na cious and long-lasting set tle ments.

Vanishing Gepids, subject to the dominant Avars; agriculturist Slavs whose un de mand ing na ture served them better then weap ons; sev eral small, frag mented groups; and fi nally in South Transylvania, be gin ning with the second quarter of the 9th century, an invading Bulgarian group—this was the col or ful eth nic pal ette of this area to ward the end of the 9th century. In the mean time, the power ful and pre vi ously dominant Avars were weak ened more by their in ternal dissensions and fratricidal battles than by their external enemies. At this very moment, leaving the disintegrating Khazar Khanate just out side the Carpathians, a new nation ap proached, pres sured by expansive, war like nations behind them. They first gal loped around the Carpathians and then overran the Carpathian Ba sin and, just like the Avars, concentrated on the central, level area.

This is the first na tion that could gain such a solid foot hold here that the coun try es tab lished by them sur vives to this day. The move ments of the Great Migration, mobilizing nation after nation, did not end with their ar rival and set tle ment, not even with the or ganization of their state. The subsequent waves of the Great Migration harass them but can nei ther de stroy them nor as similate them, nor chase them off. All the territories around them are already firmly occupied. There is no place for them to go.

## The Scourge of Europe

We are not fa mil iar with the pre cise course of the Hun gar ian con quest. It is cer tain, how ever, that our Mag yar an ces tors were looking for a new home in an area pre viously al ready well known to them. During ear lier, long range for ays—ex plor atory and looting ven tures—they repeat edly en tered the Carpathian basin and even went be yond it. They were particularly familiar with the area be tween the Carpathians and the Black Sea, but they had visited the Balkans, the foot hills of the Alps, the Vienna basin and Moravia. Their for ays were under taken either on their own or on invitation. In this region, the dissolution of the Avar Empire—ac cording to newer in for mation, as consequence of the rav ages of an extensive drought—left a power vacuum, which a number of groups tried to fill. These groups were situated around the periphery and intermittently either fought or formed alliances with each other. They were frequently looking for "mil i tary adventurers" who could be hired for money or for other consider ations.

Ac cording to the sim plest ver sion of the his tory of our con quest, the seven Hun gar ian tribes were forced out from their for mer home in the Etelköz (be tween rivers) and were joined by the Kabars who had come from the Khazar Khanate. They supposedly to crossed the northern passes of the Carpathians, but per haps only the Verecke Pass. This theory seems to be strongly sup ported by the fact that the area just be low the Verecke Pass, the Zemplén-Szabolcs region, is particularly rich in graves dat ing to the pe riod of the con quest. Fur ther more, we can be rea son ably cer tain that some of the graves ex ca vated in the ceme tery at Karos in the Bodrogköz, are the only ones which held the bodies of men who were in the orig i nal con quest group and who were still born be yond the Carpathians in the Etelköz.

Later, an other the ory be came ac cept able, ac cord ing to which the in vasion came from two directions, from Verecke and from the lower Danube, thus both from the north and also from the south. Today we be lieve that the major ity of the con quering groups en tered their new home land through the passes of the east ern Carpathians. In both of the latter cases, in addition to Zemplén and Szabolcs, Transylvania was among the first regions to be set tled. Soon there after, the con quering Hungarians expanded rapidly to ward the west in the Felvidék (Northland in English), the present day Slovakia, while the subjugation of Pannonia took place only several years later. All in all, the Hungarian con quest be gan in 895 and was completed in 900. During this time and for an other 70 years there after, the Hungarians stillen gaged in military adventures.

At this time, and for a long pe riod of time, Eu rope was un der a three-fold pres sure. In the south, from Af rica, the Moors (Arabs) tried to establish themselves in or at least gather rich plunder from Iberia and It aly. In the north, the fast ships of the Vi kings (Nor mans) cir cled the Continent and at tacked from the south and from the north. They did n't care whether the water under their keels was salt or sweet, sea or river. In the center the wild Hungarians rampaged over an enormous area. The hooves of their horses splashed in the waters of the Baltic in the north, and of the Channel in the west. In the south west they reached the center of Iberia and in the south they looked across the narrows to Sicily. In Greece only the Peloponnesus remained in violate and in the east only the Bosporus stopped them. Eu rope was slow to react. It was much more important to the European nations to fight over the detached rem nants of the former Roman Empire than to unite to curtail these three bellicose people.

In spite of their re peated and long-lasting con quests, the Moors were even tu ally forced out of Eu rope and had to with draw to Africa, which brought lit tle joy to the resident African tribes. Those branches of the Vikings who settled far from their original Scandinavian home later participated in the formation of the Russian state, gave their name to Normandy and established a Norman king domin Sicily. All this was at the price, however, that the few remaining groups ultimately were assimilated into the larger mass of the people con quered and or ganized by them.

The Hungarians, on the other hand, took solid control of their new home in the Carpathian basin. Very soon they could establish a state that was eth ni cally quite col or ful but which was made co he sive by the language spoken being Hungarian.

These three groups, who originated from far distant regions and whose goals and aims differed widely, still served a common purpose. By being a threat to all the nations of Europe and to the entire power structure of the Continent, they has tened the reversal of the chaotic fragmentation that followed the fall of the Roman Empire. Involuntarily of course, but they were in strumental in triggering the formation of the administrative bases and bor ders which have changed many times over the past thousand years, but which even then drew an ethnic and national out line or sketch map of Europe very much as it is to day.

In the sec ond half of the 9th cen tury, the Hun gar ian for ays could no longer be main tained with the same en thu si asm and they slowly came to a com plete stop. It was not the belli cose spirit that was lacking. It was their paymasters, the European monarchs and pretenders using their ser vices who slowly came to their senses. Politically, they realized that if they weakened their neighbors and their neighbors' economy by the depredations of the mercenary Hungarians, they would all sufferin the end. They also realized that the Hungarian light cavalry tactics could be opposed success fully. Responding to a ruse with a ruse they gave up responding piecemeal and city by city. They appreciated that by joining forces, this voracious people driving a wedge into the heart of Europe could be stopped. At the same time, the wiser Hungarian tribal or tribal alliance lead ers realized that their foes whom they despoiled or whose money they earned with their blood had come to their senses. This realization was bilateraland mutually effective.

The rest less, san gui nary Hun gar i ans must be forced into the ranks of the Christian European nations, living within secure borders, or they must be destroyed. We must become a part of the predominantly Christian Europe or we will be exterminated. Since it is difficult to determine the accurate course of the Hungarian conquest, it follows that the history of the conquest of Transylvania also lacks precision. The more so, since Ro ma nian na tional prej u dice makes the continuation of archeological excavations difficult, and it interferes with the publication and judicious in terpretation of the findings. If, however, we accept the last of the conquest the ories discussed above, or if we were to completely discard the northern or Verecke the ory, as some his torians have, then the dominant majority of the conquerors must have reached Transylvania in the first phase of the conquest. Most of them could not re main there, since this re gion could not sup port them and their an imals. The majority had to move rapidly to the more fer tile parts of the Carpathian Ba sin and to an area more suited for a pas to ral economy.

The group of conquerors remaining in Transylvania gathered in the cen tral region, mainly along the up per trib u taries of the Maros and the Szamos. Even though initially the Bulgarian neigh bors were important, very soon By zan tium be comes the dominant power factor, and it was natural that the Eastern Christian Church should cast its rays upon Transylvania.

Originally By zan tium was not a target for Hungarian adven turers. They lived in alliance with it, or took tribute (peace ransom) from it. Even later, when the Eastern Empire came under attack by them, the loot gath ered there was found by the ar chae ol o gists not in Transylvania, but along the Tisza, in the graves of the for mer ma raud ers. Could it be that the Hun gar i ans liv ing in Transylvania at that time did not join the adven tur ers as saulting By zan tium? This suggests a mea sure of au ton omy. Was there such a thing and what was it based on? In ves ti ga tion of this mat ter is made dif fi cult by the fact that following the con quest, and at the time of the adventuring as well as immediately thereafter, there were two converging processes going on in Hungarian society, in its power structure and later in the territorial arrangements of its people. Before and during the conquest, the tribal separation was still pronounced, but now—largely under Árpád's influence—the tribes became increasingly united and combined into a tribal confederacy. Increasingly, but not entirely. The adventuring was in part certainly a tribal un der tak ing or the "pri vate af fairs" of two or more jointly act ing

tribes. The tribal con feder acy dealt only with important matters af fecting the entire nation. At the same time the confederation—ducal? princely?—had a dual power structure. The real leader was known as the *gyula*, while the spir i tual leader was the *kende*.

The most likely ver sion is that the con fed er acy of the con quer ors was or ga nized, still in the Etelköz, by Álmos, and that both he and his son Árpád held the honor of the gyulaship. Dur ing the con quest, the aged Álmos was killed in Transylvania or on Transylvania's borders. It was writ ten that "he was not to reach Pannonia". He be came the vic tim of a rit ual regicide. Was it be cause the people were forced to leave their original home? Or was it to celebrate the successful conquest? Or was it simply be cause his term of of fice had expired and be cause the time allot ted for his supreme command was over? Ac cord ing to one hy poth esis, this time period was nine teen years, which, ac cord ing to the Metón cy cle of the cal en dar, cor re sponds to one lu nar year.

At this time, the of fice of *kende* was held by Kurszán, who also shortly be came a vic tim of mur der. He was killed treach er ously, dur ing a confer ence, at the din ner ta ble, by the Bavarians. This is note wor thy since with the death of Kurszán, the dual prin ci pal ity came to an end, even though its memory persisted and exerted a strong, traditional, ret rospec tive at traction. There were at tempts to re-establish it. The first and principal indication for this is that—primarily in Transylvania—there was af ter Árpád a whole se ries of anony mous rul ers dur ing whose rule a dy nasty of "gyulas" ap peared, who nat u rally also came from the rul ing family. This regional dynasty tried to establish a balance of power, vis-a-vis the Hungarian centrum, looked to ward By zan tium, and converted to East ern Chris tian ity. It is not clear just how, but the ti tle gyula later on be comes Gyula, i.e. a per sonal name. Could this be the re sult of his to ri og ra phy which trans poses a ti tle into a name?

When at the end of the 10th cen tury the ad ven turing came to an end, the name of Géza emerges clearly and un mis tak ably from the chaotic and per haps fic tional list of princely names. Géza played a major role in numerous matters, which heretofore were attributed exclusively to

(Saint) Stephen I, who is venerated as the founder of the country. He—or per haps his fa ther—looked for a mate of dy nas tic in ter est. The one he mar ried was called by the pa gan-sounding name of Sarolt white stoat, lady stoat or, more commonly, er mine). The fa ther of the bride kept a princely court in the Transylvanian Fehérvár, which later be came known as Gyulafehérvár. The Gyula, very powerful in Transylvania, may have been in duced to ac cept Géza as his son-in-law be cause in the middle of the 970s the always powerful and dangerous Bulgarians became even more so, and managed to isolate him territorially from Byzancium. Later, Byzantium be came stron ger again, but at this time, in view of Géza's age, the reins of gov ern ment were grabbed by the energetic Sarolt. The relative independence of Transylvania was maintained under Sarolt's younger brother—another Gyula—and this blood relationship served to provide security for both ar eas. Stephen, who be came Prince in 1000 and was crowned king in 1001, was not satis fied with this ar range ment. He mar ried a Ba var ian prin cess, and what Géza could ac com plish with his mar riage, his son, who mar ried westward, had to ac com plish with the force of arms. First, he had to overcome Koppány in Somogy, though not because he was a pagan, and cer tainly not more than a par tial pa gan, like Géza.

The archae ologists have discovered the same type of four-apsed chapel in Bakonykoppány that was unearthed in Stephen's royal city of Székesfehérvár. It was not a religious difference that made Stephen confront Koppány, but the overthrow of the seniority-based succession that was charac teris tic of the Árpád dy nasty in ear lier times. After Géza's death, the Somogy magnate demanded the hand of the widowed Sarolt, along with the throne. After his over throw, he was quartered and one of his quarters was nailed to the gate of Gyulafehérvár as an overt warning. This being in sufficient, Stephen had to take an army against his mater nal uncle. Subsequently, Stephen had to set tle with another Transylvanian magnate, Ajtony, who became too independent and who had been known to "divert" the royal salt barges. Both of these cam paigns are now viewed as though Gyula and Ajtony had already acted on be half of non-Hungarian eth nic groups, Proto-Dacians

or Pre-Romanians, and for independence from the new ambitious kingdom.

Actually, both of these campaigns were internal—Hungarian "family fights" for power. The un cer tainty or ab sence of sources makes it imposs is ble to date Stephen's campaign against Ajtony with any precision. Yet the complete in corporation of Transylvania into the admin is trative and religious structure created by Stephen could have taken place only subsequent to it.

Alternating historical and archeological approaches, we must emphasize that from the con quest to the cre ation of the State, the Hun gar ian presence in Transylvania does not imply that a small ruling class of Hungarians had been imposed on the local population. The frequently hampered and incomplete archeological studies document the presence of a large number of lower-class Hungarian set tlers.

## Rex and Dux, Mines and Border Guards

After Ste phen strength ened both his position and the position of his central administrative base, he systematically proceeded to consolidate the smaller, and thus in dividually hardly threat ening counties, which he then en trusted to his followers. He also es tab lished a net work of bishoprics, which covered the entire country and endowed a number of monasteries and chapters. This naturally extended to Transylvania as well. Here, however, a precise reconstruction is made difficult, among other reasons, by a delay in written documentation and by the fact that the numer ous wars and in ternal uprisings seriously damaged the religious depositories of these documents. Thus, the medieval material of the archives gives only incomplete information or even misinformation, since the "ear liest" documents that have come down to us are not truly the ear liest documents per taining to these sites, but only the ear liest that we have been able to discover.

It is cer tain, how ever, that the or ga ni za tion of coun ties in Transylvania followed a definite pattern, and that these territorial-administrative-economic units were de signed in this area with the de fense of the kingdom as the paramount consideration. Namely, the Transylvanian counties at this time did not have a defined bor der toward the "out side", in the di rec tion of the Carpathians and the cas tles serving as the admin is trative centers were established on their most secure, west ern seg ment of the coun ties. While the coun ties and bish oprics—among them the Csanád bishopric, which was headed by the tutor of the crown prince Imre, the later martyr and saint, Gellért—were the products and de posito ries of a strong, cen tral will, there emerged a fateful countercurrent, which we may refer to as the trend to ward regional con sti tu tional laws. Par en thetically: if we ac cept the et y mol ogy of the name Erdély (Transylvania)—and why should n't we? - namely: Erdőelve in Hungarian = Beyond the Forest (literally Trans-Sylvania), we must know that it was the central mountains of Erdély, the Bihar Mountains, that were covered with huge, dense forests beyond which, according to contemporary thinking, Erdély (Transylvania) was lo cated.

Stephen, having de feated Koppány, and having warded off Gyula's force ful and Ajtony's less significantendeavors towardindependence, was look ing far ther into the fu ture. In or der to in crease the legit i macy of his son Imre, to guide him into the ardu ous pro fes sion of ruling, and to give him a taste of its re ality, he used not only the Ad mo ni tions—attrib uted to him but ac tu ally only in spired by him, but he also used the promising crown prince as an important war leader and, in fact, promoted him to a vice-regal position. Thus, *Emericus Dux*, ap pointed by *Stephanus Rex*, was en trusted with Bihar, be tween the east ern bor der of the Great Plain and the western border of Transylvania, as a quasi-autonomous realm. The new State thus evolved a dual administrative-economic axis, the first one between Esztergom and Székesfehérvár, which could be extended toward Pécs, the other one between Biharvár and Csanádvár, the northern pole of which was trans ferred shortly to Nagyvárad.

When Prince Imre was killed in a hunting accident in Bihar, prob a bly along the up per reaches of the Berettyó, during a boar hunt, Ste phen's hopes for a se cure succes sion were lost. What remained was a du bi ous precedent, which was not un usual at this time and which was also fa miliar in Hungarian traditions. This was the institution of the *ducatus* (duke dom). Later, during the reign of the House of Árpád, this promising of fice was usually en trusted to the youn ger brother of the reigning king, who was then ready and waiting for the time when he could le gally take the sin gle, le gal step to ward the throne.

During the 11th century, a number of Petcheneg attacks reached Transylvania through the east ern passes of the Carpathians, and some of these attacks ex tended to the Great Plain. It be came ap par ent that the tra di tional Hun gar ian sys tem of the buffer zone with a wide, un inhabited area separating it from the neighboring people and coun tries failed to provide adequate protection, even though the defenders of this buffer zone were sup posed to halt the first as sault of the en e mies,

and even though there were de fen sive lines with one earthen de fen sive cas tle in ev ery county. For this rea son, ad di tional cas tles were built accord ing to a plan that would be called to day "a de fense in depth".

As far as the history of the set tle ments and of the eth nic mix of the population is concerned, the picture of the first centuries of Transylvania under Hungarian rule, can be determined from the names of the settlements and of the rivers. This nomenclature, which persisted even during the subsequent settlements by Saxons and Romanians with some modification according to their language uniformly at tests that in the 9th and 10th cen turies this region was shared by the remnants of the earlier Slavic population and the conquering Hungarians, in the most part well sep a rated from each other. This arrange ment was possible be cause the older Slavic population preferred, for rea sons of defense, the heavily for ested areas, while the lately arrived Hungarians settled in the valleys and basins more suitable for grazing and for agriculture.

No or ganic continuity can be demon strated for the towns or larger settle ments of the for mer Dacia Provincia. Some times even the sim plest signs of life are missing in the ruins, which have lain uninhabited for centuries. Their names are for got ten. They are recalled only by the enthusiasm of recent times, but initially the impetus is not the Daco-Romanian Continuity hy pothesis. It is due rather to the currently popular and nostal gic retrospection to times long past and to antiquity. Neo-Latin was an earlier product of the love of Antiquity of its devotees. It was only later that it fit in well with the romantic, vigor ous, national aspirations of the Romanians, and was most suitable to their ideologyand rationalizations.

During the reign of Stephen and of his first successors, the social struc ture of Transylvania showed no differ ence from that of the country in general. The stratification, the rule and the subservience evolved in the same fash ion as on "this side of the for est".

Why, and to what ex tent did this new East-Central Eu ro pean coun try, the Hun gar ian king dom, need this province, which ex tended far to the east, and which dif fered from every other province by its natural geography? It is fundamental in this regard that those who rushed hither from the Etelközsaw the entire Carpathian Basin as a unit which suited their way of life and which provided their desperately desired security. It is characteristic that they very soon relinquished the Viennese basin, which they also conquered as far as the present Melk, when they realized that it was a poorly defensible western salient. The correctness of their assessment is shown by the fact that the realm lasting from the conquest to the 20th century was interrupted for any length of time only twice. The Turks entered through the soft underbelly along the lower Dan ube, while the Germans (Austrians) entered along the upper Dan ube, from the Viennese basin.

Salt was the economically most important product of medieval Transylvania. Its commercialization and distribution was facilitated by the fact that its bulk could be trans ported by water, mostly on the Tisza and its tributaries, but also on the Maros net work, which was a part of the Tiszanet work, but was important enough to merit special attention. Even though Hungary had cen tury-long access to the Adriatic, the production of salt by evaporating sea-water was more difficult and its transport more cumber some than mining the salt deposits of the ancient seas and distributing of large blocks of rock salt.

Even though the data do not reflect it, it seems very unlikely that the mining of the previously so important Transylvanian precious metals was not continued under Stephen and under his first successors. It is also clear that a significant number of furs and raw hides were obtained from this region. One part of the very large herd of horses must also have served for export. It was this that stimulated the rapacious ness of the occasional invaders.

We had some kings whose activities and legends are characteristically related to a certain part of the country. Ladislas I, who was success ful in arranging the canonization of Stephen, Imre and some others of his fa-

vor ites, and who later was him self el e vated to the gallery of Hun gar ian saints, ac cording to legend, per formed most of his great and mirac u lous deeds in Transylvania. The best known of these, also known as the St. Ladislas Leg end, is a vari a tion on the theme of his chiv all rous deeds and re lates how the king saved the daugh ter of the bishop of Várad from a marauding Cumanian fighter. This leg end, which is de picted most frequently in Transylvanian churches—oddly enough, mostly in the mining communities—has an additional piquancy. It is not bad enough that the leg end is full of pa gan mo tives and all u sions not quite be coming to a sainted king, but, that after the fight, the maiden saved from the Cumanian, "looked into the head" of the vic to ri ous knight, i.e. picked off the lice. This mo tif is dis turb ing not only be cause from to day's perspective it is distressing that the knightly king, the future saint, had headlice, but also because such an intimate, personal activity by the maiden could have been performed only to her lover, a man who, speaking bib lically, "had known her".

Re turning to earth from the sphere of leg ends, it is cer tain that Ladislas I ex tended the bor ders of Transylvania to the east and set tled a priv ileged class of bor der guards in Transylvania with the charge of pro tecting the in ter nal foot hills of the Carpathians against the bell i cose tribes, firmly set tled on the east ern slopes of the Carpathians and usu ally identified with the Cumanians. It seems likely that it was this group of soldiers, with whom he must have had fre quent con tact in the course of his nu mer ous cam paigns, who cre ated his cir cle of leg ends and spread the word about his miraculous deeds, usually associated with military activities. It may also have been due to them that when their patron died during the sum mer of 1095, he was first buried in Somogyvár, but his re mains where soon trans ferred to Nagyvárad.

Even though a small num ber of Petchenegs partic i pated in the protection of the borders on the principle that the robbers make the best thief catchers, Ladislas also recruited his own bow and arrowcavalry from other parts of the country, and thus a large number of Hungarians joined those of their compatriots who remained in place immedians

ately af ter the con quest. They were Hun gar i ans, but they were not yet Székelys.

At this time, the internal organization and legal status of the Transylvanian counties were not in any way special. The counties and religious or ganizations followed the same pattern as in the other parts of the country. The one thing that should be noted is that when Ladislas' successor, King Koloman, brought Croatia under his rule he sent a *voivode* to take charge. This Slavic position of honor, previously unknown in our country, did affect Transylvania fairly soon and certainly from the end of the 12th century. It will cause many political problems and, later, will create even more problems for the recording historians.

The voivode spe cially ap pointed over a larger region could en joy much more power, or could grasp more power than the *ispáns* (comes counts) in charge of the smaller, individual counties. The temptation was great for the rep re sen ta tive of the cen tral au thor ity to fur ther his own am bi tions at the ex pense of the regional in ter ests. The voivodes fre quently be came re bellious lit tle kings. On the other hand, in the case of the newly ac quired Croatia, this form of le gal ad min is tration was appropriate, if for no other rea son, than for the pac i fi cation of the local south ern Slavic people and of their lead er ship and re as sur ance that in this way they may have a cer tain amount of au ton omy. In the case of Transylvania, it has led to the as sumption that there was such an in dependence of the region and of its people. For this there is no evidence and no precedent in the objective study of the 10th and 11th centuries. If there was any such in dependence, it was much ear lier, at the time of the *gyulas*, and cer tainly no later than the time of Ajtony.

# How Does it Happen that Three is Really Four?

As men tioned in the Pro logue, one of the his toric, and not geo graphic, char ac ter is tics of Transylvania was its spe cific pop ula tion. Even at the ear li est men tion of them, they were al ready a Hun gar ian speaking people, and yet they were the clearly dis tinc tive Székelys. They con sidered them selves to be the de scen dants of the army of Csaba, one of At tila's sons, who returned to Transylvania along the Highway of the Armies— the heav enly Milky Way. They thus con sid ered them selves to be Hun in or i gin. This is one of the world-wide Sav ior myths, in which the di vine lib er a tor is not some placid prophet who can be cru ci fied, but a belligerent leader of armies. The origin and prehistory of the Székelys are lost in obscurity, or rather there are so many hypotheses concerning them, that both the interested layman and the inquisitive expert are overtaken by dizziness. It has been mentioned repeatedly, but with out any evidence, that the Hungarians already found them in the Carpathian Ba sin in 895. Their ar chaic or ga ni za tion clearly points to Asi atic Turkic tra di tions strength ened by the long-time sur vival of Turkic runic script among them, yet the Székely di a lect shows no de via tion what ever from the Hun garian as far as the oc cur rence and prev a lence of Finno-Ugrian, Turkic or other linguistic remnants is con cerned. It is a fact that in the cam paigns of the Arpád era, they had to serve both as scouts and as rear guard. This suggests a recent contact since the dangers and bloody losses en suing from these as sign ments, were al ways im posed by the military rulers of the no madic peoples on their lat est al lies or subjects.

The least con tro ver sial the ory of their origin suggests that the Székelys were rem nants of the Kabars who joined the Hungarians at the time of

1 As an example of the ancient Székely runic script see the Székely Anthem on page 2.

the dissolution of the Khazar Khanate, when the perhaps forcefully ejected Hungarians started out to find a new home land. It is certain that they were not scat tered, or at least not scat tered as much as the seven conquering Hungarian tribes during the post-nomadic period, when Hungarian society was trans formed and re shaped by the strength and the de mands of the cen tral ized royal power.

They be came guard ians of the bor ders. They were not the first ones and not the last ones. Yet, they served in this capacity for such a long time, and with such lasting effects on the life of numerous generations, as was unprecedented among the Hungarians in the Carpathian Basin.

In Transylvania, in the *Székelyföld* (Land of the Székelys), a large and tightly knit block emerged. In the other borderlands of the country, thus principally in the south west ern part of Transdanubia, the Göcsej, north of Pozsony and in the Bihar, the individuality of the small Székely groups, their autonomy and characteristics rapidly started to dis in tegrate, fade and dis ap pear. Next to Transylvania, the most per sistent traces come from Göcsej, but among the peo ple in this region only the faint est folk loric tra di tions tes tify to their original provenance.

The basic population was divided into six clans, which, in turn, were sub-divided into four branches each, and thus gave struc ture to so ci ety, to the family and to the eco nomic and military existence of the nation. The judges who saw to their affairs and their lead ers in war were designated so that in her i tance, election, re call and ro tation all played a role. In such a system, there was considerable rigidity but also not a little flexibility.

When the Transylvanian Székely "szék"-s were es tab lished—szék in this context means a territorial and ad min is trative unit and, in ciden tally, is to tally un related to the name of the peo ple—the so ci etal struc ture of these units du pli cated and re flected the na tional whole. They did not move or set tle by clans or by branches if such a move was forced upon them, but al ways in al most ran dom group ings as sem bled from the entire na tion. This was car ried to the point that when some major di sas ter

re duced or de stroyed a branch, the area was re con sti tuted and re plenished from the other branches in order to maintain the continuity of tradition.

For a long time, the Székelys kept to simple an i mal hus bandry and to a graz ing econ omy with a no madic changing of their pas tures. The forests and the land were owned jointly. The fam i lies had the right to use the land but had no right of own er ship. Even later, when pri vate ownership became stronger, a sensible collectivism was stubbornly maintained with a village-based joint ownership and with the repeated op por tunity to re dis trib ute joint property according to need. Yet, they could not remain untouched by their feudal environment, and there was also an ongoing internal differentiation. Thus, a Székely nobility evolved on an eco nomic basis. The leading aris to crats were the *primors*. The rest of the population was divided into those who fought on horseback and those who fought "only" on foot, thus forming the three classes identified clearly both in peace at home and in war. The Székelys gained their privileges and in de pendence with their own blood and toil. These are frequently threatened and the Székelys must have stood up again and again in their own de fense. They pro tested ver bally, in writing and, oc ca sion ally, by taking up arms. This will be dis cussed later. Let us now re turn to the fate ful his tory of the original set tling of the land.

During the first third of our mil len nium much was al ready de cided in a most ominous fashion. There are few written sources for fixing the years of their be gin ning. It can, how ever, be de ter mined from in di rect sources that the first Székely settlements in Transylvania took place during the reign of the first kings of the House of Árpád. When, during the reign of Géza II (1141-1162), in the mid dle of the 12th cen tury, large scale German colonization took place which was going to have effects lasting nearly a millennium, the Germans settled in areas from where the bor der-guarding Székelys had been moved out, to be closer to the actual fron tiers.

Ger man colonization? In Transylvania, this group, tradition ally strong in numbers, wealth and intellect, underwent a fateful decline only toward the mid dle of the 20th cen tury. We refer to this Ger man speaking population as the Sax ons, just as we do to the related population in today's Slovakia, the former Hungarian Felvidék (Northland), and Upper Hungary. In contrast, the also Ger man speaking groups who were settled in western and southern Transdanubia, in the southern Great Plain—mostly in the segment be longing to Ser bia, where they formed an almost continuous ring—in a semicir cle around Buda, and who also lived and live in decreasing numbers in scattered locations through out the rest of the country, were called in the common parlance the Swabians.

A significant percentage of the "Saxons" are ethnically truly of Saxonian or i gin. Of our "Swabians" only a small fraction came originally from Swabia. In both groups there was a significant influx of many other ethnic German groups during the Mid dle Ages, as well as in more recent times. It is almost a his toric accident that be cause of the ethnic origin of a few leading families, these two categories of Germans be came a rigid fix ture in the Carpathian Ba sin and retained their designation in a dual and parallel fashion, as similating subsequent and different German ethnic groups.

Transylvania be came fa miliar with the county sys tem. Then the Crown relegated Transylvania, or rather a part of Transylvania to the authority of a *voivode*, the holder of which title stood be tween the counties and the central administration. The autonomy of the Székelys survived in the *szék*-s or seats, where they were gathered into territorial and ethnic blocks. The arriving Sax ons, whose first waves originated in and around Luxembourg and who left there to escape the rigid, feudal shackles, were also organized into *szék*-s and thus gained special opportunities and an autonomous administration. They also did not have to adapt them selves to the county sys tem.

Later on, a Székely *ispán* was appointed. For a while, however, the Székely and Saxon *szék*-s were with drawn from the voivode's au thor ity

and were combined under the control of the Szeben ispán. At this time—we are in 1210—a source mentioned the Szeben ispán as the one who led the Székelys, the Saxons, the Petchenegs and the Romanians in war. The em pha sis here is dis tinctly on the lat ter. Shortly before this time, the Pechenegs still at tacked several times across the eastern Carpathians. The earlier Székely settlements were established largely for this reason. Later the Petchenegs be came sat ellites, "rob bers into thief catch ers", and guard ians of the bor ders. Their small num bers has tened their as similation. We are not concerned with them here, but must men tion, how ever, that it was not they who rep re sent the fourth element in medieval Transylvania which appeared last, or per haps simul taneously with the Saxons, and which joined the other communities in duced or forced by cir cum stances into a last ingunion.

Let us list the four: Hun gar i ans, Székelys, Sax ons and Romanians. The list is not weighted in any way and rep re sents only the his toric se quence. It is an open question why we are separating the Hungarians and the Székely into sep a rate "na tions" when they spoke the same lan guage and who, ac cord ing to one view, dif fered from each other but very slightly. This may even lead us into the camp of those who, for what ever rea son, wished to de crease the de mo graphic and his toric role of the Hungarian presence in this region (hypothesizing even that the Székelys are Hungarianized Romanians). The an swer is that the Székelys who were very proud, liked to con sider them selves as a sep a rate "na tion", par ticu larly when they hoped that this sep a rate ness would as sure them their privileges as guardians of the borders, their Székely freedom and the autonomy inherent in their szék-s. A Székely "nation" is not a fiction, but has to be in terpreted in the con text of the times and of the prev alent le gal con cepts. The con cept had a dif fer ent mean ing than what it has to day. It meant a tribe or a tribal as so ci a tion—that is, a community of shared obligations, rights, duties and possibilities.

The predecessors of the early-latter day Romanians, who established their coun try late, but very suc cess fully, were living at the time of the Hungarian conquest in the Central Bal kans, where they were in close linguistic proximity with the Albanians who re mained much closer to

their original region. Linguistic evidence also suggests that most of them en gaged in a pas to ral life in the moun tains. Since at that time this very hard life had little appeal, the higher mountainous regions gave them am ple op por tu ni ties for ex pan sion. In the early sources, By zantium, a major power fighting a desperate defensive war at this time, called them the Wallachians, and it was only in the last cen tury that this term be came the pe jo ra tive des ig na tion of Oláh. The Byzantians ac tually called all the Latinizing, non-Greek Balkanian peo ple Wallachians, and were pleased to use the people so designated for their own purposes. The region was rec og nized as a de sir able area during an al most incidental campaign—note how the Hungarians discovered the Carpathian Ba sin during their first Cen tral Eu ro pean in cur sion, and the idea of establishing a permanent residence here had considerable appeal.

We can find the first indications of an approach of the Wallachians from the ex ter nal slopes of the Carpathians to ward the Hun gar ian territory during the Byzantian campaign involving Transylvania in 1166. These dates—the Byzantian campaign of 1166 and the campaign of the Szeben *ispán* in 1210, us ing Wallachian fight ers (ac tu ally against the Bul gar i ans and not the Byzantines)—de ter mine the time when we can definitely as sert that there was a Roma nian eth nic presence on the soil of Transylvania. Their gaining strength was contributed to mark edly by one of the great est Hun gar ian his tor i cal cat a clysms. But first: an in terlude.

There was al ready an or ga nized Ger man col o ni za tion in Transylvania, on ter ri tory for merly in hab ited by the Székelys, when other, Ger manic newcomers appeared, this time from the east. The Teutonic Knightly Or der, au tho rized by a Pa pal Bull of 1198, had barely been es tab lished by German nobles in Palestine from among the knightly crusaders, when they were ex pelled from the Holy Land. They were chased back to Eu rope, and in 1211 the be nev o lent An drew II (1205-1235) in vited them to the Barcaság, mainly in order for them to oppose the Cumanian at tacks and to con vert the Cumanians, which truly was their mission. The Teu tonic Or der, which later proved to be so ag gres sive,

very soon attempted to establish an independent country on the land received from us and to place themselves under the protection of the distant Pope and thus free them selves from the nearby Hungarian king. When after a number of ominous signals Andrew discovered that instead of the wooden castles, which he had very hes it antly approved, the Teutonic Knights were beginning to build permanent stone castles, the disap pointed king expels them in 1225 by force of arms. Fleeing from Palestine, the Teutonic Order—after the brief interlude of their Transylvanian settlement—were issued a later much regretted invitation by the Poles and set tled in Prus sia and along the Baltic lit to ral. We will not follow their adventurous and, for so many, tragic and pain ful history.

Returning to the Romanians, Wallachians—the first charter which men tions them re lates to the land of a Ro ma nian vil lage chief, in the Szörénység and is dated 1247. They were primarily engaged in sheep and goat grazing, but as a conse quence of their migra tory way of life, they also bred horses and in hab ited al most all hab it able parts of a very wide area, north of Mac e do nia and south of Moldavia. Thus, to find the pre cise lo cation of their original home is even more hope less than it is for the Hungarians. For cen turies, their main charac teris tic was migra tion, during which they lived and moved among a num ber of different eth nic groups. They partic i pated in markets and, with their an i mals functioned as highly regarded cart ers and trans port ers. Wher ever they were, they participated in local activities but the loose ness of their af filiations satisfied their needs of the time. It did not, however, promote the concentration of the population needed for the formation of a country. It did main tain a way of life with a num ber of ar chaic traits. This initial dilatoriness, which was not rare at the turn of the millennium, was main tained by them for many-many gen er a tions.

Their co her ence was strength ened by their religion. Examined in more detail, the animal husbandry which was pervasive among the Wallachians, al beit by no means ex clu sive to them, is hard to compress into the neat categories drawn up by the economic historians and ethnographers. A few definitions become unavoidable: in a nomadic

sys tem, the change of pas ture—primarily the change be tween sum mer and win ter pas tures—in volves the migration of the en tire population. When only the shep herds ac company the flocks to the win ter pas tures, it is known as transhumation. This may have meant a trip of several hundred kilometers, twice each year and also forced the men into lengthy absences from their families. It had enor mous effects on sexual customs and on the raising of children. In high altitude grazing, the flock grazed during the sum mer in the lush mountain mead ows, and in the win ter lived in stables on for age gath ered during the sum mer. In these cases, the pas ture and the home were usually not too distant from each other, and family life was not subject to a sea sonal periodicity.

De pending on the region and the period, these three methods of an imal husbandry were used interchangeably by the Wallachians. A descrip tion—ad mit tedly from the last cen tury—is so singularly af fec tive that I must quote it. It describes the existence of a fourth method. Thus, "The life of these herds men is very singular and quite different from that of any other shepherd. With 60-70 of their master's goats they roam over the bare crags all winter. Com pletely left to their own de vices, they are far from any so cial con tacts and may not see an other hu man being for months. In pre vi ously designated spots, such as caves or hollow trees, their master will have deposited cornmeal for them which the shepherds use as they go along. There is no variety in their days, their lives pass in complete uni for mity. Such a shep herd picks a large beech tree and fells it in such a fash ion that it falls unto one or two other beeches and thus bring down three trees at the same time. The more, the better. His work takes sev eral hours during which the goats watch from a safe dis tance, chew their cud and wait for their meal being pre pared. When the cen tu ries old beech trees hit the ground, the shepherd gives a yell and the hun gry herd strips the buds and bark with their sharp teeth. The shep herd, having re moved the snow, builds a huge fire and fill ing a large ket tle with snow hangs it on a metal tri pod. When the wa ter boils, he adds corn meal from his shoul der-bag, stirs it into a porridge and dines as con tent edly as the city dweller at a six course din ner. He slakes his thirst with the snow melted in his ket tle and stretching out on a pile of branches sleeps soundly, having been awake all night for

fear of pred a tors. Af ter the goats have con sumed their din ner, they lie down but the shepherd soon interrupts their rest. He breaks a path through the deep snow and the goats follow in single file and so they go down into a valley where they spend the night, protected from the howling winds. He does not close his eyes all night and building several small fires around the herd to keep away the slavering wild animals, watches them until the morning. Should it start snowing again at night, the shep herd im me diately rousts the herd from its rest and keeps them moving back and forth. Thus, they stamp the snow down, keep warm and also keep from being covered by snow. This is the daily rou tine of the mountain goat herd. Finally, after six months of mis ery, hard even to imagine for a per son used to so cial in ter course, with a face black ened by storms and freezing cold, but with a sound, healthy stom ach and in good strength he de scends with his herd to the vil lage."

I quoted from: "Sándor Ujfalvi's *The Old Hunter. Kolozsvár in the Year 1854*". I did this not only to show a new, al beit rather ex treme form of animal husbandry; the quote says more about the incredible tenacity and sim plic ity shown by the men en gaged in this form of an i mal care, who lived among their animals which, in turn, survived on buds and bark. This adapt abil ity and the will ing ness to live like this were ma jor factors in their entry into and expansion within the Balkans and the Carpathian Ba sin. This was a vastly dif fer ent ap proach than that of the other nations—including the Hungarians—who brought their cattle and horse breeding practices and their warlike traditions with them from the steppes of Asia.

In the re gion, where the Wallachians lived and moved for a long time as transients, Romanianization was much stronger than in Transylvania and in Transylvanian Dacia. This was the area where the Proto-Romanians—under strong Slavic and other in fluences—be came Latinized in their language and in the de mon strable orien tation of their leading classes. They evidently also mingled, here and there, with the descendants of the early Dacians. This is a much more defensible hypothesis than that of the local Transylvanian continuity.

Their Latinity, while clearly dominant as far as their language is concerned, did not prove to be very strong in a much more im por tant area. Initially, they were un der the aegis of Christianity, following the Latin ritual. But the proximity of Byzantium, and perhaps under the influence of the Slavic people, they soon and irrevocably fell under the dominance of the Eastern Ritual. The Greek Orthodox Church, the Pravoslavia, never shaken by the Ref or mation that hit its West ern counterpart, solidly per me ated the entire social structure. Through religious instruction, philosophy and mentality it be came a decisive factor for entire regions and people. Even to day, the dividing line in the Bal kans and in the Carpathian Ba sin is not geographic or his toric, but re ligious. It is the line be tween the Roman and the East ern Rites that sep a rates Central Europe from East ern Europe.

#### The Tearful Chronicle

In 1221 the latest crusade was under way or actually slowly dragging along in the Holy Land, fought by unenthusiastic forces whose religious convictions and beliefs in the purpose of the crusade were equally doubtful. Suddenly electrifying news spread throughout Europe, particularly through the monasteries. An old legend had again come to life about a group of Chris tians who in an cient times be came isolated in the East and there flourished. This was the legend of the Land of Prester John. According to the news, armies from this land had at tacked the east ern prov inces of the Sar a cens and were on their way to liberate the Holy Land. Actually, there never was a Land of Prester John. Who then were those who re ally did be gin a march from Central Asia, although not toward Jerusalem but—as their final goal—against Rome?

At the same time, or some what ear lier, a be lief or leg end arose in Hungary, where even though the admin is tration was in firm control, there was a feeling of impending doom, and where in deed there were many minor dangers to be dealt with. Certain rumors spread about some alarming preparations being made along the major high way of migration along which our ancestors had traveled to arrive in their new home land. It is pos si ble that this un expected and un wel come in for ma tion came to the Hungarians in the Carpathian Ba sin as a re sult of some tentative attempts to search for their original home. It is certain that several successive attempts had been made to find some Hungarian groups who had sep a rated from the main body at the time of mi gra tion and who had remained in the east. When finally a successful contact was made with Hun gar i ans in far away Baskiria, it was too late. By the time they were dis cov ered, they were being swept away by a de struc tive flood of far ther east ern forces who sud denly and jus ti fi ably spread terror throughout Europe. It is typical that while the naive European Christians were still expecting succor from the eastern Christians of Prester John's land, the much better informed conquerors were fully aware of the mis in for ma tion that pre ceded them. They over ran the still Christian Gruz with the advanced troops carrying crosses to mislead theun suspecting in habitants.

We will omit a num ber of other de tails, since here we must dis cuss the events as they re late to Transylvania. Suffice it to say that at long last the steppe-dwelling Mongolian tribes joined together—a traditional arrange ment of the no madic em pires—and ad vanced from the heart of Asia to ward the heart of Eu rope. The uni fied as sault, tra di tion ally referred to in Hungary as the Ta tar In vasion, reached the Carpathian Basin in the spring of 1241. By this time the mists sur round ing the land of Prester John have long since dissipated. The Domini can friar Julianus and his brethren, who went in search of the Baskirian Hungarians, alerted the religious and lay leaders of Europe to the impending danger. The Hungarian King Béla IV (1234-1270) was in receipt of a letter, written in Ta tar but clearly under standable following successive transla tions: "I, the Khan, em is sary of the Heav enly King, who was granted the power on earth to raise my vas sals and op press my op po nents, am amazed at you, king of Hungary. I have sent you thirty emissaries already. Why don't you send even one of them back to me with a letter containing your reply? I know you are a rich and powerful king. You have many sol diers and you rule your large coun try by your self. Thus, it may be difficult for you to submit to me, but it would be better and more salutary for you if you would submit to me. I have also learned that you are keeping my Cumanian servants under your protection. I am there fore in structing you to stop pro tecting them and avoid confront ing me on their be half. They could es cape eas ily, hav ing no houses and could flee, wan dering with their tents, but you who live in a house and have cas tles and cit ies will not be able to es cape from my hands."

This let ter is a mar vel of the Asi atic style. It is con vincing, and not exactly friendly. Yet, as it be came ob vious soon, it was prophetic. There had to be a king on the throne who had confidence in himself. If he gave in, he was no longer a king but a vas sal. Concerning the east ern Cumanians mentioned in the letter, their accommodation ultimately turned out to be detrimental, but not for the reasons given in the Khan's let ter. The ap pear ance of the still no madic, pa gan, Cumanians

in the Great Plain up set the in ter nal peace of the coun try and raised discontent and an ger with the king's decision at the precise moment when there was the great est need for har mony. These Cumanians, whose customs and mor als were sim i lar to those of the original Hungarian conquerors, could hardly fit in with the now well-settled Hungarians, even in peace time. They were thor oughly familiar with the tactics and mentality of the approaching Tatars—we may as well be gin to call them by that name—about whom the Hungarians knew very little. These Cumanians would be badly needed, but they were again, misunderstood. The Hungarians, opposing the king's wishes, considered the Cumanians to be advance ac complices of the Tatars, killed their tribal chief tain and expelled them. This left them even more defense less.

In March 1241, the forces of Batu Khan crossed the Carpathians simul ta neously through the north ern, east ern and south ern passes. Their *Blitzkrieg*, which caused Béla IV and his family to flee first to the cas tle of Knin in Dalmatia, then to Trorig and fi nally to the is land of Ciovo, ground to a halt in Hun gary. This was due not so much to the Hun garian resistance, but rather to in ternal problems caused by the death of the Mongo lian Great Khan. Their elan, their methods of war fare and their customary, long continued absences from home, do not seem to suggest that they had reached the possible limits of their conquest in the Carpathian Ba sin.

Their main force moved south, along the right bank of the Dan ube, in the spring of 1242. In the Balkans, almost in passing, they subjugated the Bul gar i ans. A large sub-group rav aged Transylvania again, and departed through the east ern passes of the Carpathians. Be hind them the country was devastated, just how badly is a matter of ancient debate. The contemporary descriptions are apocalyptic. The *TearfulChronicle* of the Italian Master Rogerius, canon of Várad and later archbishop of Spalato, details it for posterity. His detailed and im passioned description sounds very much like an eye wit ness account and radiates the heat of things seen and suffered. His words evoke a documentary moving picture and show us houses totally destroyed by fire, despoiled churches, and the bloody, de caying ca day ers of raped and mur dered in

hab it ants. Those who hid in the deep for ests and in the swamps were lured out with ruses and false promises, and were then massacred in turn

The modern reader discovers only grad u ally that the eminent Rogerius is in ternally contradictory. Principally, if his description had been accurate and factual, Béla IV would have been unable to rebuild quite so quickly after his return following the with drawal of the Tatars. Many of his programs, particularly the extensive and accelerated erection of towns and castles, postulates the presence of a very large work force, huge numbers of artisans and even more help ers and, in addition, adequate building supplies and, most importantly, food for these multitudes.

Regard less how question able the direct and in direct damages of the Tatar invasion may have been, it seems likely that the damages in Transylvania were greater than elsewhere. The harm must have been greatest in the valleys and among the population of the great basins. The mountain dwell ers and their herds and set tle ments were probably only minimally affected, or not at all. Neither the Tatars nor the epidemics that followed their invasion penetrated the mountainous regions. Nei ther then, nor later. This again changed the eth nic ra tios. We mentioned the significant Hungarian-Saxon-Székely emigration to beyond the Carpathians, pri mar ily to the Wallachia, but also to Moldavia. After the disaster, Transylvania exerted a strong attraction. This was promoted by administrative reorganizations, which linked certain Transcarpathian units with units on this side of the Carpathians. Within these linked units, changes in ownership and domicile could be easily un der taken. The ad min is tra tion "strad dling" the Carpathians be came a bridge for egress and in gress, first for the for mer and then for the lat ter.

The administration was undergoing almost continuous changes. Throughout the country the former royal county organizations were fall ing apart. Béla IV, shar ing the re gal bur den of re con struction with the mag nates and with the cit ies, looses some of his power. There is a "Quid pro quo". Who ever gets per mis sion to build a for tress for the

protection of the country may mobilize forces against internal enemies as well.

At this time, Transylvania's regional independence became stronger rather than weaker and the personality and responsibilities of the Transylvanian voivode was undergoing fre quent changes. The Székely and Saxon szék autonomy was maintained, but then a number of voivodes and ispáns were charged with the es tab lish ment and su per vision of new, smaller ar eas. A num ber of these now had a Ro ma nian majority.

It was a strange and col or ful world. Just as in other parts of the Hungar ian king dom, namely in the crown lands, eth nic or i gin was now less significant. It was the language and the religious affiliations that become the dominant fac tors and not the "political" considerations. Even more important than the old tribal-national or ganization was the individual's place in the stratification of the classes and the accompanying division of labor. This, of course, per tained only to those members of the communities who had been fully accepted and assimilated into them.

Even though undefeated, the Tartars were gone, but the threat remained. No year went by with out the news of an impending in vasion. Even though these invasions may not have taken place, or may not reached the Carpathian Basin, they were not with out foun dation. It was for this reason that Béla IV received the fleeing son of the Russian Great Prince from Tsernygov, Rostislav, and accepted him as his son-in-law. He later as sisted him with an army in the latter's Halics campaign. It seems that the king of Hungary did have an effective army, which also ar gues against the alleged to tal de struction of the country. Béla IV also took back the for merly expelled Cumanians, but this time they were given an area in the central region of the Great Plain for settlement and grazing.

There came now another experiment with the crusaders—and this brings us back to Transylvania. We can not compete with the terse state-

ment in the *HistoricalChronology of Hungary* and quote the following passage from it (Note the two italicized passages: a feudal contract men tions Romanians in two places): "On June 2, 1247, Béla IV contracts with the Hospitaler [St. John's or Cru sader] Or der. Among other things, the king gives the Cru saders the Szörénység, ex cept for the land of the Romanian *voivodate*, all the way to the Olt river, Cumania be yond the Olt and the south east ern cor ner of Transylvania, with its rev e nues and judicial powers and permits them to participate in the transport and export of salt. He also sup ports them in the erection of for tresses in Cumania. The Cru saders make a commit ment to improve their feu dal lands, in crease its pop u la tion, and pro tect their territory to gether with the Romanians [Olati]. In addition, they will render military assistance in case of a Hungar ian campaign into Bulgaria, Greece or Cumania."

The Hos pitallers relin quish their Feu dallands some times be tween 1258 and 1260, thus, they did not have to be ex pelled. The prob lem was not that they had been build ing for tresses, but rather that they had not done so. They leave. Hun gary and, par tic u larly, Transylvania had very poor luck with these not very knightly Crusader knights. *Nota bene:* Salt! When Béla IV, in May 1242, immediately after the withdrawal of the Tatars, appointed a certain Paul of the Gerenye family as "Commissioner of Re con struction" of the territories to the west of the Dan ube, the prin ci pal task with which he was charged was the sup pres sion of high way rob bery, the collection of the scat tered pop ula tion—and the re open ing of the Transylvanian salt mines.

In 1257, Béla IV ap pointed his old est son, the crown prince, as Prince of Transylvania. Ste phen was ap prox i mately eigh teen-years-old at this time. His wife, whose Chris tian name was Eliz a beth, was the daugh ter of one of the Cumanian chieftains in Hungary. Stephen, who very shortly promoted himself from prince to junior king, at times contracted with his fa ther about his lands and rights and at times at tacked him. He was no lon ger just the Prince of Transylvania. His do mains included everything east of the Danube. His younger brother, Prince Béla, won Slavonia for him self. Thus, the king held only Transdanubia and a small area in the north for him self. The is sue ob viously was not

Transylvania alone, but the burn ing am bi tion of the crown prince that the king was unable to sat isfy. Yet, the relation ship be tween them became a contributing factor in deciding that the fate of Transylvania and that of the country as a whole did not follow the same path.

As far as the Tatars were con cerned, there was a gap that spanned two generations. They appeared inside of the Carpathians again in 1285. Ranging through the Verecke pass, they ad vanced as far as the city of Pest. This was not a concentrated attack against Europe, but only a large scale, ex plor atory rob ber cam paign. When barely a month later, they re tired to ward the east, through Transylvania, there Loránd of the Borsa Family, the Transylvanian voivode defeated them in battle and took many pris on ers. This led to se ri ous fu ture difficulties.

In the mean time, from having been Prince of Transylvania and ju nior king, Ste phen V be came king, but only for two years (1270-1272). He was suc ceeded on the throne by Ladislas IV (the Cumanian), the son of the "Cumanian woman". The epithet, Cumanian, was not without foundation. Even though Ladislas IV's wife was an Anjou princess, the daughter of the Neapolitan-Sicilian king, Charles I, the king was partial to his matter nal relatives and to the relatives of his Cumanian mistress. Further more, he en listed the Transylvanian captive Tatars into his army and used them in internal war fare. He later had to take a solemn oath be fore the Arch bishop of Esztergom that he would not grant of fices to those who had not been baptized. He aban doned the Tatars just as he abandoned his mistress, and he took back his wife, the Anjou Elizabeth. (To what extent? The chronicles are silent about any off spring.) But this again is not part of the his tory of Transylvania.

Just as in the west, there was a ten dency in Transylvania to re place the royal do mains and the rev e nue gen er ated by ser vice in these do mains, with do mains and rev e nues—prin ci pally in spe cie—held by the magnates. The royal coun ties were slowly be ing re placed by coun ties of the nobility. This represented a direct challenge to all the previous privileges and autonomies granted by the king, and became a source of much in ter nal strife. Old in ter ests were smashed by the new ones. In

the meantime, the increasingly numerous and important Romanian pop u la tion, this side of the Carpathians, did not yet have or ex pect the ad van tages granted to the Székely and Saxon pop u la tions. The weak ening of the cen tral ad min is tra tion and the de par ture of the Hospitalers made secession very appealing to the Transcarpathian Romanians. Such an at tempt re sulted in the death of the Ro ma nian voivode Litvoj, the lord of the Szörény, killed during a Hun gar ian punitive cam paign. A few years later, the Szörény Banate, which rep re sented a Transylvanian and Hun gar ian clenched fist aimed at the heart of the Bal kans, was lost to the Hun gar ian Crown, and so was Cumania. This is just the be ginning of the times when new "au ton o mies" rise along side the old ones and oc ca sion ally in op position to them. The al ready strongly mus cular or still growing magnate families cre ated feu dal fiefdoms, questioned the royal authority and, in effect, ruled small separate "king doms", to the det riment of the whole coun try.

At this time, in Transylvania, these petty rul ers were not yet na tive sons and rep re sented "for eign" dig ni tar ies. The most em i nent among them is the voivode Ladislas Khan, who be came well known when he got the Crown of St. Stephen into his hands and refused to give it up to its right ful owner, the Anjou Charles Rob ert. It was only after de cades of bitter domestic fighting that the legitimate ruler could regain control over Transylvania from Ladislas Khan and from his sons. Even then the success was in complete. There was hardly any voivode or other royal of ficial who did not at tempt to create an auton o mous fiefdom for himself at the cost of the royal author ity. There were some that were evanescent, while others were preserved for a lifetime and were even be queathed to sons and grand sons. The Transylvanian Sax ons were not exactly angels either. During their ongoing fight with the bishop of Gyulafehérvár, the king was finally forced to call in the Great Plain Cumanians to teach them a les son.

The chapter by Master Rogerius, which dealt with the Tatar invasion and was consequently entitled The *Tearful Chronicle*, could be continued at this time. The west ern parts of the country were freed from any further Mongolian threats after the "lesser Tatar invasion" of 1285.

Transylvania was still subject periodically to the "Eastern Plague". In the foreground of the Carpathians, the Tatar presence underwent changes but was per sis tent. This rest less band of brig ands, al ways ready for raids or for cam paigns to stock the ever flour ishing slave mar kets of the Cri mea with live hu man mer chan dise, was more re cently less likely to act on their own, but of fered its mer ce nary ser vices to other lead ers. It made very lit tle dif fer ence to the subjects of their at ten tion.

The eth nic struc ture of Transylvania was mod i fied by the im mi grants who fled to the more pro tected Carpathian Ba sin from the regions outside the Carpathians, which were still subject to Tatar harassment. There was a particularly heavy in flux from among the Romanian mountain shep herd tribes who had made the trip across the Carpathians between Transylvania and the Wallachia, and between Transylvania and Moldavia, twice each year for many years. They were fur ther mo ti vated by the fact that being Greek Orthodox, they were exempt from the church tax (tithe) and had to pay only the "one fiftieth" tax for their herds. Their settlements were well defined in increasing numbers, by the partly wooden and partly ma sonry churches and mon as ter ies.

Finally, a tear ful chron i cle, no less la men ta ble than the one writ ten by Mas ter Rogerius, could be writ ten about the fires and ashes of the peasant re volt led by Antal Budai-Nagy (1437). The feu dal ism that even tually reached Transylvanian so ci ety was even more un struc tured than its original Hungarian model. In Transylvania it never developed fully along the clas sic lines of the West. The changes in the in ter re la tion of the classes, the in creasing arrogance of the no bility and the continuing threats from the Balkans which imposed in creasing financial burdens on them, led to re bel lion and it was by no means the low est lev els of soci ety, Hun gar ian or Ro ma nian, which re volted. The Transylvanian rebels proudly called them selves "The as so ci a tion of the Hungarian and Ro ma nian in hab it ants of Transylvania", and "Free men". These comments, typically directed against the nobility, announced the Hussite pro gram for so cial equality. They also clearly followed a Hussite ex ample when they entrenched them selves, as though on a "Transylvanian Mount Tabor", on the extensive plateau of Mount Bábolna, near the

community of Alparét, in the county of Doboka." (László Makkai) Just like in the later Dózsa rebellion, the leader of this rebellion, Antal Budai-Nagy, is not a serf but a gen try. In these re bellions the organizers and leaders were not those who had suffered the most, but mainly those who had something to loose beside their life. Even a significant percentage of the large group of followers came from the lower but propertied classes and not from among the "have-nots". They rep resented a group who were de prived of some thing they had ac quired. After several victories and conditional agreements, this bloody revolt came to an end. The major fac tor in its col lapse was that the de mands of the participating gentry were met, while the other participating groups were ignored. Thus, the unity of the re bellion fell apart.

This movement was not triggered by an *ad hoc* displeasure, a sudden rage or an over whelming pas sion. It rep resented the long-term goals of its leaders. This is shown by the fact that they had met annually on Mount Bábolna to discuss their situation and actual demands. This danger ous situation was responsible for the emergence, on the other side, of the "Three Transylvanian Nations". An association of the Hungarian nobility, the Székelys and the Saxons, which then remained for a very long time an important factor and a much cited base in the constitutional struggles in Transylvania's future his tory. The triple union was first ratified by the delegates of the three parties in Kápolna in September 1437, and was renewed in February 1438 in Torda, the site of numerous future Transylvanian Diets. The rebellion led by Antal Budai-Nagy and characterized by extreme cruelty on both sides, sapped Transylvania's in ner strength and cohe sion, just when a new and enormous danger arose—from the east.

### Raven on High

In 1326 Brussa (the pres ent Burma) be came the cap it al of the Ot to man Turks. It was still in Asia Mi nor, but at its west ern edge. In 1362 the capital was already in Drinapoli (the pres ent Edirne), well this side of the Sea of Marmara and in the Bal kans. The ring tight ened around the unfortunate capital of the Eastern Roman Empire, but By zan tium did not fall un til 1453 at which time it be came Istambul, or in its shorter form, Stambul. During these years, the sabers of the rapacious Turk ish Sultanate reached ever further across the Balkans, toward the more precious parts of Europe, to conquer them, or at the very least to hurt them. By sea the primary target was Venice, as the principal guardian of the east-west trade routes. On land the main thrust was in the direction of Stambul-Sofia-Belgrade-Budapest-Vienna. This route was im pos sible un less the ar mies could cross the soft un der belly of Transylvania, the Hungarian Délvidék (South land).

When Béla IV received the Tatar letter quoted above, presumably in 1240, Pope Greg ory IX still urged him to lead a Cru sade for the lib er ation of the Holy Land. By this time the trend there had been re versed. In 1244, Je ru sa lem was lost and in 1291 the last Pal es tin ian for tress of the Crusaders, Acre, was captured by the Moslems, that is by the Mameluk Sul tan ate of Egypt.

This was the last chap ter in the se ries of of fen sive "Holy Wars" for the re cov ery of the cra dle of Chris tian ity from the pa gans, and for the control of the east ern com merce. At this point, the pen e tra tion of the pagans into southeastern Europe and the Balkans should have been prevented by a new crusade. The bastion of the eastern Apostolic Cross had fallen, and the west ern bas tion must now be de fended.

In Hun gary, in the mean time the nearly 40 year rule of Charles Rob ert, of the House of Anjou, came to an end and the 40 year rule of his son Louis I (the Great) (1342-1382) be gan. He also be came King of Po land in 1370, and spent enor mous en ergy and huge sums of money on the

conquest (re-conquest) of the throne of Naples for the House of Anjou. His rule, also not free from internal dissensions, was followed by the half century rule of Sigismund of Luxembourg (1387-1437). Sigismund first ruled as the consort of Louis's daugh ter Maria (1382-1395) and, after her early death in an actident, he held the throne alone. In Sigismund's day, the Turkish con quest had progressed to the point where the Bal kan buffer states were gone, and the Sultan's armies attacked the Hungarian home land directly.

Sigismund fought two bat tles with the Turks. At Nicapolis, in 1396, the Euro pean cru sader knights, led by him, were de feated, and in 1428 the campaign to re cover Galambóc, an important bas tion de fending Belgrade, lost the previous year, ended in disaster. These were ominous signs.

Between these two lost battles, in 1407, but we don't know where, a child was born, who was the first one to rec og nize the real sig nif i cance of this new east ern threat. The or i gins of János Hunyadi are un clear. He was thought to be Romanian (his father was a *boyar* who moved from Wallachia), and he was also thought to be the illegitimateson of King Sigismund. This is not our concern. His deeds speak for themselves. All we know is that he started from a rel a tively low rank, served in numerous campaigns and be came the leading military commander of 15th century Europe. It cannot be denied that he gained the respect of his rulers. At the end of his life he owned a property of 2 million hect ares, one quarter of which was in Transylvania. It is here that he built, almost in the face of the Turks, his mighty and justly cele brated fortress of Vajdahunyad. This was where his two sons, Ladislas and Matthias grew up.

János Hunyadi thought and acted more as a vas sal than as an in de pendent land owner and de voted al most all of his enor mous rev e nues to the war against the Turks. We may ig nore most of his he roic bat tles, both those he won and those he lost, and con cen trate on the one for which the bells still toll.

In 1456, hree years after having captured Byzantium-Constantinople and con verting it into Stambul, Sul tan Mo ham med II took the field in per son, and de parted for the siege of Nándorfehérvár. This city is today known as Bel grade¹ and is the cap i tal of Ser bia. In those days, it was a for tress not far from the Hun gar ian bor der and a key point along the military high way leading to Buda and Vi enna.

The relieving forces under Hunyadi were composed of three elements. Along side the Hungarian no bility and the paid mer cenaries, he used the lingering emotional appeal of the crusades and called the lower classes to arms. This was a very courageous act, since these were the people who in the past, op pressed and exploited, rose against their mas ters on more than one occasion. Under Nándorfehérvár they became comrades in arms. In recruiting the crusaders and also during the battle, Hunyadi's strong right arm was a Francis can friar, John Capistran, the future Saint John Capistran, a rigidly moral, fi ery priest and a mer ciless inquisitor.

The Chris tians won a re sounding vic tory. The wounded Sul tan was carried from the field by his guards, more dead than alive. This vic tory of Nándorfehérvár halted the Ot to man ex pan sion into Eu rope for more than a century. It was a huge op por tu nity waiting to be ex ploited, but only the bells tolled.

To day, few are aware of it, even in Hun gary, but wher ever in the world day af ter day the bells are rung in the churches at noon, this is done in memory of the vic tory János Hunyadi gained on July 22, 1456 under the walls of Nándorfehérvár. According to one version, it was Pope Callixtus III, who in his hap pi ness over this vic tory or dered all the bells in Rome to ring at noon. In fact, the or der to ring the bells pre ceded the battle and was issued on June 29. The Pope wished to use the bells to

 English translations of Belgrad and Fehérvár are equally 'white fort'. plead with the heav ens so that the bat tle which may have meant the survival of Chris tian ity be de cided in their fa vor. Yet the ear lier ver sion is not en tirely in cor rect. The fact that the noon ring ing was per pet u ated, was in deed in cel e bra tion of the vic tory. (Later, when the mem ory of Nándorfehérvár paled, the cus tom was main tained since it an nounced the mid dle of the day in all the Cath o lic lands and called the faith ful to supper).

Only a few weeks after the bat tle, an other bell tolled for János Hunyadi, the funeral bell. The plague swept through the camp and he became one of the vic tims. That same fall John Capistran also died. The loss of these two cham pi ons of vic tory at a time when the country was again in a leader ship crisis and slip ping into an archy, could have been fatal to the defense against the Turks. Hunyadi's career started in Transylvania, raced like a comet across the skies and ended in his premature death. For tunately there was an other Hunyadi to carry on.

In the in ter reg num be tween the mid-century strug gles for the throne, János Hunyadi car ried the ti tle of re gent and was in fact prac ti cally the king. He was a late-medieval, self made man who carved his path with his sword. When his bril liant ca reer came to an end in 1452, his old est son, the 23 year-old Ladislas, represented an almost dynastic successor. Several planned marriages would have connected him to either competing or as so ci ated mag nate families but no mar riage was ever solemnized. He piled honor upon honor. In 1452 he was already *ispán* of Pozsony, one year later he *was P* rince of Croatia-Slovenia. At the death of his fa ther, he was ispán of Temes, and now he in her ited his fa ther's es tates and most of his ti tles.

The king of Hun gary at this time was the post hu mous son of the first Habsburg ruler, Albert (1437-1439), Ladislas V (1440-1457) who was crowned as an in fant, being born some months after his father's death. There was at the same time another king of Hungary, Wladislas I (1440-1444), from the House of Jagello. In 1444 he accompanied Hunyadi on a well-intentioned but fool hardy cru sade against the Turks. After a few minor vic to ries, he was soundly de feated at Várna. The king

was left dead on the bat tle field. No body knows where he was bur ied. Thus, the Habs burg child-king, Ladislas V, was left alone and for a few years Hunyadi acted as regent. Let us re turn, how ever, to our his tor i cal sequence.

The struggle be tween the Hungarian mag nates be came accent uated after the death of the head of the Hunyadi family and they all competed in trying to dimin ish the patri mony and titles of his son Ladislas. The challenge became increasingly overt. When Ladislas V and the Hunyadi's main antagonist, Ulric Cillei, during their travels in Transylvania and southern Hungary expressed a desire to possess Vajdahunyad, Ladislas Hunyadi and his adherents murder Cillei. The king was terri fied and granted am nesty un der oath. A few months later, he had Ladislas taken pris oner in Buda and had him be headed.

The scene was a hor rible one. During the public execution, or ganized with the participa tion of the Court, the executioner struck three times, but the young man was still alive. According to the customs of the times, he should now have been par doned. Ladislas V, only 17-years-old but a neu rotic and pre ma turely roue lout, nod ded and the ex e cu tioner struck for the fourth time. This time the head was separated from the trunk. The king again took fright and fled, first to Vienna and then to Prague. He could easily do this, since he was si mul ta neously Duke of Aus tria and King of Bo he mia. He dragged the youn ger son, Matthias, with him as a hos tage. We can see the hand of fate when this very fall Ladislas succumbs to the plague. Parenthetically—still in 1438, a marauding Turk ish band, augmented by Romanian and Serb auxilia ries, invades Transylvania through the southern Transylvanian county of Hunyad. They were being guided through the Carpathian passes by a cer tain voivode of Wallachia, Dracul Vlad. It was on his guar an tee as a former officer of Sigismund that Szászsebes surrendered—to its destruction. They then succeeded to cap ture Gyulafehérvár and a number of other smaller towns or their outlying settlements, although they failed to take Szeben. They withdrew after a long and cruel rapine, loaded with trea sure and cap tives.

If we now wish to in ves ti gate the model for the cur rently univer sally familiar monster: Dracula, who is so intimately associated with Transylvania then, according to one version, we do not have to look further than the above Vlad. Earlier and by the grace of the king, he had been in vested with the Hungarian Dragon Knight hood. It was precisely this knightly designation (Dragon - Dracul - Devil) which induced his own Romanians to at tach the name Dracula to him and make him the seminal figure in a recurrent cycle of legends which came to yet an other flow ering in the 19th and 20th centuries.

According to another tradition, this seminal figure was younger and dates to the age of Matthias. He was also a voivode of Havaselve and son of the former. He became no to rious primarily by his predilection for having his ene mies and challengers impaled as a form of execution. He was not an in vader and, in fact, when the Turks took con trol of the Havaselve, he fled to Hungary.

One thing is cer tain. Dra cula, this mon ster, was a na tive of this region. He ex isted, was no to ri ous for his cru el ties—sadly not a rar ity in these times—and his fame spread from this lo ca tion. The first ones to spread the stories about this dreadful ogre were the loquacious humanists—one could call them rumormongers—of the court of Matthias.

The lout Ladislas V took the child Matthias Hunyadi with him as a captive. He did not, how ever, raise a hand against him. Gen erally, those few brief moments when the executioner took four strokes to severe Ladislas Hunyadi's neck caused con sider able con ster nation even in the blood thirsty era which gave rise to the Dra cula leg end.

It was the psychological after-effect of this botched execution that the Hunyadi family again gained precedence, could no longer be ignored and carried the favor of the bulk of the politically important mid-nobility with it. He who creates a martyr, multiplies the number of his own enemies.

There was also a peculiar "Hunyadi tradition". The tradition was more than an in her i tance, more than all the of fices and more than the enor mous pat ri mony which was so en vied by the Cilleis, that it led to a break with Ladislas and to the death of Ulric Cillei. We have empha sized al ready that János Hunyadi treated his lands like a feu dal property, and used them on be half of the king and for the pro tection of the coun try. He was also strongly in fa vor of giving an ear not only to the cen tral au thor ity and to the oli gar chy which con tin u ously at tempted to chisel away at this cen tral au thor ity, but also to the no bil ity in the counties and the rural districts, and even to the urban bourgeoisie which, compared to the rest of Europe, was relatively poorly developed in Hungary. He did this primarily to "spread" the neces sary bur den of the military-defensive costs to the widest possible base. Yet, the popularity and good will so gained among the mid dle classes also be came a part of the Hunyadi in her i tance. These classes will suffer a major dis appointment very shortly, par tic u larly in Transylvania.

At the end of 1457, a few weeks af ter the death of Ladislas V from the plague, Matthias Hunyadi was set free from his captivity in Prague. (The price of his freedom was his engagement to the Bo hemian prin cess Catherine Podjebrad, the daugh ter of his jailer, which ordinarily may be a good omen in case of a serious love affair, but which, in this in stance, was a pawn to a not very suc cess ful mar riage.) Shortly thereafter, on January 23 and 24, 1458 Matthias was elected king (1458-1490). Af ter the dis ap pear ance of the House of Árpád, the coun try once again had a na tive king, as sur ing the na tion of its right to self-determination and of its free dom of choice.

There are two dates because Matthias was proclaimed king both at the tra di tional as sem bly site of Hun gary, the Rákos meadow<sup>2</sup>, but also in Buda, on the ice of the frozen Dan ube, by 15,000 no ble men

#### 2 Today a suburb of Pest.

assembled for the purpose by his uncle, Mihály Szilágyi, the eminent magnate. The ac clamation was unanimous.

Mihály Szilágyi acted as guard ian and re gent. He be gan his regency and made de ci sions con cern ing taxes, goods and au thor i ties, far re moved from the spirit of John Hunyadi. In this, later he was fol lowed by Matthias himself. The central authority had to be strengthened. Since the great ones being great remained great, all these regulations were made at the expense of the smaller people, the middle-nobility, the Sax ons and the Székelys. There were move ments and re bel lions in Transylvania "against Buda", which gave rise to re pri sals and even to a punitive campaign.

This re gion had a bad start with the new king, who was born in Kolozsvár and grew up in Vajdahunyad. Later, hav ing re placed his uncle for act ing ar bi trarily in his name, (he sent Szilágyi to fight against the Turk where he was killed), he strengthened the defenses of Transylvania against at tack from the south. The Saxon cit ies were building fortifications and even in the villages the churches were fortified. The en deav ors of the king and of the pop u la tion were mu tu ally supportive, and not only among the Saxons, but among the Hungarians and Székelys as well.

Matthias decided to use Visegrád, rather than the distant and exposed Vajdahunyad, as the beneficiary of his generosity, and endowed it above all others with splendid adornments. He moved his mother to Buda. Vajdahunyad was not for got ten, how ever, and also received renaissance treasures and structural improvements. The magnates of Transylvania did likewise, in competition, with their own castles.

It was char ac ter is tic of Matthias's policies that while he was con vinced that the country had to be strength ened to be able to re sist the Turks, he with drew his at ten tion from the Balkans and turned his eyes to ward Vienna and Prague. He wished to control all effective forces against the Turks from there. This at tempt, while well in ten tioned and not un rea-

son able, ac complished very lit tle. The Transylvanian in her i tance from his fa ther was very help ful to Mathias in the begin ning. Even in the organization of the famous Black Army one can recognize János Hunyadi's in fluence, who al ways fa vored mer cenary forces. In the final analy sis, how ever, this other wise exception ally gifted son did not benefit much from this spir i tual in her i tance.

It must be mentioned about Transylvania in the age of the Hunyadis that at this time the Romanian elite—whether assimilated or not—could enter the ranks of the Hungarian no bility. Saxon in dependence was frequently manifested by their limiting the settlement of non-Germans in their cities. Székely free dom was en dan gered not only by external forces but also from internal dissensions, "so cietal pin cers", in which class interests outweighed the interests of the entire all-Székely community. Even though the extra-Carpathian regions increasingly slipped out from under Hungarian control, the export and import of goods to and from this area was controlled by Transylvania and was very profitable.

The principal Hungarian exports were precious metals and live an imals. The main imported items were textiles, particularly woolens. The principal Transylvanian export items were mining products. The bulk of the Hungarian cattle export came from the Great Plain. Moldavia and the Wallachia were the major markets and transfer points for the textile products coming through Hungary from the west. Since a number of cities had the right to collect duties, this was very lucrative for Transylvania.

The late Ro man, Gothic and late Gothic re mains in di cate that the majority of the late me di eval ar chi tec tural and ar tis tic ef forts were di rected to ward the churches. It is much less ev i dent, and shows up later in the castles, mansions and, finally, in the houses of the bourgeoisie. Matthias him self was born in one of the Gothic homes in Kolozsvár. We know of several artists of the Transylvanian Gothic, such as the painter Nich olas Kolozsvári, who pre sum ably ran a large ate lier and his two sons, Marton and György, who were both sculp tors of ge nius. We

must again em pha size that the Transylvanian Gothic rep re sents a sharp di vid ing line be tween the two dis tinct ar eas of Eu rope.

Cre ative arts may be en joyed ev ery where in the world, but in the cul ture of Transylvania the ma jor em pha sis must be placed on the emer gence of the mother tongue. Why Hungarian be came so strong, rel a tive to Latin, at this time is not at all clear. In Buda and Visegrád, among the hu man ists in the court of Matthias, Latin was not only the lan guage of the church or of the ad min is tration, but en joyed al most complete dominance even in in terper sonal communication. This was universal, since Latin is the Es pe ranto of the age.

By contrast, in Transylvania, the Saxons while preventing the set tlement of non-Germans maintained their own language and literature, even though their lead ers were all flu ent in Latin. Partly un der Hussite in flu ences and also, of course, in mo nas tic cir cles nu mer ous parts of the Scrip tures were trans lated into Hungarian in Transylvania. And this was not all. Romanian literacy was more advanced in the Carpathian Basin than beyond it, even though there the preponderance of Romanians was much greater.

We must rely on es ti mates alone, but at the death of Matthias, at the end of the 15th cen tury, Transylvania had ap prox i mately slightly less than 500,000 in hab it ants. About 60% were Hun gar ian, in clud ing of course the Székelys, 24% were al ready Ro ma nian, and the Sax ons made up the re main ing 16%.

## The Remainder

Let it begin with a family name, or rather with several names of the same family. The progenitor of the Szapolyai or Zápolya was a certain Ladislas, who under the name of Vajdafi, left the service of János Hunyadi and be came, among other things, *ispán* of the salt monopoly. One of his two sons, Imre, added to the family fortunes by making large loans to King Matthias for very large returns. His younger brother, István, ac quired un dy ing fame—and titles and es tates, by convincing the no bles, vac il lating after Matthias's death, to elect the oth erwise eligible Wladislas II (1490-1516). Imre did not consider him self to be eligible, but since the election of a Hungarian king may be come a reality, he did raise his son as a per son eligible for the king ship. He did much to ac complish this, even though he does not do much else. All these mat ters were more or less re lated to Transylvania.

Af ter Matthias's death and the end of the Hunyadi era, the two most im por tant dates are 1514 and 1526, the dates of two re lated trag e dies. But first there was 1506. Wladislas II, to celebrate the birth of the crown prince wished to collect an old tax from the Székelys, which they re fused with the justification that as no bles, they were no longer subject to tax a tion. The Székely re bellion was de feated, with Szeben Saxon participation, under the leadership of Pál Tomori, who at this time was "just" a sol dier, but later be came arch bishop of Kalocsa and perished at the bat tle of Mohács. When the Székelys sent a de tach ment to take re venge on the Sax ons, it was led by a György Dózsa de Makfalva. He may be, but prob a bly is not, the peas ant leader György Dózsa.

The cam paign of 1514 started out as a cru sade. The army, which could be joined by the "or di nary peo ple", should have marched against the Turks. The nationwide, serious dissatisfaction—not so much of the poor est strata, but of the landed serfs who par tic i pated in the pro duction of goods and had thus some thing to loose—turned the cru sad ers against the no bil ity. It ap peared al most as the first breath of the Ref orma tion. At the head of this de struc tive move ment, later known as the

Dózsa Peas ant Re volt, marched and fought a num ber of Fran cis can friars. Some of them—who sur vived long enough—were among the first Protestant preach ers.

Al though the move ment was led mil i tarily by György Dózsa, who was clearly considered a Transylvanian, it concentrated primarily on the Great Plains. In Transylvania, it did not touch the Székely regions, and touched only a few of the Hungarian areas. These included some important, and justly un happy, salt and mining cities such as Dés, Torda, Abrudbánya, Zalatna and Torockó.

At this time, since 1510, the twenty-year-old Szapolyai (Zápolya), the fu ture king, was voivode of Transylvania. His first mili tary tri umph was the de struction of the Dózsa army ap proach ing from the Great Plain. The bat tle of Temesvár put an end to the larg est peas ant re volt in Hungarian his tory. Three years later, in 1517, he was again the executioner of an other, smaller re volt, this time in Transylvania. At this time the enterprising voivode con fis cated the property of the participants for the Crown. This was contrary to Székely tradition. It had always been one of the privileges of the Székelys that in case of disloy alty, the property of the guilty per son went to his relatives. There was no collective punish ment for in dividual crime.

Between the time of these two campaigns of Szapolyai, Wladislas II died and was suc ceeded by his ten-year-old son, Louis II (1561-1526). It was de creed that the voivode of Transylvania was responsible for the defense of Transylvania alone, while the governor of Temes was responsible for the Temesköz In return, they had to fight in any other part of the coun try only if the en tire coun try was in deadly peril. This decree formally codified a regionalization which had been a practical real ity for some time. King. Louis II was al ready mar ried. At the age of 10, in 1515, he mar ried the nine-year-old Maria Habs burg, the daugh ter of Philip le Bel and Johanna the Insane. At the same time, his brother-in-law, Ferdinand Habsburg, married Louis's sister, Anna Jagellion. Thus, a two-fold marriage united the Czech-Hungarian

House of Jagello with the Austrian House of Habsburg. This was to have enor mous con se quences in the near fu ture.

In 1520, when the Jagello boy and the Habs burg girl may have al ready con sum mated their mar riage in Buda, Suleiman II, known to his tory as the Great, and as the Con queror, as sumed the throne in Stambul, which he will hold for 46 years. This took place on Sep tem ber 22, which was too late in the year for a Turk ish style cam paign. In June 1521, how ever, the Turkish armies appeared be fore Nándorfehérvár, followed very soon by the Padishah. Af ter a siege of a month and a half, the city was taken and the ar mies re turned to Stambul, so that Suleiman the Great may cel e brate the first an ni ver sary of his rule at home. This, both symbol i cally and in re al ity, brought to an end the breathing space that János Hunyadi gained in 1436, when he was triumphant at this very same place. Now the Ot to man ad vance seemed ir re sist ible.

A se ries of fron tier bas tions were con quered. The Hun gar ian line of de fense was grad u ally pushed back to ward the north west. This con tinued un til 1526, when Suleiman, ad vanc ing along his usual route slowly and al most lei surely, crossed the Száva on a newly built bridge and approached Mohács with an enor mous army. The king hes i tated. Should he again mo bi lize the lower or ders? On the news of the Turk ish prep a ra tions, he mo bi lized only 20% of the serfs in March, and only 50% in July. Finally, at the begin ning of August, on his way to ward Mohács, he ordered the mobilization of all forces. He also sent János Szapolyai, voivode of Transylvania, con tra dic tory in structions. First, he asked him to bring his army to the prob a ble field of bat tle, then he told him to stay away. In spite of this, the rumors were rife afterward, accusing the voivode of having started out to ward Mohács, but then intentionally delaying his ar rival on the plains of bat tle. His army of ten thou sand men remained untouched, while the king's and Tomori's army of 25,000—nota bene, mostly for eign mer ce naries—was es sen tially an ni hilated on August 29, 1526 on the field of Mohács. Both the prelate-commander-in-chief and the king per ished. The lat ter drowned in the flooded creek Csele, al though there was a wide spread be lief that he was killed by his own men.

Szapolyai remained at Szeged, the Queen Maria took a boat up the Dan ube and the ar mies of Suleiman—burning and looting—saun tered into the un pro tected Buda. North of Buda, at Pilismarót, the refugees formed a camp, but the country lost more people here from ill ness and hun ger than it did at Mohács. Since this cam paign was more in the nature of a final warning for Vienna, the Turks evac u ated Hungary, leaving only a line of defended fortresses in the Szerémség. Thus, the ter ri ble de feat did not af fect Transylvania di rectly. In di rectly, how ever, the effects were momentous. Szapolyai, who probably stayed away from Mohács intentionally, was ac claimed king on two sep a rate oc casions in the newly "liberated" country, once in October at Tokaj and again in No vem ber in Székesfehérvár. In the lat ter place he ac tu ally had the crown placed on his head in the presence of the no bles as sembled there. He im me di ately ap pointed the enor mously wealthy Pe ter Perényi voivode of Transylvania, who then be trayed him within the year. János (Szapolyai) I (1526-1540) did not stay king alone for very long. In Decem ber, in Pozsony, the no bles as sem bled there ac claimed Ferdinand I (1526-1546), the Habs burg brother-in-law of the late Louis II, King of Hun gary. Ferdinand was al ready King of Bo he mia and will shortly gain su preme power as the Holy Ro man Emperor.

There was thus an in ter nal fight for the throne and a state of civil war, with the Turks just beyond the garden wall. Allegiances were shifting back and forth, the sit u a tion was to tally con fused, and at times ev erybody seemed to be against ev ery body else. Ini tially, János I was not doing well. His pri mary base of oper a tions was Transylvania, that he knew well and that was far removed from Vienna and Prague, but here Ferdinand's men turned the Sax ons against him. For a while he had to flee to Poland. He returned home with Turkish help or, perhaps, on Turk ish or ders, and took posses sion of the Hungarian crown. This demeaning alliance was barely sufficient for him to continue the civil war. The best he could achieve was to divide the country with Ferdinand along a line of demar cation. Even this had to be done in secret, in or der not to offend the Sultan. Then, Ferdinand—underhandedly—leaked this information to Stambul, hop ing to thus get rid of his Hungarian opponents. In Stambul, however, the Hungarians, having paid hand-

somely for this, stood higher than the Emperor. The Sul tan was furious, but more with Ferdinand than with János. He forgave Szapolyai, but at a price.

What kind of a love af fair was this be tween the na tional King of Hungary and Suleiman, who was a major threat to the freedom and in dependence of his country. It was not a love affair. John was quite conscious of the fact that his kingdom was at best a buffer zone. He was also con vinced that the Habsburgs, being other wise oc cupied, were not go ing to de fend this pe riph eral area against grad ual ero sion by the Turks. Thus, the limited sover eignty of fered by the Turks was the lesser of two evils. The price was an apparent—but nevertheless binding—loy alty to Stambul and the payment of a large cash trib ute. Lesser evil, greater evil? A lit tle of both... The decision that János had to make at this time on be half of him self and of his country be came a fun damen tal is sue for Transylvania for many long years to come.

In the meantime, the multinational House of Fugger, utilizing all its pre-capitalism in dus try, tried to ob tain the metal mining rights in northern Hun gary, first from János and then from Ferdinand. They had been in vited to do so, and then they had been for bid den the coun try. Most re cently János granted them the rights to or ga nize and ex ploit the mining and trad ing of salt in Transylvania. We know about this be cause one of their agents, a cer tain Hans Dernschwam who to day would prob a bly be described as their foreign manager, prepared a detailed travel and business report. On the 16th of August, 1528 he reported from Torda as follows: "In Torda we need draught horses, bridles, traces, steel, suet, heavy ropes, oats, hay, lum ber, coal, hides, etc. All these things are unavail able but we can not function with out them and must be aware of this. Thus, we have to pay double for every thing and on the spot, since whoever goes to the market without much cash gets nothing. Everything should be bought in its own time, but since there are now no ready offers, we must buy everything at the worst possible moment. Every thing needed for our work, food and all other neces sities, must be ob tained on a daily ba sis."

However, as he pointed out, to make money you need salt, but to get salt, you first need money. And so he con tin ued: "I can't tell you precisely which road to use for bring ing in money. The Abrudbánya road where you had such bad luck, is obviously not without danger. The Wallachians who did the rob bery have be come even more daring, since they have not been pun ished. If you want to use this road, you should do it only if you have armed mounted guards and if the carts have iron-shod wheels. The road to ward Nagyvárad may be more open, but has not been used for a long time and may be a problem due to the Wallachians who live there. The people can be called to arms very quickly and they will then over run the road. With out sufficient cap ital, the losses are go ing to in crease. It would be best to bring it in along the Abrudbánya road. For protection, use some court officers, well supplied with let ters of au thor ity from the com mand ers and lords. Yet, if you think that it may be better, come di rectly here from Buda with a cart and a few horses. This would cause less commotion. The problems were actually initiated by the lords of the fortresses. One of the Wallachians admitted—before im pale ment—that he had acted on orders from Losonczy. The con fes sion is with the judges at Brassó and Abrudbánya..."

Who the Losonczy may be who was be hind the Wallachian's crime was not given in the letter. Every body looked only after their own af fairs, the two kings and the Turks in their peculiar triangle. Dernschwam tried to make all arrangements so that the country should have salt and—more importantly—that the Fuggers should make a profit from the salt. The lords fished in each others turbid waters, and the people engaged in robbery, by order or by in dividual initiative. All this, however, paled in comparison with the ongoing destruction caused by the various armies.

In the meantime, János Szapolyai acquired a wise friend and good counselor in the former soldier and current monk, the Croatian friar George Martinuzzi. Finally, he also got a wife, from Poland. Both of these facts will become more important after Szapolyai is gone. The "young" husband learned in July of 1540 that he had a son, and he

wrote a tes ta ment ac cord ingly. He died on July 17 or 21. On Sep tem ber 13, the ten-week-old in fant was pro claimed king by the few no bles assembled at Rákos. He will use the name János II, but will never re ally be János II. Or will he?

It is now the summer of 1541. The young widow acted as regent in Buda, in the company of her son and his guardians. Buda was under siege and even many in Transylvania, not only the Sax ons, are loyal to Ferdinand. The country resembled a multicolored mosaic, loyalties shifted back and forth and even Isabella was tempted to look toward Vi enna for help against the Turk. Martinuzzi's pri mary pur pose was to keep the Hun gar i ans cor ralled un der one flag. When he said any thing else, he was playing political games.

It was the practice of the Turks to go campaigning every summer. In 1541, Suleiman again took the road to ward Hun gary. He eas ily chased off the Ger mans be sieging Buda and then the atri cally and quasi pa ternally received the hope ful in fant and his en tou rage in front of his ceremonial tent. The verbal promises of support were followed by an opulent feast. While the feast was in progress, the Sultan's janissaries wan dered through the for tress of Buda like friendly, fa mil iar tour ists. They liked it so much that they de cided to stay. In the evening, the Muez zin called them to prayer from the tower, and the Turk ish em blem of victory, the horse tail flags, flew from the battlements.—Just as it was supposed to be.

"In ex change", Suleiman, at the foot of the Cas tle Hill, gra ciously bestowed Transylvania, the area be yond the Tisza and the Temesköz, on Isabella and on the guard ians of the in fant. There was a very mod est an nual trib ute, but there were stringent political conditions at tached to the be quest. The first of these was that Bálint Török, one of the three guard ians of the in fant, and whom for rea sons unknown the Sul tan did not trust, be de livered to him. Török was taken as a cap tive to Stambul, and after de cades in the prison of the Cas tle of the Seven Towers, died in captivity.

What be gan with the prome nade of the janissaries and with east ern effron tery, gives Stambul control of the Carpathian Ba sin. There will be fights, dip lo matic chi ca nery, and more east ern tricks, but the Sul tan assumed the over lord ship of the country in 1541. Buda was not recaptured until 1686, al most a century and a half later. The reconquest was not accomplished by a ruse, but by a prodigious shedding of the blood of the united European ar mies.

The coun try, which con sisted of two parts since 1526, is now di vided into three. A large central tri an gle which ex tends well north of Buda and which includes the fertile Great Plains and the eastern half of Transdanubia, as well as the north-central moun tains and the south ern part of Transylvania be came an in creas ingly in te gral part of the Ot toman Em pire. The nar row west ern and north ern area still be longed to the dy namic, but else where oc cu pied and fight ing Habs burg Em pire. The Aus trian He red i tary Prov inces formed a buffer zone against Turkish at tacks to ward Vi enna, and a pos si ble bridge head for some fu ture ex pan sion to ward the East.

What about the East? Friar George, who in the mean time re ceived the scar let hat of a car di nal, en gaged in in ter mit tent fights with the tal ented but very will ful Isabella and des per ately tried to main tain Transylvania on the shifting sands of in ter na tional politics. He smoothed the path for Habsburg rule, since help from the west could come only from them, but he also had to stay on the right side of Stambul. Finally, with his as sis tance, Isabella and her son de parted for Silesia, be ing compensated there with a minor principality. Martinuzzi seemed to reap his award. While he was ef fec tively gov ern ing al ready, he now ruled in the name of Ferdinand, who himself played a dual game. He gave complete con trol over the east ern regions to the Car di nal. Yet he cau tioned his gen er als against him and se cretly gave them full free dom of ac tiv ity.

These are infernal times. Yet when did Transylvania have any other? The justifiably suspicious Sultan, partly for practice and partly to in timidate, repeatedly sent marauding parties into Transylvania, consisting of Turk ish troops and Tatar, Ser bian, Wallachian and other mercenar-

ies. Learning about the re moval of Isabella, he read ied a gen eral as sault. Martinuzzi, who did not feel that his own Transylvanian forces, even combined with the troops of Ferdinand, were sufficiently strong, resorted to his usual tactical ploys, ne go tiated within dividual Pa shas and tried to gain time. Considering this to be treason, he was killed in his cas tle by Ferdinand's com mand ers at the end of 1551. This proved to be worse than a sin. It was a mis take.

It was thus and here that the Transylvanian Principality was born from the blood of the friar. But not right away. The frontier for tresses fell, one by one and the slow but per sis tent ad vance of the Turks was ir resistible. Suleiman de manded the re turn of Isabella, which did oc cur in the fall of 1556. János II be came king on the death of his mother in 1559, but really just in name. Weighed down by his inheritance, he makes a deal with Ferdinand's successor, the Emperor Maximilian (1564-1576), agrees to marry the emperor's daughter and cedes the inheritance to him in case the mar riage did not produce a son.

Transylvania and Up per Hun gary were rid dled by be tray als and con trover sies. In 1562 there was a ma jor Székely up ris ing and in the sum mer of 1566, John II had to go to pay hom age to Suleiman in Zimony. The Sul tan was on his way to Szigetvár, where he came to the end of his life. The death of Suleiman the Great and the ensuinginterregnum gave a break to the Hungarian regions, but not to John. In 1671, the 31-year-old John Sigismund died. He had no chil dren; in fact, he never mar ried. How come? The rea son was that he was so fond of his of fi cer, councilor and friend, a cer tain Gáspár Békés, that he usually insisted that he spend the night with him, ac tu ally in the royal bed chamber...

These were infernal times. A contemporary song, quoted to me by a Transylvanian friend goes:

Prince John Sigismund
Took My Cow
As a trib ute to the Em Peror,
Beg Garing Me.
God, send us the Turks
To pun ish them.
Spare not their tribe,
Kill them where you can.

Who was here the emperor? In the final analy sis, he was the one whose taxes were so mer cilessly collected by John Sigismund's agents. No matter. Don't look at the pre cise words of the song, but at the split in personality, born of desperation. A people, in this case one of the Transylvanian nationalities, the Székelys called on the Turks, whom they know and dread, to take ven geance on their own mas ters. This was only one as pect of the period of John Sigismund, justly dis liked by the Székelys. There was an other as pect, but first we must take a step back in time.

## A Peculiar, Peculiar Little Country

It was only as re cently as 1517 that Mar tin Lu ther nailed his the ses to the door of the Wittenberg Ca the dral. In the Transylvania of this time the yearning for a breath of fresh air in religion was not with out precedent. The spirit of Hussism had reached northern Hungary directly, and hence Transylvania in directly. Later some Ana bap tists visited and then set tled. Their de scen dants to day are referred to as Habans on the basis of some of their pot tery that has come down to us.

The Lutherian teachings found their optimal entry point simultaneously in northern Hungary and in Transylvania among the urban Saxon population driving for independence and for individual recognition. This trend was promoted by the fact that most of the early pathbreakers of the Reformation were Germans. Lutheran conversions among the Hungarians followed very shortly.

The first pub lic re li gious de bate was held in the Transylvanian Segesvár in 1538 between a Franciscan and a "Re formed" min is ter. It was not only condoned, but actually organized by János Szapolyai. (The outcome was a cau tious "tie"). The Transylvanian Diet in Torda in 1548 wished to limit missionary ardor, but at the same time recognized Lutheranism. This or di nance was clas si cally two-faced and doomed to failure, yet it was undoubtedly elegant. The spread of the Calvinist form of Prot es tant ism was also very rapid in our re gion. A 1557 edict of the Transylvanian Diet in Torda de clared with out any res er va tions that "Ev ery one shall live in any re li gion of their choos ing," while the remaining Catholics became persecuted minorities in some areas and were forced to move. They now had to be pro tected by laws.

We hasten to emphasize that this was not yet the end of the Cath olic—Lutheran (Evangelical)—Calvinist (Reformed) chain. On this eastern edge of the Latin Christian world, the denial of the trinity, Anti-trinitarianism also originating from the west, was deeply embedded and as sumed the form of Unitarianism which evolved into a formal, national Church still very much alive today. Its evolution and flow ering can be as signed to the era of John Sigismund, who at the end of his life was one of its followers. It is thus that in 1563, the Transylvanians—again at a Torda Diet—declared the freedom of the four "accepted" religions. These were: Roman Catholic, Evangelical, Reformed and Unitarian. The Eastern Orthodox creed, practiced by the Romanians was not among the "accepted" religions, but the increase of both its wooden and stone churches and the function ing of its monasteries proves that religious tolerance extended to them. Their omission from the Torda decree was not due to religious causes but was a function of their so ci etal —"na tional"—sta tus.

The boldness and elegance in religious thought and religious life was rel a tive and not en tirely con sis tent. There were im pris on ments and in some ex treme cases even deaths con nected to, or based on en thu si asm in the prop a ga tion of various faiths. Yet the in quisi to rial rage which, in the case of Servet, a noted Anti-Trinitarian, af fected even Cal vin himself, was en tirely ab sent in Transylvania.

In Transylvania, the chain was not at an end even now. Dogmatically, Prot es tant ism evolved pri mar ily from a re turn to the text of the Scriptures. The Unitarians, even more radically, rejected every thing that was post-Christ. One group in Transylvania based its entire reliance on the Old Testa ment alone. The Sabbatarians were getting close to Juda ism, not only in the observance of the weekly holiday but in other religious questions as well. (Taking a giant leap in his tory, we must add that the Sabbatarians faced a dread ful end and that its members were caught up in the mur der ma chine of the Holo caust of 1944. Their few sur vi vors were well comed in the new State of Is rael).

In the mid dle of the above cen tury, the in creased religious free dom and the more lib eral think ing that has led to it, the doubts and the abil ity to se lect ones path in life, also allowed the en tire in tellec tual en viron ment to flower and be come much more color ful. The religious de bates, oc casionally bloody and rich in obscenities, led to significantly increased reading, translating, printing and publishing. The free exchange of

ideas allowed many more young men from various classes in Transylvania to at tend univer sities. Those returning from the univer sities introduced more up-to-date knowledge and teaching methods throughout the land. In this, the Protestants played a dominant role. Initially their endeavors were characterized by bringing religious and other novel ideas from abroad and by their dissemination at home. Later there was a vig or ous ex change of religious and other ideas lo cally and by in terper sonal contacts. The fame of the Transylvanian free doms spread abroad. Protestants fleeing from persecution came in groups. Prot es tants in other parts of Europe wel comed the eman ci pated young men from Transylvania, cel e brated for its religious in no vations.

In the final analysis, much good and bad can be said about the Transylvania of John Sigismund. We must add that most of the bad things come from Székely tra di tion. For them the only thing by which they judged the man, who was the last na tional king and the first Prince of Transylvania, was that he drowned in blood their large scale and clearly justified rebellion, triggered by their increasing subjugation. They also bitterly resented that he had two new fortresses erected in 1562, pri mar ily to con trol Székely ac tiv i ties. The one in Udvarhelyszék was called Székelytámadt (attacked by the Székelys), and the one in Háromszék was called Székelybánja (the Székelys re gret it).

His suc ces sor had a to tally dif fer ent fate, way of life, per spec tive and his toric rep u ta tion. Since John Sigismund died with out is sue, ac cording to their agreement, Transylvania should have gone over to the Habsburg Maximilian. The no bles, fear ing Stambul, and wor ried about their independence—a paradox, yet reality—preferred to elect István Báthory (1571-1586) as voivode. Following this challenging in vi ta tion, he se cretly swore alle giance to Maximilian, while publicly ac cepting the en dorse ment of his election by the Sul tan. His for mer ges ture was in vain, he had to pursue Maximilian's ad her ents with armed forces. He reached the peak of his ca reer four years later, in 1575, when in Cra cow he was elected king of Po land. It ap peared to the Pol ish electors that this little voivode from Transylvania may be more malleable in their hands than some of the other el i gi ble can di dates. If this was what they

thought, they were wrong. Yet, they never had any reason to regret their decision.

This change of István Báthory's role was en dorsed by the Turks as well, even though Báthory hoped that with this change he could gather enough strength to make a re sis tance to Stambul pos si ble, or, at least, to be regarded as an equal partner by the Sultan. Just like Matthias Hunyadi, who first tried to pro tect his back and was re cruit ing a force, but never had an op por tu nity to at tack in the south, István Báthory got into a bit ter war with the Rus sian Tsar Ivan IV (The Ter ri ble), and had all his fu ture plans ne gated by his pre ma ture death at the ze nith of his pow ers, at the age of fifty-three. He had no is sue and his suc ces sor had no is sue ei ther.

Ac cord ing to Pol ish tra di tion, the de cade of Báthory's reign is con sidered to be one of the glo ri ous pe ri ods of their his tory. They are right. It was. At the same time, Transylvania was gov erned by Kristóf Báthory, the Cracovian king's honorable, but less outstanding elder brother as voivode. The fact that his activities were subject to a Transylvanian chan cellery in Cracow can not be faulted, but his dy nas tic en deav ors on be half of his mi nor son are open to se ri ous crit i cism.

Transylvania was kept in or der and pros pered un der the long dis tance man age ment of István Báthory. Un der the rule of his nephew, the unfortunate Zsigmond Báthory (1588-1599), the notin con siderable political, moral and eco nomic strength of the country was rapidly wasted. He was insecure, fled from responsibility, had a notoriously unhappy marriage, and in termit tently resigned from and returned to the princely throne. Transylvanian mem ory recalls the last years of the old cen tury and the first years of the new one as having been worse than the time of John Sigismund—no mean ac complish ment.

The Habs burg mer cenary troops, under the notoriously cruel Albanian general Basta, committed dreadful depredations in both men and goods, in spite of the fact that Zigmond Báthory, leaving the throne for the last time, offered Transylvania to the very strange Emperor

Rudolph (1572-1608). We are going to give only one example of the many bad things that hap pened in this poor land, be set from so many sides. Transylvania be came used to the idea that with the Turks on the other side of the fence, the Romanian voivodate of the Havasalföld, pro viding fron tier troops for the Sul tan, would make in roads from time to time. This, in it self, was not amazing. Such in roads were also made in the opposite direction. At this time, however, when the Turks were much less active in this region, Mihai, the Romanian voivode of the Havasalföld—the cel e brated Mihai Viteazul, or Mihai the Hero who was born in 1557 and ruled from 1593 to 1601—at tacked Transylvania un der Habs burg col ors. For a short pe riod he even be came the rul ing prince. It could not even come as a sur prise that a num ber of Székelys, oppressed and rebellious under Sigismund Báthory, were fighting in Mihai's army.

Two years and one year. This was all the time the next two rulers had. Yet, in the little time allot ted to him, the very able military commander, István Bocskai (1605-1606) ac complished much. He could do this because he managed to train a good army from among the previously chas tised but now pacified Székelys and from the wild Heyduck. The latter, while not regular troops, could be disciplined fighting forces and they played an important and questionable role in the times to come. They be came the cutting blades of a number of employers, which cut well, but could not rest. Condemned to inactivity—without pay or loot—they seemed to pro voke new con frontations.

In the win ter of 1604-1605, Bocskai be came suc cessively the Prince of Transylvania and of Hun gary, with the lat ter standing on the verge of having a national king. Lo cated be tween "two great imperial powers", this astute soldier shied away from the kingdom. Being aware of his own military strength, he made a favor able peace with Rudolph, and he was the intermediary for a Turkish-Habsburg peace treaty. Death stopped him from enjoying the fruits of these en deav ors.

While the several ambitious and mutually suspicious aspirants to the throne arranged a brilliant funeral for Bocskai in Gyulafehérvár, Zsigmond Rákoczi (1607-1608), having previously amassed an enormous fortune, had himself hast ily and slyly elected as prince. Barely a year later he was dead. He was thus just an in ter lude, post poning the decision. His accomplishment was to bring another brilliant Hungarian mag nate fam ily to the fore. It will very soon have an enor mous in fluence on the life and on the polit i cal power struc ture of Transylvania.

What a gal lery! On the throne, the first one af ter Rákóczi was Gábor Báthori (1608-1613), the third mem ber of this large fam ily to hold this po si tion. He was an em i nent sol dier, but an un bri dled, avid lecher, and an insanely ambitious ruler. He attacked everybody and managed to an tag o nize ev ery body. The un for tu nate re sult of this was that his behav ior causes an other shift in the Transylvanian po lit i cal axis and that his for mer ad her ent and as so ci ate, Gábor Bethlen, was forced to seek in creased Turk ish con tacts. The Sul tan was also en raged and used his Turk ish and Ta tar troops to chase Gábor Báthori from his throne. This was not very proper, but was clearly in di cated. Seeing that he had lost his po lit i cal power, Báthori's heyducks mur dered him.

Let us in terpose here some thing, that re ally should have been discussed earlier, namely the actual form of government in Hungary and Transylvania. The House of Árpád, endowed with the crown under Stephen I, es tab lished an es sen tially un limited royal gov ern ment, where the suc ces sion was vested in in her i tance and the le git i mate king owed re spon si bil ity only to God. In ac tual re al ity and after much tug of war, there were in creasing limitations placed on the personal power of the king and on the regulation of the succession. We must think only of the Golden Bull (the Hun gar ian Magna Carta), which in sti tuted a form of so cial con tract be tween the ruler and the ruled and which wrested conces sions and prom ises from the ruler. Af ter the reign of the House of Árpád, but particularly with the election of Matthias Corvinus and János Szapolyai, and con trary to the char ac ter is tics of ab so lute mon archy, Hun gary and Transylvania functioned more like a republic of the no bles. The members of this "re public" naturally did not represent the en tire pop u la tion, but was largely lim ited to the higher and mid dle nobil ity. Grad ually oth ers were en dowed with quasi no ble at trib utes and

were able to participate, directly, or through their representatives in gath er ings which were now known as Diets.

This type of the re public of the no bil ity can be demon strated in several Middle European countries. Here, a consider ably larger per centage of the entire population is given no ble or quasi-noble privileges than in the countries to the west of us where the classic feu dalso ciety limited the rule to a much smaller elite. To the east of us, the prevalent form of government was the absolute royal power, and the even more absolute despotism that prevailed for very many years to come. Even though there were geopolitical pressures, the decision to dethrone Gábor Báthori was made—with Turkish assistance—by the nobility. It was also their decision that made Gábor Bethlen (1613 - 1629) Báthori's successor. This was the beginning of Transylvania's Golden Age.

## **Transylvania in World Politics**

A golden age...Why? How? The cen tury in which the en tire Carpathian Basin had suffered immense losses in both men and goods had just come to an end. It is a well-known fact that de mo graphic losses caused by war fare are rap idly made up by the sur viv ing pop u la tion. The dead and the cap tives were re placed by hast ily con ceived chil dren. If, however, the losses caused by war are aggravated by losses caused by epidemics and natural disasters, the combined demographic losses may af fect generations. The bill was further in creased by the unrelated fact that in this era—the era of discoveries—the principal commercial routes had been redrawn. Also, bloody but cheap, the trans-oceanic gold and silver de valued the precious metal production and export in all of Europe and particularly in Transylvania and northern Hungary.

The literature of Gábor Bethlen's rule and per son ality fills libraries, and the in ter ested reader can easily get lost in de tails. The early days of his reign—including the way in which he gained the throne—were overshad owed by the fact that he had to yield the for tress of Lippa to the Turks. Knowing how many for tresses have changed hands how many times, and how much the Turk ish Empire has grown during these years, this one for tress does not seem to be of much importance. And yet, it was. The reason being that at this time there was a strong reaction against Bethlen's unpop ular choice of leaning to ward the Turks. And when on the Sul tan's request, the prince, willy-nilly had to give up this important southern for tress, he had to be siege and evict his own troops who re fused to give up the for tress. It was a terribly bit ter les son...

This took place in 1616. Two years later, Gábor Bethlen became involved in the first stage of the strug gle be tween the re bel lious Prague and the ob scure Vi enna, which spread through out Europe and be came the ebb ing and flood ing re li gious strug gle known as the Thirty Years' War. The Coun ter Reformation af fected Transylvania only tangentially and its ex cesses were con sis tently rejected. Thus, Transylvania, strongly Protestant and with a strong Calvinistorientation participated in this

war—one of the prin ci pal is sues be ing man's free dom of choice—not as a minor, peripheral participant, but as a major player. At times, Transylvania became the most important player in this tragedy. Even though "the world" was ex pand ing very rap idly in this age, and far beyond Eu rope, the role Transylvania had as sumed in this strug gle, made it for the first and last time in its his tory a fac tor in world pol i tics. Af ter mod est be gin nings, this was no mean ac com plish ment for such a tiny coun try. Fur ther more, at this very time, Transylvania en joyed peace at home for the first time in a very long while.

"It was a pe culiar charac teris tic of his armies that other than the regular tax, their ex is tence did not weigh eco nom i cally on the Transylvanians, who were pleased to hear about the suc cesses of their prince in the far west with out ever having to experience the fury of war on their own bod ies. The life in Transylvania was like the mir rored sur face of a lake, barely rip pled by a gen tle breeze, while the ar mies of the prince were en gaged in bloody bat tles. Ev ery bar ber shop in Pozsony was filled with the wounded and the dying and many regions of North and North west Hun gary be came dev as tated bat tle fields year after year. In recent years these regions were also fighting a loosing battle with starvation. The sparse news reaching Transylvania caused very little excitement. All right, so the prince had again de feated the Ger mans or that this or that brave knight had fallen. This was noth ing com pared to the de struction of Transylvania in the decade following Zsigmond Báthory when the flower of the high nobility perished and the country was beset by five-six enemies at the same time (...) When the far distant prince requested ad di tional men or in creased taxes, the no bil ity gath ered in National and County Assemblies, with the Saxons sitting in their own "short meeting", reg u larly an swered 'we will not give'. When a sec ond re quest came, they promised to pay. Ev ery body knew that all this was in ci den tal, that the prince waged war with his own re sources and on his own responsibility and that he would abandon it when appropriate, hav ing enough sense to judge the proper mo ment." (Gyula Szekfü)

Gábor Bethlen was a good sol dier, a states man look ing far into the future, a good mas ter and a gen er ous and wise pa tron. What Transylvania

accomplished un der his lead er ship is a wit ness, how ever, to the enormous po ten tial strength of this land and of this peo ple as well. "Just" a little calm, "just" a little or der in its mer can tile and ad min is tra tive affairs, "just" a little enlightenment and toleration—with just enough Cal vin ist ob liga tions in re ligious and lay mat ters—and, lo and be hold, there emerged from be hind the Habsburgs and from the shadow of the Turks a his tori cally young, not very richly en dowed, geo graph i cally limited, nu mer i cally small and so far—and soon again—frag ile state. It will shine for a few decades with such a brilliant light, that it really would have de served a more per ma nent fa vor of the fates.

It was Bethlen's intention to once again unite all Hungarians in one coun try. For this rea son, he had him self elected king at the 1620 Diet in Pozsony. Un for tu nately, he then lacked the strength to ac tu ally as sume that po si tion. His ab di ca tion from the kingly ti tle gained him some terri tory. Then he tried to sta bi lize his po si tion by mar riage. Lastly, he attempted to gain the throne of Poland, like his predecessor István Báthori. All were in vain. He helped oth ers, but no body helped him. Or, if they even tu ally did, he did not live to see it. Thus, it was en tirely in vain, both for him as an in di vid ual and also for Transylvania that during the first ten years of the Thirty Years' War, his ar mies were the only victorious ones and that during his life time his vic to ries were in strumen tal in giv ing a breath ing space to his Ger man, Eng lish, Dutch and Dan ish al lies.

At the time of Gábor Bethlen, Hun gar ian stu dents ranged very widely and in large numbers to gain grad u ate and post grad u ate ed u ca tion. In this laud able en deavor the sons of free peas ants and even serfs, as sisted with scholarships, accompanied the offspring of the highest nobility. Thus, the sons of the lower classes could rise in the social structure, thanks to Bethlens generosity and to their own abilities. Previously, Hun gar ian names were found mostly in the stu dent ros ters of Ital ian, Cracowian and Gdansk universities, but now they ap peared in German, Dutch and English universities, including Oxford and Cambridge. Gábor Bethlen established his own university. Surprisingly, his first such en deavor took place in the area of his mil i tary tri umphs, in north-

ern Hun gary, in Nagyszombat, now in Slovakia. Af ter lengthy wan dering, this foun da tion be came the Nagyenyed University.

After the death of Gábor Bethlen and after the interregnum and planned departure of the flighty and indecisive Catherine of Brandenburg, a new chap ter of the story be gins. Of the two hope ful young men, István Bethlen and György Rákóczi I-both of them having the Sultan's approval—the latter became the new prince (1630-1648). With him a well-known family of the highest nobility came to the top again. It is a family whose fate was intertwined more with Hun gary than with Transylvania alone. Fate linked them to Hungary for sev eral gen er a tions, and un til the de cline of the fam ily. Oth erwise conditions remained generally stable. There was some estrangement from Stambul, made pos si ble by in ternal problems and dissensions within the Ottoman Empire. There was hope that the Thirty Years' War, dragging on and in volving new participants, would take a fa vor able turn. There was an other at tempt to cap ture the Pol ish throne (this time with the help of the Cossacks rebelling against the Polish government, and for the favorite younger son of the ruling prince, Sigismund.). There were some lucky victories in battle, great diplomatic skill, and considerable in ternal violence.

It was György Rákóczi's particular good fortune that he gained the hand of Zsuzsanna Lorántffyin mar riage. She is the most out standing ex ample of Hungarian wom an hood of that period. She was a help mate in man ag ing the es tates, she was a pa tron of the schools and a bene factor of ed u ca tion, and she was the mother of four sons. At last we have a prince in Transylvania who had no dy nas tic wor ries. Let us not be too happy about this yet. Bad times were com ing again to Transylvania.

Be fore dis cuss ing these, let us take a look at some of the char ac ter is tics of Transylvanian so ci ety in the mid dle of the 1600s. The in crease in the es tates of the prince did not af fect the numerical relation ships be tween the rul ing classes and the oth ers, but only within the rul ing class it self. These latter were changed to the point where in the 13th century the prince was both the ruler and the landlord of "the majority of the

Transylvanian serfs". For this rea son, and con trary to other ar eas, "the peas antry flee ing from the shack les of serf dom could not look for protec tion to the State. The princes op posed the move ment of serfs in all forms. They did not en cour age the serfs if they wanted to en list in the army or if they were look ing for work in the mines. Even a move to crown lands was forbidden. The greatest severity, however, found it dif fi cult to re-establish the bond age of the serfs, loos ened by the destructive effects of fif teen years of war." (Katalin Péter).

It is a par a dox that at this same time the eco nomic bur den of the war be came so heavy that the free Székelys who had fought so vigorously for the privileges granted to them by military service, sought the relative security of serf dom. The great est guar antee of the Sax ons' autonomy was their eco nomic strength. This was supported for a long time by the fact that the Romanian voivodates, adjacent to Transylvania, were to tally dependent on Saxon manufactured goods. When in dustrial productivity began in these voivodates, sufficient to meet their own needs, this destroyed the hith erto solucrative east ern monopoly of the Transylvanian Sax ons. The results were not purely eco nomic, as far as the Sax ons were concerned.

As far as the Romanians were con cerned, their free peas ants, lesser nobles and no bles and the siz able group of serfs were to tally equiv a lent in position with their non-Romanian counter parts. If there was as similation and Hungarianization among the noble families of Romanian extraction—that was spontaneous and quite natural. The other segment of the Romanians, the mountain pastoralists were separate be cause of their way of life, their area of set the mentand, most importantly, their mobility. Being short of serfs, the land owners at tempted to move them down from their mountain grazing lands. When success ful, their as similation into the older Romanian serf groups was not har monious. Their mentality differed too much and this meant more then the ties of consanguinity.

It is in teresting that in the spiritual life of the Romanians there was little evolution of their native language, mainly be cause the majority of their

clergy clung to the an cient Slavic lit urgy. Thus, the re fine ments of the Ro ma nian lan guage were the tri umph of a small num ber of Ro ma nian Prot es tants. This de serves more ex ten sive dis cus sion.

"The first important Romanian printed material was published in Transylvania under the influence of the Reformation. Princes, magnates and bour geois, partly be cause of their en thu si asm for con verting the Romanians, partly be cause of a sense of obligation to en lighten and ed u cate, made a valiant ef fort to mod ify the thinking of the Romanians 'living in ignorance'. This effort was not motivated by Hungarian or Saxon na tion alism. Starting with the 1540s, the Nagyszeben mag is trate, the Brassó city judge, etc., show bud get ary items deal ing with the printing of Ro ma nian re li gious books which were clear ev i dence of the attempts to create a Romanian literary language and a more modern religious life. (...) The Transylvanian Romanian Reformed bishopric was es tab lished by the Nagyszeben Diet in 1566. It could not draw the Romanians away from Orthodoxy but made great strides in changing the lan guage of the lit urgy from the an cient Slavic to the na tive tongue (...). Con ver sion of the Romanians to the Protestant re li gion was again promoted by the great Transylvanian princes, Gábor Bethlen and György Rákóczi I, with just as poor re sults as those of their pre de cessors. It is a fact that the or tho dox coun ter moves tried to use the same tools and in the 17th cen tury pro moted the use of the mother tongue in the lit urgy" (Zoltán Szász).

Even today, Protestantism has been unable to put down roots anywhere from the north ern Slavs to the south ern Greeks. This very large area seems to foster a fundamental mental ity among its various peoples, which does not favor trends which placed in dividuality in the fore front and en cour aged the sov er eignty of man.

György Rákóczi II (1648-1660) was picked al ready in 1642 by his very strong-willed fa ther to suc ceed him on the throne. He took over his inher i tance, free of any prob lems; a rare state of af fairs in Transylvania. His reign started out well. He was helped by the re al iza tion that Prot estant ism had lost some of the "ap peal" that it had at the time of his pre-

de ces sors and thus he needed no lon ger be a cham pion of his re li gion. This made it eas ier for the major ity of the west ern Hungarian, Catholic no bles, dis appointed by the lack of re sis tance of the Habs burg against the Turks, to direct their hopes toward him personally, and toward Transylvania. This group included the outstanding soldier, organizer and poet Miklós Zrinyi, a scion of an eminent no ble, Cro atian family.

Time out! In 1643 György Rákóczi II mar ried SophiaBáthory, who had no male sur vi vors in her own fam ily. For his sake, she em braced Prot estantism, but immediately fol low ing the death of her hus band, she returned to Ca tholi cism and also con verted the suc ces sorFerenc Rákóczi I, lead ing to ma jor changes in the Rákóczi fam ily...

In the first years of his rule, György Rákóczi II was for tu nate to ex tend the in flu ence of Transylvania to the Ro ma nian voivodates. Mat ters may have progressed fur ther in a fa vor able fash ion, if his help ful and se rious-minded younger brother Zsigmond had not died. This had fatal consequences. Taking advantage of the troubles in Poland, initiated and fo mented by the Cos sacks and re ly ing on the prom ise of Swed ish as sis tance, he pur sued the plans of his fa ther and started out with an army to con quer the Pol ish throne. He did this also, be cause the Turkish con trolled ar eas of Hun gary had in creased to the point and were so firmly held that the road from Transylvania to the west nec es sar ily led through Po land (this did not mean, how ever, that mer chants and their goods could not cross all these areas in almost every direction). He should have known that he would not have Turk ish sup port.

He also sud denly lost the Swed ish sup port. The Poles did not view him as the reviver of the glo ri ous Báthory era, but as a for eign ag gres sor. Indeed, why should they acquiesce in having a for eigner take the Pol ish throne with the as sis tance of Cos sack and Swed ish arms. In fact Pol ish armies operated far in his rear and plundered Hungarian territories. This in duced the Cos sacks to switch sides and, lastly—based on sev eral his toric precedents—Stambulsicked the Tatars on him as a disciplin ary measure. He was forced to accept a demeaning peace agree ment and had to pay enor mous dam ages.

If, at this point, György Rákóczi quickly had turned around and took his intact army home, the losses would have been great but tolerable and recoverable. He did not realize, however, that good fortune had aban doned him, and he now com mit ted the un par don able sin. He and a few hun dred of his sol diers "got out" and re turned to Transylvania. His main forces, about 20,000 men strong, were lured by the Poles into a Tartar trap. All of them were captured and were taken to the slave mar kets in the Cri mea, where there was a real de mand for hu man merchan dise of such qual ity. He swore that he would use his en tire for tune to redeem them and bring them home, but he did not do it.

Transylvanian—and Moldavian and Wallachian—families were eco nom i cally and emotion ally de stroyed by try ing to get their rel a tives back from slav ery. This en deavor cre ated a brand new com mer cial and fi nan cial en ter prise. It was to no avail. The major ity of the slaves never re turned home. The golden age of Transylvania was over.

The loss of the prince's rep u ta tion re flected un fa vor ably on the en tire principality. During the next two years, György Rákóczi II was forced to abdicate twice and the succession, during his life, was chaotic and only tem po rary. In the mean time, Transylvania again be came the bat tle ground for both internal and external warfare. An extensive Turkish punitive cam paign is estimated to have cost the life of 100,000 people. It can not serve as a be lated excuse for his wasted life and for his very poor policies, that György Rákóczi II was wounded in the battle of Szászfenes against the Turks and died from his wounds two weeks later.

Hungarian his to riography, legitimately lists the son of György Rákóczi II, Ferenc Rákóczi I, among the princes of Transylvania, but without dates for his reign. Even though his fa ther had him elected when he was six years old—just as he him self had been, by his fa ther—the boy who is fif teen at the time of his fa ther's death, could not in effect be come the prince. His life and his fate were tied to his es tates in Hungary and to his Hungarian political ambitions. It was there that he be came a party to the Wesselényi con spir acy, it was there that his mother re deemed his life from Vienna, thanks to her strong influence among the Austrian Cath olic clergy—and for an enor mous ran som.

Four years after the fiasco of the Polish cam paign and of the dissolution of the Transylvanian army in the Crimea, the Estates elected Mihály Apafi I (1661-1690) as the prince, on di rect Turk ish de mands. He was of a meditative nature and, according to his contemporaries, more suitable for the priesthood than for the throne. His hobby—which he shared with other rulers at his time—was re pairing clocks. He him self had been a pris oner in the Cri mea and learned from this ex pe ri ence how the cog wheels of his tory meshed and ground. Reluc tantly but in ev i ta bly, he bowed to the de mands from Stambul. He did this for the time being only, since there was once again the hope and the possibility that Vienna, at long last, would exert its full strength against the Turk. It was a par a dox of the sit u a tion, that his Turk ish patron would be pleased to see Apafi on the Hungarian throne. It was not the first time that a Prince of Transylvania was threat ened with such a du bi ous dis tinc tion. The Hungarian king dom was a shrinking rem nant and once again, as so many times in the past, the question was whether the hated pa gans could best be expelled by a Habs burg Vi enna or by the re-establishment of a national sovereign. If the latter, a king must be found.

During these years, it is—again—dif fi cult to follow in the Carpathian Ba sin, as to who was fight ing with whom, against whom and who was al lied to whom. It all changed all the time. In 1664, thanks largely to the preparatory battles fought by that superb southern Hungarian na bob and Croatian gover nor, Miklós Zrinyi, Duke Raimondo Montecuccoli, a com mander per haps more cel e brated than good, gained a great victory over the main Turkish forces at St. Gotthard. Yet Leopold I (1657-1705), Em peror of Aus tria and King of Hungary, made a hasty and al most de mean ing peace with the Turks at Vasvár.

It is characteristic of the confused state of affairs that in Montecuccoli's vic to rious Christian army there were numerous French contingents, yet Leopold I made his disadvantageous peace with the Sul tan, be cause he feared a sneak at tack by the French. This peace enraged the Hungarian magnates and they, acting through the commander of the French expeditionary forces, offered military

co op er a tion to Louis XIV against the Habs burg. Is it a won der, therefore, if Mihály Apafi I also made inquiries from the Sun King from whom he got many promises and some money? His hopes were dashed, however, and Leopold made peace with the French. It was small so lace, that in this peace treaty Transylvania was men tioned as an ally to the French. Transylvania thus, once again, al beit pe riph er ally, appeared in world pol i tics.

The East-Central European affairs, after a 150 years of spinning in place like a squir rel cage "be tween two pa gans, for one coun try", fi nally gath ered speed. In 1683, and for the last time, a Turk ish army ad vanced against Vi enna—not with out troops from Apafi. In 1684 Apafi was invited by Leopold into an alliance against the Turk. In 1686, the allied forces of Eu rope evicted the Turks from Buda in spite of the fa nat i cal fight ing of the de fend ing forces. Even though there would be Turk ish rem nants in var i ous parts of Hun gary for a while and some for tresses remained in Turk ish hands for years rather than for months, the century and a half long, hu mil i at ing pe riod in Hun gary's his tory was at an end. It was near its end in Transylvania as well.

The most in comparable, fa mous and no to rious figure of this age was Imre Thököly, twice prince with out ever re ally be ingone. This great title was first be stowed upon him by north ern Hun gary in the first half of the 1680s. Later, in 1690, he was tran siently Prince of Transylvania. In addition to his military prowess, that made him, deservedly, commander in chief of Transylvania at an early age, much of his fame was derived from his romantic mar riage. He mar ries the widow of Ferenc Rákóczi I, Ilona Zrinyi, who was ten years his se nior, and thus he became the step fa ther of the mi norFerenc Rákóczi II. The Turks of fered the Hun gar ian crown to Thököly. He pre tended to ac cept it, but never re ally claimed the title. We can view him as the last in a se ries of Hungar i ans who viewed the Turk as the lesser evil. Yet he wanted to re main "Turkophile" much lon ger than he could do so in good faith. Can this as sess ment be main tained after the events yet to come?

At the end of the century, the border between Christian Europe and the Is lamic Sul tan ate was back again, gen er ally in the same area where it was under the Hunyadis. How about Transylvania? Its situation changed, but it was a difference without a distinction. While it was a principality, it was the west ern border of an east ern empire (similarly to Hun gary, after 1945 when, as a so-called "Peo ple's De moc racy", it became the sat el lite of the So viet Union). Now Transylvania be came the east ern bor der of the Habs burg Empire, which, although West ern, was loosing ground in the West and looked for compensation to the East, through the grace of God and for the greater glory of the Dy nasty.

Even though the principal ity was main tained for only a while, Mihály Apafi II (1690-1701) was still not the last prince. Leopold I, fully cog nizant of his mili tary superior ity, reduces Transylvania to a status similar to that which the Turks had im posed on it in the past. He de manded an an nual trib ute. Every local decision was subject to the approval of Vienna. The *Diploma Leopoldinum* was is sued on Oc to ber 16, 1690, on demand by the Estates siding with the Emperor and was the "basic contract" in tegrating Transylvania into the Habs burg Empire. Its text has much to recommend it, it brought a bad period to its end, it did more good than bad, but it stayed in effect too long. In the mean time, Leopold I had the prince interned in Vienna, and finally reduced his status as a ruler to a simple territorial bar gain. The weak Apafi heir was "compensated" with the title of Duke of the Holy Ro man Empire, a meaningless sham.

This con sis tent cur tail ment of rights was not lim ited to Transylvania, so much so that in stead of "Hun gary", it would be more ap pro pri ate to speak of a "ter ri tory in hab ited by Hun gar i ans". Ac cord ing to Vi enna, the ex pul sion of the Turks did not con sti tute a re-conquest. It was not the re-establishment of an earlier admin is trative sta tus quo, in ter rupted by the Turk ish oc cu pa tion. It was a new mil i tary con quest, which was mod estly re ferred to as a new ac qui si tion and which thus was open to any kind of administrative arrangement (*Nota bene*: a very significant per cent age of the oc cupy ing army was Hun gar ian).

The legal ruse was a clever one, but one thing led to an other. Wher ever despo tism be comes the master—even if called military law—a strong hand and a strong saber are needed. Military gover nors are not generally known for their understanding, flexibility and spirit of cooperation. The generals appointed by Vienna proved to be particularly brutal. Looting and the imposition of tributes may be an cient military prerogatives but they did little to pacify the "liberated" who hoped that the liberation would result in free dom after the expulsion of the Turks.

The activities of General Antonio Caraffa in Northern Hungary and Transylvania were suc cess ful for Leopold I only in the short range. He "pacified" the occupied territories and in corporated them into the Empire, but he sowed seed that would soon grow into bloody shoots. We could be gin the story of the last Prince of Transylvania at this point.

On the other hand, this period is noted for people in hid ing. We may even call them "in ternal emigrants". Since 1514 there were many fallen rebels, military deserters, escaped serfs, displaced peasants, returning prisoners of war who had lost their homes, people banished from a party, movement or religion, unemployed cat tle drov ers and jour neymen, miners dismissed for striking, escaping felons and others, who were band ing to gether in the swamps and for ests in in creas ingly large num bers and more and more openly. Some of those who had formed regular groups have already been men tioned under the designation of Heyducks.

This is an other point in our his tory where we can be gin the story of the last Prince of Transylvania. Even though Ferenc Rákóczi II (1704-1711) was the fifth mem ber of his family el e vated to this dignity, his child hood star was pointing in a different direction. As a strip ling he was in a mil i tary camp with his step-father, Imre Thököly, and he was there when his mother fought for three years with the imperials to defend Munkács. After the loss of Munkács, the young ster was educated by the Jesuits, who functioned almost like prison guards—his patrimony of one million hect ares (2.47 mil lion acres) would have been a nice ac qui si tion for the Or der. He was deeply re li gious, but as soon as

he reached majority he left this forcibly imposed guardianship. Marrying soon there after, he moved back to north ern Hun gary in 1694 and im me di ately be came the great hope of the national resistance. At this time, however, he avoided all political obligations. The success fully initiated Hegyalja (Piedmont) Peas ant Re bellion of 1697 in vited him to be come its leader. He was so scared that he ran all the way to Vienna. Yet the miser a ble conditions in the country, recently liberated from the Turks, and the brutal reprisals against several popular movements shook him se verely and initiated a slow trans for mation.

Let us re mem ber: some of his an ces tors were Princes of Transylvania when it was the glo ri ous bas tion of Prot es tant ism. He him self was the child of the Coun ter-Reformation. His ma ter nal great grand fa ther was the hero of Szigetvár, his un cle was the poet and mil i tary the ore ti cian Miklós Zrinyi, his grand fa ther, Péter Zrinyi, was lured to Vienna with false promises and was there subjected to the executioner's blade. These are just a few items of the many that shaped his fate. In 1701, the re cently be gun War of the Span ish Suc ces sion cre ated a fa vor able atmosphere and he be gan to send out feel ers to ward Paris. He was now ready for a lead er ship role.

The al ways-suspicious Vi enna swept down on him. He was carted off, threatened with the death penalty and escaped only with a romantic trick and at the price of his lib er a tor's life. He hired mer ce nar ies in Poland and got ready to re turn to his coun try, but the lead ers of the newly exploding popular rebellion had already sent for him. Very soon the coun try was in flames from east to west. The light cav alry troops of the Kuruc captains now fought under Rákóczi's flag and swept down on the frag mented Im pe rials, all the way to the gates of Vi enna.

The Prince-Commander, who was elected to this dig nity after the initial successes of the Kuruc move ment, first by the Transylvanian and then by the Hungarian Estates, depicted the contemporary Hungarian so ciety with astonishing maturity. His writings are filled with Christian meditations, but they also contain an almost so ciologic analysis of the class structures and of the impediments to his struggle created by the

societal immaturity and by the general backwardness of the country. Yet, com bin ing the revenues of the state and of his own estates he created an effective war economy and a monetary system, which could function with min i mal back ing—as long as he had the "golden touch" of victory in bat tles.

He had two prob lems, how ever, which were in sol u ble. He builds good con tacts at the two op po site poles of Eu rope with Louis XIV and with Tsar Pe ter the Great, but as soon as the in ter na tional sit u a tion changed it was no lon ger in the in ter est of ei ther France or Rus sia, that this lit tle Hun gar ian princeling "an noy" Vi enna. The other is sue was that the real strength of his army rested on the re bel lious poor, the bare foot axe and scythe bearers, the *talpas*—they included numerous nationalities and the particularly faith ful Carpathian Ruthenians—the Heyducks and the serf-soldiers fighting for their freedom. Rákóczi recognized this and tried to draw the ap propri ate legal conclusions. Yet, he was dependent upon the magnates and no bles whose in ter ests were the opposite. He was their Prince. From 1703 to 1711, the war was like a kaleidoscope with a shift ing base and alter nating losses and gains. Once again Hungarian confronted Hungarian: the Labanc in cluded a number of Hungarians who pre ferred Vi enna.

The last few years were a se ries of pur suits and hair breadth es capes for the Kuruc forces. Their ranks were thinned by de ser tion and weak ened by ep i dem ics. The no ble es tates were short of serfs and the econ omy was de stroyed by the now worth less coin age. Rákóczi was forced into ex ile. He re fused the of fered am nesty and the Ger man es tate of fered in ex change for his own. He fled to Po land. He met Pe ter the Great. Then he went to France where he was first the pop u lar, ro man tic hero in the col or ful en tou rage of the Sun King, and later lived in mo nas tic sol i tude like a friar.

It gave him sat is fac tion that the Peace of Szatmár, which he did not oppose, granted many of the things that he could not gain on the bat tlefield. The re-establishment of the legal status of Hungary and Transylvania, which had been wiped out after 1688 on the basis of mili-

tary law, be came the subject of complicated bar gains and later un-kept promises. Religious freedom was re-established, and the Heyducks main tained their privileges. It was less satisfactory that the nobility, taking ad van tage of the am nesty kept its privileges by taking a step backward historically. It was a paradox that now—and also at other times—Vienna having gained a free hand, promoted modernization in the Carpathian basis in opposition to the conservatism of the nobility.

In 1717 Ferenc Rákóczi moved to Tur key, like his late mother and stepfa ther be fore him, hop ing for sup port from the Sul tan. Un for tu nately, the international situation was unfavorable. He was assigned a small town, Rodosto (now Tekirdag), on the shores of the Marmara as his do mi cile. He lived there with his few re main ing faith ful, on a small stipend from the Sul tan, un til his death in 1735.

This is the end of the chapter that we dared to call Transylvania in World Pol i tics. What we meant by this was that in this era, the dis tant and ex otic land "be yond the for ests", pre vi ously un known in Eu rope, became use ful in tran sient power blocks, was con sid ered a use ful potential ally and ac tu ally served as a use ful ally in some sit u a tions. At no time there after did it partic i pate in similar "glory". Not even when, like in the 20th cen tury, it repeatedly be came a bar gain ing chip in world politics. At this time it was only a minor appendix of Hungary or Romania, and was not an (rel a tively) in dependent factor.

## **Cast Onto the Periphery**

Even though we had ac companied Ferenc Rákóczi II on his final exile, we must take a step back in time. He was the last Prince of Transylvania, but the fate of Transylvania was not the stake in his, for him fa tal, fight for free dom of glo ri ous mem ory. Nei ther was it the patient Transdanubia, the dev as tated Great Plain or the Small Plain close to Vienna. The stake was the Felvidék and northern Hungary, which was in a pe cu liar po si tion during the Turk ish oc cu pa tion, hav ing an interme diate situation and taking and extorting all possible ad van tages of this sit u a tion. It was this area that fur nished the ar mies of Rákóczi with a high per cent age of en thu si as tic and important followers.

It was never the strat egy of the ris ing to first cleanse Transylvania of the im pe ri als and then to con tinue the war by slowly ad vanc ing from east to west to ward Vi enna. One rea son for this was that a sig nif i cant part of the costs of the war had to be fur nished by the Rákóczi es tates, most of which were in Up per Hun gary. The in di ca tions and moral basis for the rebellion, stated by Rákóczi frequently in manifestoes and also in his mem oirs, were not the op pres sion and the yearn ing for freedom of the "three na tions" of Transylvania, but of the en tire Hun garian na tion.

It has been men tioned that from the time of the con quest to the in cursion of the Turks into the Carpathian Ba sin, it be came an ac cepted fact that there were going to be regional divisions. Yet, Transylvania was a fun da men tally Hungarian con quest and set tle ment area and was an organic part of the King dom of Hungary, founded by and in corpo rated into the realm under the "holy" crown. In this spirit, the separate Transylvanian principality did not originate from any internal Transylvanian demands, but were imposed by external circumstances and the consequences of the disaster of Mohács.

We must assume then that the House of Habsburg, having obtained the royal crown of Hungary—legitimately according to its own legal theories, was anxious to place Transylvania under the sway of the Crown as soon as pos si ble. Not so. Vi enna was success ful in convincing Mihály Apafi II to exchange his Principality of Transylvania for a German Imperial Duke dom. Ferenc Rákóczi rejected a similar offer. When Vienna no longer had to be concerned with a Prince of Transylvania, or with pre tend ers for this title, it still viewed this distant province as a bor der buffer zone and at tempted to control it as a separate entity, directly subject to the Imperial Crown. It was will ing to assume the burden of a separate administration and the bother and labor of dealing with the local nobility in matters of governance and law. Thus, they could fur ther divide and manipulate this "Eastern" nation: the Hungarians, using regional interests as a lever.

The legal bar gaining soon as sumed a special significance. It hap pened in 1711 that due to the un expected death of his brother, Charles Habsburg, was sum moned from his very shaky Span ish throne to the other end of the family empire. As king of Hungary he was Charles the Third, while as Holy Roman Emperor he was known as Charles the Fourth. He was the last male member of the pre viously, and again in the future, so fer tile House of Habs burg (1740). Charles made early efforts to assure that the dynasty continue through its female branch. This was why he pro claimed the *Pragmatica Sanctio* in 1713, and had it accepted in 1722, first by the Transylvanian Es tates, and then by the Hungar ian ones. For the first ones, he used a trick and the Diet was not only very poorly at tended but was held in the Saxon town of Nagyszeben.

One might ask why Vi enna made such con sis tent ef forts to in crease its hold on Transylvania, other than the in sa tia ble greed for ad di tional terri tory which char ac terized the dy nasty. Even though the importance of the gold and sil ver mines has de creased, they were still im por tant. Even more important were the salt, copper and mercury. More important than any of these, how ever, was the fact the Turk still lurked be yond the "gar den wall". He was a live threat. What if he again be came ac tive? His expulsion from the Bal kans was in con ceiv able un less the flanks were properly protected. It was this strategic consideration which explains the diligence with which the Austrian Emperor—again, primarily

through its military forces—pro tected and in creased his con trol over Transylvania. The Empire even endeavored to expand beyond the Carpathians.

This will be bene fi cial for Transylvania. It is true that after having gotten used to navigate well in the ebbing and flowing waters of its dependence from the Turks, it now had to adapt to the more rigorous, closed system of a more rigidly centralized government. Its bureaucracy, trying to establish or derand usually warding off arbitrary autocracy, at times was as cruel as the "bar bar ian" Pa shas. For tunately, in the times to come, the direction of the cam paigns was being changed. The still par a mount anti-Turk military maneuvers were shifted to the south and east of Transylvania, much to every body's re lief.

The eth nic composition of the population at this time was estimated to be: Hungarian 45-50%, Romanian 30-40% and Saxon 10-15%. Of these, the lower num bers were prob a bly the more correct ones in view of the several other nation alities not in cluded in the list. There was also a constant movement of people. Its main direction was east to west. Many settled in dividually in the territories vacated by the Turks. Others were trans planted from Transylvania by magnates having depopulated estates far ther west. This created a vacuum, and the short age of people in Transylvania was being replenished by the entry of Romanians from be yond the Carpathians. This migration was both spontane ous and organized and fur ther shifted the eth nic bal ance.

Protestantismremained strong and the rights of the Protestantswere always an important issue in the political bargaining with Vienna. A new element was that the previously forcefully Catholicising Vienna now was trying to convert the Greek Or tho dox Romanian population to the more "intermediate" Greek Catholic Church. It made many promises to the clergy and of fered privileges with held from the Or thodox priests. These obvious at tempts of as similation and the Pravoslav reaction with its nationalistic overtones was the source of conflicts, lasting to this day, and involving areas beyond those inhabited by Romanians, such as the Ukraine and East ern Slovakia.

It is of considerable interest, beyond its purely technical considerations, that the Transylvanians of the 18th cen tury took ad van tage of the favorable geographic and hydrographic conditions and used the wa ter-wheel not only for mill ing grain, crush ing ores and driving lumber mills, but for many other pur poses as well. The altitude and the hard win ters limited the ingenious use of wa ter-power to sea sonal use.

Even though lo cal min ing and smelt ing made iron readily avail able, the wa ter-driven ma chines were largely con structed of wood with min i mal iron reinforcements. The Transylvanians, but primarily the Székely men, were mas ters of all wood work ing and car pen try and are so even to this day. Mas tery of wood work ing ob vi ously had no eth nic lim i tations, but it was ax i om atic that the Székely way of thinking, their in ventive ness and clever ness were fre quently manifested in the devel op ment of complicated gad getry. To day, when we are so con cerned with man's exploitation of his nurturing environment, we seek for the historic roots of eco logical thinking, of the recognition of the problem and of en deav ors to cor rect it. Newer studies have found rich source ma te rial for these is sues in the old Székely village or dinances. It is worth while to quote from the 1733 village ordinances of Papolcs in County Háromszék: "Since our stream, which gives us life, is usu ally small during the sum mer, in con sider ation thereof, no body dare dispose of any house hold dirt, dung and, par tic u larly dead an i mals in or near said water. The furriers and tanners shall not soak any hides nor pelts nor hemp above or be low the vil lage in the run ning wa ter. Not above or in the vil lage for fear of dirty ing the drink ing wa ter and not be low the village for fear that the sub stances placed into the stream to soak may dam up the flow and produce floods to the great detriment of the people. Hemp soaking ponds may be established in certain locations by anybody, but nobody dare establish such ponds to the hazard and detriment of the vil lage, its, roads, mills etc."

This rather at trac tively worded or di nance tes ti fies not only to the fact that the Székely village rec og nized its de pend ence on na ture and tried to prevent its pollution, but also that the peasant population realized

that or di nances for the com mon good could be cod i fied in ter nally by the vil lage community.

It is of in terest to the writer that a similar conscientious, responsible local cod i fi ca tion took place in the early 1600s in his na tive area, in the small bor oughs and vil lages of the grape-growing and wine producing Tokaj-Hegyaljadis trict. These or dinances dealt primarily with property matters, inheritance, acquisition of new property and economic matters—trade, lease, taxation—that is with the relationships between man and man, rather than with is sues be tween man and na ture.

When now—toward the middle of the century—it became obvious that there was no pos si bil ity for a Rákóczi res to ra tion with or with out Turkish assistance, and no chance for the re-establishment of a Transylvanian principality, the attempts of Charles Habsburg to have the *Pragmatica Sanctio* ac cepted be came very rea son able. In the ab sence of a male heir, he was fol lowed on sev eral of his thrones by his daughter, Maria Theresa (1740-1780). From a Hungarian perspective, her reign was a mixed blessing. Some times it was ben e fi cial, some times it was harm ful.

The evident economic upturn and the lasting agricultural prosperity, which was very beneficial to Hungary, seems to have stopped at the western borders of Transylvania. Here the agricultural opportunities were slim mer, the distances were great and the modern methods of agriculture developed only grad u ally. The growth of the cities and the urbanization of the population, so noticeable in the past, had slowed down. There was in sufficient economic backing for it. The commerce and in dustrial production improved to some degree only when the Romanian principalities beyond the Carpathians gained strength and became important markets.

It was during the 30s and 40s of this cen tury that the na tional ideas and trends of the numerically and educationally improving Transylvanian Romanians appeared. This group, which representing a third of the population, wished to have it self accepted as the fourth Transylvanian

na tion. It wanted the ap pro pri ate rights and priv i leges, if for no other rea son, than by an cient rights. It was in this pe riod that the hy poth e sis of the Daco-Roman Continuity appeared. It will cause much debate and even more con tro versy.

Its founding father was the leading bishop of the Unitus, meaning those united to Rome—i.e. the Greek Catholics, one Inochentie Nicu-Klein (1635). This young, dy namic and ed u cated prel ate, who was a clever tactician, was relying on the promises made and benefits granted by Leopold I to the Unitus. Even though these were religious concessions, he wanted to use them to give all Romanians, in cluding the peas ants a new standing in law. In doing so, he would also gather in the Or tho dox. Vienna slowly and care fully makes concessions to him for the reason—which he did not appreciate—that these concessions were made at the cost of the other Transylvanian people and particularly at the cost of the Hungarian no bility.

The fact that the raising of these novel Romanian national interests were in ter twined with the in ter ests of the peas antry and with the emphasis on the backward state of the mountain shepherds and of the peas ant-serfs gave it its real significance. Nicu-Klein linked their case to the entirely different kinds of priorities and endeavors of the other Romanian classes and also to the manifold, colorful mythical threads of the ideological theories of Romanian national identity. At the famous and of ten cited Balázsfalva As sembly, which he called to gether in 1644, even though it was nominally a religious synod, it was the practical, rather than the ideological side of the issues which came to the fore. Actually it may be misleading to call this synod-assembly practical, when it declared that the active participation of the people may be highly commendable, but at this time it was not yet real is tic?

Paradoxically, the greatest and most damaging opposition to these early Romanian national as piration came not from Vienna or from the other opposed nationalities, but from the Orthodox counterattack against the spreading Greek Catholicism. This Orthodox resistance was fomented partly by the Serbs, but more forcefully by Russian religious

and political in terests. Aus tria still needed Rus sian sup port in the War of the Aus trian Suc cession, which was due to the *Pragmatica Sanctio* being far from universal acceptance. For this reason, Vienna temporarily stopped supporting "their" Unitus and made concessions to the Ortho dox Romanians. The latter, wishing to be help ful, be came even less supportive of the burgeoning Romanian national ideas. Later on the role of the two religious denominations was reversed. Inochontic Nicu-Klein him self, after much per secution found asy lumin the Vatican and observed from there the atrophy of his initia tives and of the respect that he once held.

Be yond the mid-point of Maria Theresa's rule, the gen er als of the Austrian army gained increased prominence; it can be said that Transylvania be came the testing ground of an overt military dicta torship. The whole system of border protection was reorganized. Of the newly recruited border forces, half were Romanian. Service was very onerous and thus—as Vienna had hoped—few volunteered. Yet, for the Romanians in the army, it first promised and then meant so cial advancement and led for the first time to the public education of their children.

The Székelys, who were the "born bor der guards", were very pleased to learn about the re or ga ni za tion of the ser vice, pro vided this would lead to the re-establishment of the so often curtailed and re duced Székely mil i tary and bor der guard priv i leges. They wanted to serve in their own units and un der the com mand of Székely of fi cers. The pro posal by the Austrian militarists, namely forced en list ments, for eign regulations and for eign com mand ers, may have been ben e fi cial for the Roma nian peasant-serfs, but induced the majority of the free Székelys to escape. Whereto? They with drew to their moun tains and for ests. When the unhappy Székelys of County Csík as sem bled in Madéfalva, a cer tain General Siskovics in ter preted this as an up ris ing and sur rounded them with his troops at dawn on Jan u ary 7, 1764. It was not a fight. It takes two to make a fight. What hap pened was a mas sa cre that left sev eral hun dred dead. The Madéfalva trag edy was a blow to the en tire Székely com munity from which it has never fully re cov ered. They have gone through

many perils, but it was Madéfalva that made the "People of Prince Csaba" realize their depressing his toric de fense less ness.

The events of Madéfalva left tragic traces not only in the Székely national soul. They also in creased the emi gration which again and greatly replenished the Hungarian and Székely set tle ments in Bukovina. These Moldavian Csángó and Bukovine Székely set tle ments had a most sorrow ful fate, and some of them sub sist in heart-rending mis ery to this day.

The distance separating Transylvania economically from Central Europe and even from the west ern parts of Hun gary was less no tice able in the cul tural arena. The link age sys tems in in tel lec tual and schol arly life functioned, even though at times under considerable difficulties. The Prot es tants main tained their con tacts with their tra di tional Western European part ners. Transylvanian students continued to study at for eign universities. Transylvanian ed u ca tors main tained an ac tive corre spon dence with the col leagues they had met during their study years. The Saxons who created a separate German culture in Transylvania were a true, albeit peripheral, part of German culture. The international na ture of the Cath o lic re li gious or ders was strength ened by the Coun ter Ref or ma tion, and was well rec og nized. The Romanians were nec es sar ily some what in the rear of the cul tural main stream, and it was primarily the Unitus priest hood that had the op por tunity for more infor ma tion. A num ber of them had studied in Rome. The link age of the Or tho dox priest hood to Greece and Rus sia was—con trary to the views and writings of Romanian historians—a negative influence. On the other hand, the number of well trained Romanians achieving official po si tions in Transylvania con tin ued to grow, and their fur ther ad vancement and op tions were de ter mined by their po si tion, and not by their nationality.

The de cades of Maria Theresa—and even more so the years of Jo seph II (1780-1790)—were char ac ter ized by a Germanizing trend and by a centralized, rational government. It is a paradox that in Transylvania this centralization, with its merciless unification and more advanced

and thus more in tru sive ad min is tra tion, was most dam aging to the Saxons since they had es tab lished and main tained an au ton omy that was inevitably curbed by centralization. The new administration reaching out to the in divid ual, clearly made any concerted so ci etal activity much more difficult. The Romanians were the great est bene ficia ries. Cen tral con trol dimin ished the chances of their being exploited, and public education de creased their lagging be hind.

In 1711, the Peace of Szatmár referred to it, in 1740 Maria Theresa—under duress—confirmed it. Transylvania was part of the Hungarian Crown, directly as a principality. We have seen, however, that Vi enna tended to sep a rate the ad min is tra tion of Transylvania and it was only during the last years of Maria Theresa's reign that the pen dulum began to swing back toward administrative unification. The customs barrier between Transylvania and Hungary was eliminated by Jo seph II, and it was this action that again made the Carpathian Ba sin into an eco nomic unit after a long period of time. Being on the periphery was beneficial to Transylvania—and to northern Hungary—at this time. Vi enna, in or der to protect Austrian and Moravian industry actively prevented the development of manufactories west of the Lajta, which would convert a cot tage in dustry into a mass production in dustry. At the periphery, the local of ficials could tacitly condone such developments, or they may have proceeded covertly.

Maria Theresa and Jo seph re peat edly tried to regulate so cage and serfdom itself. This was being made very difficult by the stubborn resistance of the nobles and by the bureau cracy and in experience of their own administrative apparatus. In spite of the enor mous differences in their personality—the mother had empathy and patience, the son was forceful and impatient—the results achieved by both were inconclusive. Also, the further from the Centrum from where the imperial urging and mandates came, the greater the public resistance and the splintering and fading of the original intent of the mandates.

Jo seph II and Josephinism are as suit able to a novel as they are to history. His almost utopian, enlightened absolutism was overshadowed

even for the few Hun gar i ans ad vanced enough to un der stand it, by the fact that the "Un crowned king" (the King in the Hat) con sid ered progressiveness to be purely Germanic. He al loyed progress with a comprehensive Germanization but gave it a fa tal blow with the re trac tions he made on his deathbed. He suffered one of his painful disappointments in Transylvania.

What was it that triggered the bloody Horea serf rebellion of 1784? While the Court wished to curb the ex cesses of the land own ers by regu lating the bur den of the serfs, lo cally there was a complicated system of tacit agree ments, so that uni fi ca tion and better re cord keep ing ac tually in creased the bur dens in some ar eas and "cast them in con crete". In mat ters af fecting the serfs, those af fected equated regulation with libera tion. It could easily be viewed as a sign of weak ness, and be came the source of fur ther de mands. At the same time, a new and poorly ex ecuted recruiting effort fur the ragitated Romanian public opinion. They ex pected op por tunities and privileges from the enlist ments that the recruiters had not ac tu ally promised. The whip and the club landed on the mas ters who were naturally Hungarian, although not neces sarily to the sev enth gen er a tion. It is prob a bly not jus ti fied to high light this rebellion over other similar popular up risings, ex cept per haps for the violence and coun ter-violence of some of its ex cesses, such as the force ful marriage of Hungarian no ble maid ens by Ro ma nian "suit ors". These gave it wider re nown than would be de served ei ther by the num bers involved, or by the geo graphic ex tent of the re bellion. Its or igins were actu ally more so ci etal than na tional.

One of the first acts of Leopold II (1790-1792) on his suc ces sion to Joseph II, was to swing the pendulum in the other direction, and once again sep a rate Transylvania from Hun gary. It suc ceeded only partially, even though the endeavor was not totally opposed even in Transylvania. The Prot es tants were con cerned that in case of a un ion, their rights would be cur tailed. The Sax ons and the Székelys were opposed for sim i lar rea sons. In the mean time—and even though Jo seph II's death did not end Josephinism which was a syn onym for practical

absolutism—the Estates requested that the Transylvanian Diet be called into ses sion.

It not only met, but passed a num ber of acts. "The Transylvania Diet be gan its work on De cem ber 21, 1790. Its min utes are fright en ingly voluminous and its additional documentation fills a freight car, document ing that it had in deed very much to do. The scribes could barely keep up with the mem o randa, pe ti tions and sup pli ca tions all of which were filled with com plaints, of fenses and, nat u rally, de mands. The documents of the Diet can very clearly tell the modern reader exactly where Transylvania stood in relation to the general Euro pean de velopments, what it was that the liter ate people of this small country could absorb from the general storehouse of enlightenment and the directions—political, economic and in tellectual—in which it tried to move. Among the papers we find the famous *Supplex Libellus Valachorum* in which the Transylvanian Romanians requested that they be acknowledged as the fourth nation and be given auton omy as a recognized Estate." (Samu Benkõ)

The sessions lasted well into the new year, and made many decisions and sub mis sions but, in the end, achieved very lit tle. Making the Hungar ian lan guage the of fi cial one took a step for ward with the de ci sion that the min utes of the Diet be kept in Hungar ian. The rights of the serfs and of the Or tho dox had been en larged. A proposal was made for the es tab lish ment of the As so ci a tion for the Propaga tion of the Hungar ian Language in Transylvania, which as sumed the nature of an academy when it started its activities three years later.

The Supplex Libellus Valachorum, which was pre pared by a large but still not com pletely known cir cle of Ro ma nian priests and other in tel lec tuals, based the Romanian demands it contains both on the Theory of Daco-Roman Continuity as its ideological-historic argument, and on the pop u lar major ity of the Romanians in Transylvania as its concrete de mographic and statistical argument. There is nothing we can do with the for mer one, but the latter one can not be de nied. At this time the Romanians represented the largest eth nic group in Transylvania. Yet,

they were the last, both in their le gal and in their eco nomic sta tus. In order for them to change this, the dif fer ences be tween the Unitus and the Or tho dox had to be re duced.

The an swer of the Es tates was that re gard less of their serf dom, no bility or other status and regardless of their ethnicity, all inhabitants of Transylvania had the same rights. What else could one want? It can not be de nied that this "slip pery" ar gu ment haunts even to day, for in stance, in the Romanian rejection of the national and minority demands of the Transylvanian Hungarians. The argument of the Es tates continued by say ing that the prob lem of the Romanians was not lack of lib erty, but lack of education. This should not be considered an in sult. Particularly not when we consider that the Transylvanian Hungarians, noting the narrowness of their own legal and political per spectives, made great efforts to improve their educational opportunities. The first permanent home of Hungarian the atrical per for mances was opened in Kolozsvár in 1821. The strong links with Eu rope were also dem on strated by the rapid spread of Free ma sonry. Its principles started to spread in 1742, first to the Saxon cities, and then to the circles of the Hungarian leading classes.

The functioning of the Freemason lodges over the next decades was first banned, then toler ated and finally almost supported. We may even assume that the strange Joseph II himself was granted membership. Not so. He wrote in a letter of instruction: "Previously and in other countries the Freemasons were punished and their meetings in the lodges were dis rupted only be cause they were not familiar with their secrets. Even though these secrets are unknown to me as well, it is enough for me to know that these free mason lodges have done much good for friend ship, for the relief of want and for education. I hereby or der, that even though their by-laws and discussions remain unknown to me, they be granted the protection of the State and that their meetings be permitted as long as they continue to act be neficently. This is more than was done for them at any time and in any other country." The conditions laid down subsequently were fairly strict, but there is no doubt that the permission, quoted above, was lib eral and elegant.

Those organizations which were devoted to the propagation of the Hungarian language, scholar ship, publiced u cation and literature were less for tunate, even though they were not sur rounded by the secrecy of free masonry. The suspicions of the authorities were not with out some foundation. For instance, in the Diana Hunting Society, organized in 1794 by the noble reform groups, there was less talk about the very popular hunts in Transylvania than about agrarian problems and about the importance of an interchange in social activities between the lesser, middle and high est nobility. This was also the time when the effects of the Hungarian Jacobin movement became evident in Transylvania. It was for tunate for the Transylvanians that these effects were not due to a direct as sociation, but rather just to the indirect, in tellectual transmission of ideas.

The Habs burg Em pire of Fran cis I (1792-1835) was slowly eroded by the enormous external forces weighing on it. It was during his reign that his aunt, Ma rie An toi nette, was be headed in Paris. Yet later he had to give his daugh ter to Na po leon, who not only was the prin ci pal ben eficiary of the blood-soaked French rev o lu tion, but was an up start and a divorced man. This happened only three years before the Little Corsican lost the fate ful bat tle of Leip zig, and only five years be fore the battle of Waterloo put an end to his brief return to power. Thus, the Aus trian self-debasement ac com plished very lit tle. These few lines suggest that at this time the important events took place far from Transylvania. Fran cis I, as King of Hun gary, shifted back and forth. His inclination was toward a show of force, such as the execution of the leaders of the tragic Hungarian Jacobin movement. Yet, he needed Hungar ian sol diers and Hungar ian money so much, that he was will ing to make cer tain concessions.

Bright stars appeared at this time in the heavens above Transylvania. About the turn of the cen tury a lesser no ble youth walked home all the way from Göttingen. It was the mathematician Farkas Bolyai (1775-1856) who kept up a correspondence with his former student friend, Karl Friedrich Gauss, who was later known as the prince of mathematicians.

In 1819, the Székely Sándor Kõrösi Csoma (1784-1842), looking for the an cient home of the Hun gar i ans, started out to ward the East, also on foot. He reached Ti bet and having suffered de privations that would have done justice to a fakir, he gathered linguistic material and wrote the first Ti betan gram mar and the first Ti betan-English dictio nary.

The son of Farkas, János Bólyai, born in 1802 (1802-1860), was a mil itary engineer and mathematician. In parallel with the above mentioned Gauss and the Rus sian genius Nikolai Ivanovics Lobachevski, and far outstripping the traditional mathematical thinking, he worked out the non-Euclidean "complete" or "absolute geometry" of which Euclidean geometry was only a special facet. Avoiding the unrewarding arguments about precedence, one must admit the originality and the great individual contributions of the three men. One must mention, however, that in his notes János Bólyai almost fully recognized the general theory of relativity which evolved from non-Euclidean geometry, but which was only fully developed, and published, by Einstein. In this, Bólyai was far ahead of his times.

Kõrösi Csoma and the youn ger Bólyai were two Transylvanian ge niuses in touch with the sci ence of their days, but who la bored much more in isolation than their more for tunate western con temporaries, and who pro duced such in tel lec tual achieve ments un der such un fa vor able conditions that it can be compared only to the lus trous pearl born from the sufferings of a wounded oys ter.

Transylvania had not suffered the direct rav ages of war for a long time. Ep i demics were less fre quent, public health was improving. The population in creased rapidly, but the Sax ons and the Hungarians, less likely to be come ur banized, fell be hind. The Romanians forged ahead. The region was agriculturally not self-sufficient. The cere als used in baking were imported from the Banat and from the Great Hungarian Plain. They were paid for primarily in wool and in the products of the mines. It is of in terest that it was still under Maria Theresa's maternal rule that the potato was in troduced into Transylvania. Its wide distribution was forcefully demanded by the central administration wishing to benefit

the people. At the same time, corn, which very rapidly be came an enormously important component in the nutrition of the people of Transylvania and quite particularly among the Romanians, was discouraged as being detrimental to the productivity of the lands producing cereal grasses.

The 19th century entered its second quarter. It was the beginning of the Re form Age, which will re sound with the de bate be tween the more careful and remote István Széchenyi and the more radical and trouble-making Lajos Kossuth. This reached Transylvania in its rel a tive isolation, even though one of the greatest figures of this age, Miklós Wesselényi, was more of a men tor to Széchenyi than a companion or fol lower. In the west, the large and power ful mid dle no bil ity played an important role in the age, which was actively seek ing the advance ment of the bour geoi sie. Transylvania was a step be hind in this as well and here the en light ened high est no bles set the tone. Yet, at the same time, the county delegates in Transylvania were recruited from a much broader base than in Hun gary.

The Reform Age was deeply entangled in the language question. Its lead ers had dis tanced them selves from Latin and had rejected Ger man. They iden ti fied the use of the Hungarian language with the national aspirations and this raised significant op position among the national minorities, not any more against the Austrian-German language, but against the Hungarian language and the national aspirations it represented. In addition, the religious is sues also raised their head. Aus tria was al most en tirely Cath o lic, while Hun gary showed a much more color ful re li gious map. The is sue of re li gious free dom was quite dif fer ent in Hungary, where Hungarians following different religions lived together, than in Transylvania, where the religions followed eth nic dividing lines. In Transylvania, any one speak ing up for equal rights for the Greek Or tho dox re li gion—as many coun ties had done—involuntariliy but clearly strength ened the national pride and national identification of the Transylvanian Romanians. This, in turn, led to ma jor con tra dictions, the ef fects of which did not become manifest until 1848-1849, and came to a peak after 1918.

The Transylvanian intellectuals were becoming increasingly better informed. The new mod els came from fur ther away, and in cluded western Eu ro pean Prot es tant ism and the so ci etal and eco nomic changes it brought. The mod els also in cluded the Eng lish mid dle-class, in dus trial de vel op ments and the French en light en ment and rev o lu tion. These intel lec tu als had made a few study tours to the United States, and came back with the highest hopes about the rosy picture of American democ racy as ap plied to Transylvania. They for got the cir cum stances of America's birth as a na tion, and its very dif fer ent his toric-societal or igins.

Sándor Bölöni Farkas (1795-1842) re sem bled Kõrösi Csoma phys i cally so much that they could have been taken for twins. He crossed the Atlantic in 1831-1832 and pub lished his *Travel in North America* in 1834. He de scribed his ex pe ri ences and he was the first one to make avail able in Hun gar ian the en tire text of the Dec la ra tion of In de pend ence and other pa pers of state and doc u ments of free dom.

Among Hun garians, but particularly in Transylvania, Bölöni's work was the Bi ble of the in tel lec tual and political elite for many years. Having the work of ficially condemned added to its popularity. Let us not forget, however, that among the readers we find the Romanian in tel lec tuals, who spoke Hungarian, and there were many of these. This book meant liberty for them as well. Need less to say, Bölöni was a friend and associate of Miklós Wesselényi. It was characteristic of the moment that the newly recovering Transylvanian spirit made Vienna see the specter of an other Romanian popular up rising. It was a schizo phrenic situation. The Court was simultaneously trembling because of these events and was also inciting the Romanians since it was scared even more of Hungarian separatism. Yet—as far as tactics were concerned—the immediate Hungarian aim was no more than an in ternal structural reorganization of the Habs burg Empire.

Every where where timely reforms are force fully prevented, it is ax i omatic that when the changes come any way, they will be full of un expected con flicts. Much more threat en ing to the Reform Age was the re vi sion

of the serf sys tem as a first step to ward complete aboli tion which, while very complex was also in evitable. The land reform made an tagonists of the nobles and the serfs. The reform illuminated their condition and made it more difficult for the serfs to avail themselves of the centuries-old, routine escape hatches and secret transitions to freedom. When reforms are man dated by the State, one can be cer tain that the State was going to be the principal beneficiary.

This is very in ter esting, since those of us who were taught an almost mechanistic development of his tory and an evolution of human rights from slavery through feu dalism to a bourgeois, capitalistic so ciety were amazed to find that the sup posedly rigid class so ciety was actually quite flexible. The serf had many opport unities for both in dividual and family hap piness, and had many "special path ways" that were hardly special, and affected very large groups of in dividuals.

An other mat ter that was fraught with con tra dic tions and that was very much in the fore front of pub lic con cern at this time—some what differ ently in Hun gary than in Transylvania—was the is sue of the county sys tem. This sys tem si mul ta neously gave a ref uge to the con ser va tive ele ments of the no ble classes and op por tunities to the reform party. It could serve both the cen tral ist ten den cies of the Court and the Hungar ian drive for au ton omy. It was in creas ingly less suit able for the recognition and resolution of minority in terests.

Yet an other con tro versy arose from the de vel op ments in pub lic ed u cation. While in creasingly large numbers, in cluding minorities, were provided with middle and higher education, many fewer achieved the op portunities, live li hoods and of fices that they had hoped for. Among both the Hungarians and the minorities there was an abundance of intellectuals, but in different proportions. There was a realirony in all this. The educated and fundamentally politically-oriented multitude, which was also the victim of discrimination, lacking other employment, became a willing participant in a revolution.

In the meantime, in Pest-Buda, Pozsony, and other centers, law students had a major role in the prep a ration of the 1848-49 events. Sometimes far from home, these young Ro manian, Slovakian, Serb, Croatian, Ruthenian and other university grad u ates gathered in coffee houses and other societies, established newspapers and prepared to free their own—potential—nation from the icy grip of the Habsburg empire. Many of them saw their adversary not in Vienna, but in Buda, Kolozsvár, and Pozsony. They did not—at least not yet—con template a complete sep a ration from Vienna, but rather some in ternal reforms which would liberate them not so much from Habsburg oppression, but from the much more in timately experienced Hungarian supremacy.

This relative abundance of intellectuals affected the most advanced society in Transylvania, the Sax ons as well. Their own particular dilemma was that while they were interested in liberalization because of their bour geois status and because of their economic interests, their fear of the Hungarians—and more immediately of the official use of the Hungarian language—drove them away from their national interests to ward the conservative, centralizing Vienna. At the same time, their greatest concern was the increasing numerical preponderance of the Romanians, which be gan to appear in the Saxon lands also.

At the begin ning of 1846, the national up rising of the Galician Polish nobility against the Tsarist regime was drowned in blood by the local peasantry. This led to two very different interpretations. In Vienna it meant that this was a good ex ample and a potential high way to the future; In Buda, among the Hungarian nobility, it meant that the as pirations of the serfs had to be supported from above, since other wise the Galician ex ample represented a major threat.

## The Fight for Freedom, the Compromise, Dualism

In March 1848, the European revolutionary fever passed though Vienna, Pozsony, and Pest, and rapidly reached Transylvania. Of the twelve Hun gar ian de mands for lib erty, it is the twelfth one that rang the bell: "Un ion with Transylvania". Ini tially, this was sup ported by nu merous Romanians and Sax ons, but public opin ion quickly changed. An increas ing num ber in their cir cle op posed it or would accept the Un ion only with extensive guarantees of their rights. The Court clearly expected that—with Saxonia as a back stop—it could mobilize the Romanian peas antry and thus sur round the rebellious Hungarians. This not only strength ened the Transylvanian Romanians but it might also produce a strong at traction for the Transcarpathian Romanians to be come in tegrated into the Habs burg Empire. This goal an tici pated the present Greater Romania but, of course, strictly within the Mon ar chy.

There was no secret organization among the ethnic groups—it was only the logic of the situation that was at work. The 1848 East-ern-Central European wild fire spread—after some minor and in significant manifestations. On May 11-12, 1848, the Slovakians presented their principal national demands at Liptószentmiklós. The Serbs followed on May 13-15 at Karlóca, and the Romanians at their national assembly in Balázsfalva, on May 15-17. This latter city was, at this time already an important center for Romanian religious and educational affairs, and the selection of this site was evidence for the major role played by the in tellec tu als.

On the eve of the last meeting, on May 14, a lo cal profes sor of phi los ophy, Simion Barnutiu, gave a speech in the Balázsfalva cathe dral. "This speech is the basic text for the Romanian national idea and the most significant expression of Romanian national consciousness since the *Supplex*. It emphasizes the right of the Romanians for self determination and states that every mor sel cast to them from the table of Hungarian liberty is poisoned." (Samu Benkõ)

Just like the Transylvanian Hungarians, the Romanian in tellectual elite looked more and more to ward the west ern world. There was a dis cussion at Balázsfalva about the west ern Euro pean trends to ward na tional states, which may have served as a model for the smaller states. Here we had the first mention of the later so popular concept of an "Eastern European Switzerland". The obvious Panslavism warned the non-Slavs to get to gether. It did not hap pen here, but soon there was talk about a Danubian Confederation, with a forceful reversal of ethnic mingling and a massive exchange of populations. Is there anything new under the sun? This could well be a question raised by an observer to day.

It comes as no surprise that all Transylvania was reaching for arms. Even though the eth nic groups did this in good faith and for their own pro tec tion, it was clearly the first step to ward civil war. All it needed was a tiny spark, any where and for any real or imag i nary in jury. It will never fail.

In Hun gary, the free ing of the serfs took place, al though not with out some in ju ries and some con flicts. How about Transylvania? Here the pro cess was impeded by the differ ent local civil laws. If, how ever, the Union was going to as sure equal laws every where—what was the problem? Un for tu nately the legal and practical implementation of the Union was not a simple matter. It required multiple approvals in the Vi enna - Pest - Transylvania tri an gle. The dif fer ing in ter nal sys tems did not allow the me chan i calex tension of the Hungarian legal system. The other parties, and particularly the Romanian serfs, suspected that these were de laying tactics, tricks and sab o tage on the part of the Hungarian no bil ity. This in spite of the fact that the last Transylvanian Diet, called with out the ap proval of the Emperor, already freed 160,000 families at the end of March, and that most of these were Romanian. This is the stum bling block in ev ery ma jor change of sys tem: the changes oc casioned forcefully by the revolutionary enthusiasm stand on legally shaky ground and the legal process is necessarily slow. There is a period in all such changes when the old sys tem is no lon ger functional, and the new sys tem is not yet in place. A fact un for tu nately re mains a fact: in this con fu sion, the first fa tal shots were fired by Székely bor der guards in a police action, with Ro ma nian peas ants caught in the middle, il legally using grazing land.

The Transylvanian Romanians were un sure about the serf prob lem, but this is not all. The revolution extended to their natural allies, the Romanians in the Regat. This was suppressed by Turk ish-Russian cooperation. Bucharest was occupied. They wanted to get rid of their other potential ally, the Serbs. The reason being the strong in fluence the Serb Or tho dox Church had on the Romanian Or tho dox Church. There were thus ob vious factors that should have promoted a consider ation of Romanian-Hungarian cooperation.

When the fall of 1848 be gan, the Cro atian troops of Jellachich started their sneak at tack against the Hun gar ian cap i tal from the south and, in the east, Transylvania came to a boil. The establishment of the first army of the responsible Hungarian government required conscription. Even though shortly rescinded, this trig gered a protest—even among some Hungarians—which then led to a new Balázsfalva as sembly and en camp ment, this time of several weeks' duration. There was a demand that the very shaky, but established union be dissolved. It also led to the situation where the Austrian troops stationed in Transylvania, could very soon count on large numbers of auxiliaries in the form of substantial Romanian rebel troops.

It got worse. The Kossuth people wishing to mobilize the Székelys for participation in the civil war, gathered about 60 thousand armed Székelys in Agyagfalva on Oc to ber 16, 1848. The fact that here the emphasis was placed on Hungarian af fairs rather than on the revolution, that the goals and agenda were not sufficiently clarified, and that some of the Székelylead ers starting from Agyagfalva were more in terested in creating con fusion than in any thing else, were the causes that made the Transylvanian tragedy of the fall of 1848 re semble an avalanche. The people of Balázsfalva and Agyagfalva and many other Transylvanian communities, groups and as so ciations, stood face to face. It was a miracle that the Austrian military leaders, in decisive and mis under standing the local sit u a tion, could not take greater ad van tage of this conflict.

The Hungarian Diet and government—engaged in a life and death strug gle—were un able to, or de layed in, is su ing or di nances that could have calmed and pacified the nation alities. Many of these were of the opinion any way, that the attack of Jellachich was going to be victorious, and that they may just as well stand on the winning side. This opinion was shared by the Saxons, who were becoming increasingly aware of their Ger man blood ties.

In this difficult situation—and as we have seen, without adequate thought—the Hungarian government did not limit its mobilization to the militarily experienced Székelys. A national guard was being organized throughout Transylvania, but the Hungarians were reluctant to at tack. They could expect nothing good from a general civil war. After the Balázsfalva and Agyagfalva assemblies, there were already widespread clashes and retributions that caused considerable damage to both sides. All in vain. The Austrian General Puchner, the military commander of Transylvania, ordered his troops and their Romanian aux il ia ries to dis arm the Hungarian national troops. This did not take place with out much blood shed and much damage and de struction to civilian and public property.

The up surge of long sup pressed ha treds and the mur der ous heat of the moment made the map of Transylvania into a bloody mosaic. In Oc tober and November of 1848, clashes here, battles there and in some places even mas sa cres decorated the map. It appeared that this region was lost. Finally, only Háromszék county held out, but this made it impos si ble for Vi enna to take the central Hungarian forces into a pin cer movement. In many areas the anti-Hungarian cooperation began to yield rewards and a new, es sen tially Romanian admin is tration was being established.

At this time it was no lon ger the post-revolutionary gov ern ment of the stead fast and so ber Lajos Batthányi, which was in charge "over there". It was the much more radical Committee of National Defense which now gov erned the country forced into a national fight for free dom. The center of gravity of the events was shifting to ward the East. The capi-

tal on the Dan ube was first threat ened and then lost and the new cap i tal was moved to Debrecen. The ar ma ment fac tory of Pest was moved to Nagyvárad. Kossuth appointed a new commander in chief for Transylvania. He was the Pol ish Josef Bem (1794-1850), a hero of the earlier Polish uprising. Considering the forces and means at his disposal, he fought a very suc cess ful win ter cam paign and reconquered almost all of Transylvania. From whom? Pri marily, from the ar mies of the Austrian General Puchner who also had a new commander-in-chief. The Habs burg fam ily had re moved the in competent Ferdinand V (1835-1848) and replaced him with his young nephew, Francis Jo seph (1848-1916).

It would take too long to fol low Bem's Transylvania cam paign in de tail, during which this ro man tic and daring revolution ary and military commander made several, almost desperate at tempts on his own authority to win over the nationalities. It must be mentioned, how ever, that the Russian in tervention into the Hungarian civil war began here and now. On Puchner's plea for help—he claimed that the Romanians were responsible for this—a 3,000 men Tsarist army entered Transylvania across the Southern Carpathians in February 1849. Bem chased them and their Austrian hosts back to the Wallachia. Tsar Nich o las I now, at the begin ning of May, decided to save the House of Habs burg, and in the mid dle of June sent a 200,000 men Rus sian de luge from the north, across the Dukla Pass into Hungary. All the rest was just a question of time.

In the meantime, the "Olmütz Constitution" of Francis Joseph declared that Transylvania was an in de pend ent prov ince. This was countered by the Debrecen Declaration that deposed the House of Habs burg. A des per ate mea sure, which scared many pre vious sup porters away from the civil war that was considered to be a constitutional battle when viewed from the Hungarian perspective. The declaration was is sued jointly in the names of Hun gary and Transylvania as a matter of course.

After Bem's tri umphs, Transylvania was al most com pletely in Hun garian hands during the spring and sum mer of 1849. What was then the sit u a tion? Would mag na nimity or Dra co nian se verity tri umph? Would the ear lier col lab o ra tion be over looked or re venged? Bem cov ered the past deeds with an am nesty, but the fu ture was go ing to be judged by the court-martial set up by Kossuth's local governors. Morality apart, this was not a wise thing to do, even if there had been something to avenge. Burning the great cen ter of learn ing, Nagyvárad, to gether with its li brary, for ex am ple, took many lives to make its point. The rapid deterioration of the military sit u a tion made all of these is sues moot, including a last min ute at tempt at Hungarian-Romanian con cili a tion.

The capitulation at Világos on August 13, 1849 did not af fect Bem's troops, but the consequences were entirely be yound their control. The time came when it was impossible to tell the difference between the punishment that the deliriously victorious Vienna meted out to the Hungarians and the benefits they be stowed on the other nationalities in Hungary. It was certain that already early in September the Austrian commander-in-chief is sued an order for the dismissal of the Romanian auxiliary troops. The loyal Sax ons got their unpleas ant surprises a little later. The Saxon lands were dismembered and their auton omy was revoked.

As it happens not infrequently, regardless of what the reactionary forces may do for their own gratificationafter the victorious termination of a civil war, many re sults and con se quences of the civil war remain. There could be no question of the re-establishment of serf dom or of a complete re construction of the old cast system of society. In a para dox i cal way, some of the things that were done against the central Austrian power, turned out to be to it's benefit. The modernizations promoting the development of a bourgeoisie, which was a vital interest of the House of Habsburg, was much easier to implement—even force fully—at this time. The evolution ary processes, that be gun under Maria Theresa and Joseph II, and were sustained under the Reform Age came to their in evitable fruition at this time. There was an opportunity to introduce and implement "from above" with out there being

an op por tu nity to re sist "from be low". Need less to say, this was a painful process, which took place under for eign of ficials and executors, under a tight military occupation.

The Bach Era, universally condemned in Hungary, actually had both good and bad fea tures. The new ad min is tration, legal system, law enforcement and their executive apparatus were for eign, but although oppressive, they granted a number of advantages in the non-political arena. Public safety was much improved and, more importantly, numerous economic innovations were introduced and the bases for economic development were stabilized. Yet, this was the period when in our region, and with a fatal intensity, there appeared a permanent opposition to all governments and to the legal system of all administrations. All this, of course, was disguised as an absolutely patriotic endeavor. This kind of "civil disobedience" is well known from Northern Ireland to the Basque country, but is fortunately unknown in most of Western Europe.

The entire Hungarian political situation—which was supposed to enlighten and instruct the frightened and confused Transylvanian Hungarians—was now increasingly under the influence of Ferenc Deák (1803-1876). Known as the "Sage of the Fatherland", he was patient, he opposed the Debrecen Declaration deposing the Habs burg dynasty, and he was will ing to wait until a way was found to ward a compromise. Until then, he favored pas sive civil resistance and a prudent retrenchment.

The Deákin spired wis dom and pas sivity in "high politics" was reduced at the "pop ular" level to the avoid ance of tax a tion, of duties and of income tax, and even to the escape from military service, by any means in genuity could devise. This was not only considered to be not shameful, but it was a glorious thing to do. The people, by the Grace of God, had learned during the centuries of serf dom, how to mis lead its masters, to avoid the for eign armies exacting trib ute, to hide it self and its goods. It was now using this accumulated wis dom against the detested Bach of ficials and against the Austrian sol diers quartered on them. Un-

for tu nately, they main tained this men tality even when they be came the citizens of their own national state. They considered it a virtue—and do so even to day—if they could take ad van tage of a to them "for eign" administration.

The country was full of mut tering rather than with use ful activity, and there were many Hungarian under ground, hole and corner groups. The most important anti-Austrian organization, after 1849, took place in Transylvania, It was na ive and nur tured the im age of an am bi tious new be gin ning. Its leader was a Col o nel József Makk, who lived in Bucharest and who was going to arm the Székely rebels with weapons obtained from Moldavia. The anticipated, new European wave of rev o lu tions on which they pinned their hopes did not ma te ri al ize. The Vi en nese spies were watch ful and the con spir a tors were care less. The movement, that actually reached as far as Vienna, collapsed after its lead ers were ar rested. Even though the in sti tu tion of serf dom was legally abol ished in Transylvania during the sum mer of 1848, by the decla ra tion of the Diet of that year, and a law was en acted about univer sal tax a tion, the effective freeing of the serfs was made very difficult by the complicated ownership and legal conditions, the numerous tacit individ ual ar range ments, based on common law, and the vir tual im pos si bility of assessing the value of the socage, for the loss of which the land own ers were supposed to receive compensation. Much bad feeling was gen er ated by a dis cus sion about the dis po si tion of the jointly and freely used for ests—which were con sidered to be in ex haustible.

"Down be low", this af fected the Romanians most of all. They were numerically the larg est group that felt it self to be de spoiled during their serf dom and who were most depend ent on their pas to ral privileges and on the free use of the for ests. The land owner group was equally im pover ished, since it was paid only the already minimal compensation. The pay ments were made in devalued Trea sury bonds, and the compensation was further reduced by the War Tax imposed by Vienna at the time of the Crimean War. With the exception of the officials and the men in the repressive organizations—mostly Austrians, Czechs and Moravians—almost every body considered him self a looser.

While the Hungarians are fond of mentioning the key strate gic role of the Carpathian Basin in Central Europe, we must not forget the geopolitical power held by who ever con trolled the his torically so drafty pas sage be tween the Carpathians and the Black Sea, in cluding the es tuary of the Dan ube. This area was both a bridge and a di vider be tween the north ern Slavs and the south ern Slavs. At the foot of the Alps a strong Ger man wedge was driven be tween these two groups and in the Carpathian Basin a Hungarian wedge was inserted at the time of the Con quest. To the east of the Carpathians the Romanians set tled who came north from the Mid-Balkans and from Macedonia, speaking a Neo-Latin, much more Thracian than Dacian, and strongly intermingled in their new home with Cumanians, Pechenegs, Slavs and oth ers. They left be hind them selves small groups at the Al ba nian—Mac e donian—Greek bor der land, in Thessalonika and in the Istrian Peninsula. These groups, while de creasing steadily, are still recognizable to day by the language they speak. The strongest, northeastern group of the Romanians slowly and against massive opposition, reached an area along the lower Dan ube and reached a status just short of forming a nation. This had been men tioned above, in pass ing.

In 1853, Tsarist Russian troops marched along the foothills of the Carpathians, this time against the Turks. This led to the above-mentioned Cri mean War and to a crush ing Rus sian de feat. Austria, for get ting its in debt ed ness to Nich o las I, oc cu pied the Moldavian and Wallachian Romanian principalities for several years. Finally, and in or der to main tain the bal ance of power among the distant major European powers, Turkey ir retrievably lost control over this area, but neither Russia nor Austria could acquire it. Moldavia and Wallachia, recently en larged, first be came in de pend ent and then formed a per sonal un ion in 1859. The ruling prince, Alexandru Ion Cuza (1820-1873) now got in touch with the 1849 Hungarian emigrés. In ex change for fu ture as sistance, he asked for military support for himself to conquer all of Bessarabia, and he nat u rally also asked for an ex pan sion of the rights of the Transylvanian Romanians, Even the possibility of a triple Romanian-Serb-Hungarian con fed er a tion was raised, which in the dreams of Kossuth be came the Roma nian-Serb-Croat-Hungarian Danubian Confederation. All this was put on hold by the general European realignments. Aus tria lost both ter ri tory and power in It aly and in the Prus sian War but this could be used against her only later. Even then the ben e ficia ries were Deák and his follow ers and not the Kossuth group.

The icy grip of the Bach Era be gan to thaw. There was an in evi table, cautious liberalization from above with a partial re-establishment of Par lia ment. It was a bit ter les son for the Hungarians that this nar rowly defined census-based election resulted in a Romanian majority in the Transylvanian Diet. "The 1863 summer elections—during which the gov ern ment is al leged to have spent 800 thou sand Fo rints to in flu ence approximately 70-89,000 vot ers—49 Ro ma nian, 44 Hun gar ian and 33 Saxon can di dates re ceived a man date. The Hun gar ian lib eral camp got the man dates in all of the Székely széks and in all the Hun gar ian cities, but in the coun ties which were con sid ered to be the an cient, fun da mental units of political life, they suffered a disastrous defeat. Of the 38 county representatives only 2 were Hungarians. The king nominated 11 "men of substance", or officials, from each nationality, assigning to them a bal ancing function which in other countries was per formed by an Up per House. In the final count there were 60 (later 59) Ro ma nian, 56 Hungarian and 44 Saxon representatives with a seat in the Diet." (Zoltán Szász).

Opting for ab sen tee ob struction, only three Hungarian representatives showed up. This effectively neutralized the organization about which the above writer said: "This was the first—and also the last—Transylvanian Diet in which the Romanians were present as a national block and even represented a majority."—And something else. While the legit i macy of this par liament was debat able and its effectiveness in view of the Hungarian boy cott was limited, it was this organization, which made the three Transylvanian languages, Romanian, Hungarian and German, of equal legal standing.

Let us ex am ine the de mo graphic basis of the 1863 election results. We may get the best lead from the religious statistics. In 1850, in Transylvania proper, with out the Partium, the numbers were as follows:

Greek Or tho dox 32.3%, Greek Cath o lic 29.2% (to gether 61.51%), Reformed 13.6%, Roman Catholic 11.4%, Evangelical 10.5%, Unitarian 2.4%, and Jew ish 0.6%.

It must be noted that the religious af filiations change little until 1910 or un til the be gin ning of World War I The ma jor change was the de crease of the Greek Or tho dox to 29.6%, while the number of Jews in creased to 2.4%, due to increasedimmigration during the second half of the last cen tury, and to the large number of children in their families. Thus, the fraction of the almost exclusively Romanian Greek Orthodox decreased and the num ber of chil dren be came a fac tor with the Jews and not with them. Con trary to pop u lar be lief, in the time span un der discussion, namely 1851-1857, the increase in Transylvanian Lutherans was practically zero (0.12%). The in crease of the other two Protestant denominations was 0.7% and the same number applies to the Greek Orthodox. The increase in Roman Catholics was 0.9% and in Greek Catholics it was 0.57%. It is in ter esting that the one and two children fam i lies were most prom i nent among the Sax ons and the Swabians in the Banat, the for mer of whom were Lu theran and the lat ter Ro man Catholic. Among the peculiarly local Unitarians the birth rate was so low that it practically amounted to a denominational suicide.

Two ad di tional sets of data: The first one co mes al ready from the turn of the cen tury, and states that while the to tal per cent age of the Ro man Catholics was 13.3%, they rep resented 25.9% of the urban population. Among the Re formed, the to tal was 14.7% while the urban per cent age was 23.4%. Among the Lutherans these numbers were 9% versus 16.1%. Among the Jews 2.1% ver sus 6.3%. The sit u a tion was re versed among the Greek Catholics whose percentage of the population was 28%, while they represented only 11.6% of the urban population. Among the Greek Or tho dox, these numbers were 30.3% ver sus 15%. Thus, the major ity of the latter two groups was rural and they represented only a small percentage of the urban population. This had to give rise to substantial spec ula tion both for the present time, and also for the fore see able future.

Re turning to the mid-century, let us ex am ine the dis tri bu tion on the basis of native language. In Transylvania proper, in 1850, 58.3% were Romanians, 26.1% Hungarians, 10.3% Germans, 4% Gypsies, 0.6% Yid dish, 0.4% Ar me ni ans, and all others 0.2%. Those who as sume that there was a Hungarianization during the following half century, naturally at the expense of the Romanians, must be reminded that in 1900, those who claimed to have Hungarian as their mother tongue in creased by 6.7% to 32.8%, while the Romanian speakers decreased by 1.75 to 56.9%. The increase in Hungarian speakers must be at trib uted to the fact that in 1850 there were 4% who claimed to have the Gypsy language as their mother tongue. In 1900 this category no longer appeared in the list. It can be as sumed that at this time the entire Gypsy eth nic group was in cluded among the Hungarian speakers.

One ad di tional item: Ac cord ing to one es ti mate, at the turn of the century Bu charesthad 200,000 Hungarian in habitants (ethnic?, or Hungarian speaking?). At the same time there were very many emigrants to America, but also to Ger many. This drained pri marily the Székelyföld. It seemed to prove the fre quently made allegation that the group, making up the majority of the participants in the "classic" emigration, were not necessarily those who came from the most miserable circumstances. Rather, they came from groups that had already achieved a certain level of prosperity, but who were stuck there and who be cause of their family and national traditions wanted more and better things. It was not the multitude of solo flute playing, mountain shep herds who struck out toward the New World, but the Jack-of-all-trades, skilled Székelys who made up the bulk of the emigrants.

When forging the Compromise of 1867, one of the Hungarian demands was the re-establishment of the 1848 un ion. But, as we can recall, the union did not have the enthusiastic en dorse ment of the two principal Transylvanian nationalities, the Romanians and the Saxons, and there fore the new Hungarian state, now an "in tegral part ner" in the Austrian-Hungarian Monarchy, decided to proceed cautiously. Thus, Transylvania was not im me di ately in tegrated into the moth er land.

On the other hand, al ready in 1868 a Na tion ality Act was passed which was ex tremely progres sive by the stan dards of the time, and which was much more meaning ful at the periphery of the country than in its central parts. This act could serve as a model even to day since it accepted the use of the mother tongue in both official and other applications, permitted separate schooling and the establishment of separate national organizations in each "civilian society". It also granted collective rights, and not just in divid ual ones. It can justly serve as a basis for reference. As far as its implementation was concerned, the picture is less attractive.

In the case of such legislation, it is customary that initially there is a strong "customer resistance" which weakens over time. Here the reverse oc curred. While the Compromise was a success in the economic sphere, the Hungarians of the Monarchyvig or ously pur sued what they considered to be the most precious part of their existence, namely, Hungarianization and the acceptance of Hungarian supremacy, both of which they considered to be their law ful as pirations. The hopes and aspirations generated by the favorable Nationality Act of 1868 decreased rather than increased with time, learning the Hungarian language became compulsory in all schools, and the nationality schools could no longer accept for eign contributions. Since the counties were usually the bas tions of conservatism, the extension of the county system to Transylvania—to the detriment of the Székely and Saxon legal traditions—was a regres sive development.

We must add one thing about the 1868 Nationality Act, linked to the names of Ferenc Deák and József Eötvös (1813-1871). This le gal document, significant even by general European standards, was based on the concept of the French nation ality-state and empha sized in its in troduction that "ac cording to the fundamental principles of the Constitution, and in a political context, all citizens of the country together constitute a single nation, an indivisible, unified, Hungarian nation, of which every citizen of the country, regard less of national affiliation, is an equal member, having the same legal rights."

What is wrong with it? It contains the terms "political context" and "equal rights"...Yet, the pas sage was con demned in the stron gest terms by the au thors of a Ro ma nian mem o ran dum in 1892, who wrote, "In other words, every hu man being living in Hungary, be they Ro ma nian, German, Slavic, etc., belong to a single nation, the Hungarian. It goes with out say ing that we view this in troduction as an overt as sault against our national existence and against the national existence of our other non-Hungarian fellow citizens." This was the official position of the Romanians from 1868 until 1918, when the die turned in precisely the op posite direction. This is in effect to this day and the Hungarians and Székelys in Transylvania, must (should) declare and consider themselves as Hungarian speaking Romanians and members of the Romanian national state.

The elec toral sys tem of 1848, while ex panded on the basis of property, education, and other criteria, was still quite restrictive and not uniformly applied. In the more back ward Transylvania, amend ments were nec es sary. In spite of this, at the begin ning of the 1880s only a quar ter of the Transylvanian Saxons, a fifth of the Hungarians, and barely a tenth of the Romanians had the vote. This was not the sole determinant fac tor. Be cause of their large numbers, the Romanians had a majority in some electoral districts. It was a different is sue that—due to certain circum stances discussed below—it hap pens that these districts, with a Romanian majority, provided the safest seats for the government, even though the government's nationality policy hardly deserved this.

The forty years following the 1868 Compromise were not the golden age in every thing, not even eco nomically, even though east of the River Lajta (his toric Hungary's West ern bor der) the advances were dynamic. The great est stim u lus for this up swing was the cap it all pour ing into this area. It did not stop at a new water bar rier, the Dan ube, or at Bu dapest, which was in creasingly openly competing with Vienna. Yet the rail road initially only extended as far as Temesvár, Arad, and Nagyvárad. Its further extension was slow, partly be cause of the increasingly difficult geographic conditions. The situation was similar in the area of road

build ing. The large uni fied cus toms area of the Mon ar chy had much to of fer, but the more back ward pe riph eral ar eas could take only limited ad van tage of this for their own ad vance ment.

For Transylvania, the most im por tant is sue was the trade with Ro mania—we must fi nally ad mit this. Ex port and im port were the keys, but the Mon ar chy got em broiled in such a cus toms bat tle in the east that these very dynamic relationships were severely curtailed. There is no chap ter in the pic ture book of Hun gar ian eco nomic and in dus trial devel op ments that was not en riched by spec tac u lar Transylvanian con tributions. The strikingly executed art and the beautiful creations in wrought iron document not only past developments and virtues, but are also el o quent wit nesses of to hu man dil i gence, in ven tive ness, care, and abun dance of tal ent.

While the basis for the struggle were the Hungarian—and Romanian and Saxon—national identity issues, the ideological and political factors also carried considerable weight. When, with the 1868 Compromise the Hungarian search for a national identity achieved its objectives and gained momentum, this momentum was obtained simultaneously by various national ities and shifted the center of gravity of the dualistic Monarchy. Let's put it this way. In the struggle for political and economic strong points, the latter be came the more important ones. The Hungarian Cultural Association of Transylvania (EMKE), as its name clearly indicates, was not exactly established for this purpose, but it quickly recognized the trend, albeit per haps not the full weight of the trend. It originally started with nationalisticand educational aims, but rapidly shifted to ward the establish ment and protection of commercial enterprises. The Transylvanian Economic Association (EGE), established in 1844, was active in the same area.

The Saxon fear of the oppressive Dual Monar chy was much relieved when it be came apparent that their age-old, char acter is tice conomic activity and in fluence would not be affected. In fact the economic revival fa vored those who already had an earlier start. It is true that among the Saxons a new political orientation began which turned away from the

Aus tri ans and pointed to ward a "Greater Ger many". At this time and in con trast to the Hit ler era, the Sax ons re ceived lit tle en cour age ment from this direction. For the Wilhelmine-Bismarckian Germany good re la tions with Aus tria and Hun gary were much more im por tant than a pos si ble sep a rat ist ten dency among the now 200 thou sand strong Saxons.

While the Saxons were becoming increasingly resigned to the union, the Romanians were be coming in creasingly hos tile. They realized that if Transylvania were to be come auton o mous, their numerical superiority would become decisive. Their interests were not identical everywhere. The Romanians living out side Transylvania in Hungary tried to get ahead in that country. The Transylvanian Romanians were more "fun da men tal ists", and se lected pas sivity as one of the options in the all-or-nothing game of political resistance. This tactic is difficult to justify fully, and goes a long way to ex plain why the gov ern ment had such an easy time of it in the primarily Romanian electoral districts. At this time, the number of those who demanded an autonomous Transylvania or who turned to ward the ex tra-Transylvanian Romanians was negligible. The majority of the Romanians had little under standing for this policy. They voted in differ ently for who ever seemed to rep resent a power base, or from whom they hoped to gain some ad van tages, a de crease in ha rass ment, a road, a small bridge, etc.. This was of fered most effectively by the existing government. It is note worthy that when in 1881 a unified Romanian National Party was established, a certain Partenie Cosma was elected pres i dent. He was a law yer, em ployed by a large bank. The importance of banks as a source of capital was in creasing in the pe riph eral ar eas as well.

What was happening in the meantime in the area beyond the Carpathians? Moldavia and Wallachia in creasingly fused into a personal union and formed a principality under the leadership of Cuza. Since 1861 it was called Romania, and very soon Bucharest became the capital of the principality. Cusa's gentrifying, liberal "forward-looking" laws produced a violent reaction. In 1866 he was expelled and the still evolving but in choate country looked abroad for a new ruler. This was not

entirely strange and there were many historic precedents. It was strange, how ever, that while the Neo-Latin speak ing Romanians were oriented toward Paris and were linked in their higher ideals—other than to antiquity—to the French cultural circles, the new ruler was a Prus sian Hohenzollern.

The begin nings of Charles I (1866 or rather 1881-1914) were for tunate. When in 1877-78, the Rus sian Tsar again tried to limit the Turk ish area of influence, the Romanian troops commanded by him participated successfully in the Russia campaign. This then irrevocably eliminated any danger that the age-old and detrimental Turkish influence might have held for the fledgling Romania. The fact that at this precise moment some Hungarian circles developed a Russophobe and Turkophile attitude distorted the picture and did little to promote Hungarian-Romanian relationships. Apparently the memories of 1849 were more vivid than those of the much earlier Turkish occupation. This went to the point where a small volunteer group was being formed which wished to fight on the side of the Turks in this con flict. When a Romanian counter-force was being developed, the Hungarian government quickly stepped in.

In the Peace of San Stefano, the de clining Turk ish sul tan ate was forced to rec og nize the in de pend ence of Ro ma nia, which changed its form of gov ern ment in 1881. Nota bene, the new Ro ma nian king dom, un der the same Charles I, proved to be just as un grate ful to ward Rus sia as Aus tria had been. Hav ing got ten rid of the Turk ish in flu ence, it very soon did the same with Rus sia, by turn ing to Vi enna and Berlin and by form ing a se cret all i ance with these coun tries. This turn of events moder ated Bucha rest's at tempts to in corporate Transylvania. Initially such an at tempt was fore most among the plans of the new king dom, and was based on the often-stated Daco-Roman Continuity hy poth esis. The moder ation was only partial and temporary. The economic driving force of the Compromise was still unbroken and may even have reached its peak, but the eu pho ria was gone. Fur ther more, it was 1896 and the ap proaching millen nium of the original con quest created an en thus i asm in Hungarian public opinion that made it im possible politically to han dle even

the moderate requests of the national ities with under standing. One can imagine the reaction of the Or tho dox Romanians to the ordinance that made Hungarian man datory in religious in struction. It was of no consequence that or dinances, like the one just mentioned, or the one for bidding the multilingual posting of the name of a community, were never really enforced. This did little to mitigate the insult. It should have been a warning when Serb and Slovak attorneys were retained for the defense in a trial of the distributors of a Romanian memorandum about minority rights of which, initially, neither the Vienna Court nor the Hungarian government took official notice. The prosecution was started, after considerable hesitation, in Kolozsvárin 1882. The choice of attorneys showed a definite and demonstrative cooperation.

So ber Ro ma nian ob serv ers no ticed an old trap: the divi sive ness within their ranks and the ex ces sive im pa tience were less harm ful to the cause of the Romanians than the ben e fits they gained from the fun da men talism of the Hungarian power elite which had become their unwitting ally. There was much they could re fer to when they took the in ju ries of the minorities from the Hungarian to the European stage. The above-mentioned or di nance was promptly trans lated into half a dozen leading European languages. It was at this time—and un for tunately not en tirely with out foun da tion—that a pic ture was painted of the Hungarians for the bene fit of the Euro pean community which would have been more accurate for a conquering-adventuring Scythian robber band than for the cit i zens of a coun try which since 1868 had made every effort, eco nom i cally and polit i cally, to model it self on the rest of western Europe. The attempts of the Czech Tomas Masaryk (1850-1937) and of the Ro ma nian Ion Bratianu (1867-1927) to use this distorted caricature of the Hungarians in their efforts to dismember the Mon ar chy re ceived an ir re spon si ble as sist from a very odd in di vidual, the well-known Brit ish his to rian, R. W. Seton-Watson, known under his pen name as Scotus Viator. His increasingly prejudiced works clearly in flu enced the mis in formed de ci sion mak ers of the desper ately un fair peace trea ties at the end of World War I.

After the turn of the century, Hungarian politics became in creasingly involved in prestige fights rather than rational controversies and these for all practical purposes rendered the Dual Monarchy impotent. We once again see the collusion be tween the Court and the nationalities in the ex pan sion of the fran chise by impe rial fiat rather than by legit i mate par lia men tary action. Even greater weight was given to this sit u a tion by the tragic death at Mayerling of Crown Prince Rudolph. Rudolph liked the Hun gar i ans and, had he lived, might have be come a more pro gressive ruler than Jo seph II. He par tic u larly liked Transylvania. One of his faithful friends was the strange Transylvanian magnate, Count Samu Teleki, the hero of a cele brated African expedition. Rudolph frequently hunted on Teleki's Sáromberk es tate. The sen ti ments and views of the new Crown Prince, Francis Ferdinand, were diametrically opposed to those of Rudolph, who wrote lib eral ar ti cles un der a pen name. Fran cis Ferdinand wanted to rely on the nationalities to create a strong counterbal ance against the Hungarians. Not knowing how long Francis Joseph would continue to live, he instigated numerous cabals, feeding the hopes of his ini ti ates. It is one of his tory's iro nies that it was a Serb nation al ist who shot him down in Sarajevo in 1914.

The most in fluential Hungarian politician during the decade and a half, following the turn of the cen tury, was the deeply con ser va tive but yet prag matic István Tisza (1861-1918), a highly ma nip u la tive party leader and twice prime minister. The center of gravity of political in fighting was now lo cated in Par lia ment, as it was in most mod ern states. In this arena the representatives of the nationalities were necessarily a small minority, en tirely at the mercy of the benev olence or caprice of the majority nationality. With increasingly destructive obstructionist maneuvers, the opposition paralyzed and re-paralyzed the life of the Par lia ment. Tisza, re viled by many, used ev ery trick, ruse and force to main tain the coun try's ability to function. He even had enough en ergy left to at tempt a rec on cilia tion with the Romanians, if nec es sary, at the price of suppressing the Transylvanian Hungarian representatives. He re alized that to achieve some compromise so lution, the support of Bucha rest, rep re sent ing all the Romanians, was more im por tant than the support of the Transylvanian politicians who had be come in flex i ble in

their self-serving lo cal in terests. His of fer was neces sarily limited by the Hungarian political situation and by his own way of thinking. This of fer was also in op position to the one made by Francis Ferdinand, who at this time lacked any authority for so doing. According to the nationalities, if they had to live under a monarchy, this had to be multi-polar rather than the dualistic monarchy that in the past had granted the Hungarians too much authority.

Very shortly all of this be came tragically meaning less by the obligation to adhere to the German goals and by an Austria filled with new imperial ambitions that not only participated in the Balkan punitive campaign—soon to become expanded into World War I—but actually initiated it by the coarse and in sulting ultima tum to Ser bia, which in fact was a co-conspirator in the Sarajevo out rage. Only a few more days, and the troops hoping to re turn home "by the time the leaves fall", marched off to ward the grave of the Dual Austro-Hungarian Mon ar chy. Much more is buried in that grave than the fre quently con demned, but later even more fre quently missed governmental system of Central Europe.

## **Downfall and Punishment**

When in July 1914 the Mon ar chy mo bi lized, hun dreds of thou sands of men of military age were called up, regardless of their ethnic origin. The belli cose en thus i asm of the Hungarians was with out parallelin the Em pire. The best-informed per son, Prime-Minister István Tisza, was well aware of their military unpreparedness and so berly as sessing the strength of the enemy opposed the war—needles to say, unsuccessfully. He was also concerned about Transylvania, fearing a Romanian in va sion. There was no one who could fore see or sense that the war, about to begin, would bring nothing but disaster, quite in dependently of Transylvania, to the principal ethnic group in the Carpathian Ba sin, the Hungarians. Should the war be victorious, the only beneficiaries would be Austria and Germany. If it's lost? No body was prepared to assume Hungary's bur den.

Transylvania was once again the apple of Eris. The Romanian Kingdom was technically in a triple alliance with Austria and Germany, but Rus sia had promised it Transylvania—it did not be long to Rus sia—and even a part of Bukovina, if Roma nia were to form an alliance with Russia or even if it only were to remain neu tral. This was one of the reasons why István Tisza was so re luctant to enter the war. He saw this ploy very clearly, even though public opin ion did not. It was this fact—and also some rather crude pres sure from Germany—that forced him to make some concessions to Romania. These were insufficient, however, to sat isfy the Transylvanian Romanians or Bu cha rest. What it did ac complish was to en rage the Hungarian fundamentalists.

As long as the war appeared to go well for the German and Austro-Hungarian forces, the king of Ro ma nia held back and care fully preserved his armed forces so much de sired by both sides. When the for tunes of war be gan to turn, he made a se cret pact with the En tente Powers, according to which the West recognized his right to Transylvania.

The pre vious para graph was written in tention ally with complete objectivity. Who ever be lieves to detect any irony in it, is mis taken. The young Romanian state, which carried no responsibility for the outbreak of World War I, decided and acted in the most rational fash ion and in the best in terest of the Romanian national and ethnic goals. It accepted and even actively sought what ever was most ad van ta geous for it. What nation or country would do other wise?

In keeping with the above, Ro ma nia de clared war on the Mon ar chy, and on August 27, 1916 attacked Transylvania with an army of almost 500,000 men. Since it was opposed only by a few border guard gendarmes—where was the Monarchy'sinformation service?—considerable ter ri to rial gains were made by the Romanians within a few weeks. It is noteworthy that the Romanian population of the occupied parts of Transylvania was quite re served. This came as a sur prise to both Bucha rest and Vi enna-Budapest. Yet this was hardly a sign of their at tachment to the Habs burg Empire or to the Hungarians, nor was it a lack of na tional feeling. It was due more to the fact that they doubted the success of the campaign. Behold! The rapidly transferred Austro-Hungarian and German troops counter-attacked and by early fall pushed the at tack ers back be youd the Carpathians. It was the re sult of this vic tory—Pyr rhic though it may have proved in the fu ture—that Tur key and Bul garia joined the Vi enna-Berlin axis. This pre vented any renewal of Romanian attacks against Transylvania for the time being. "After the ex pulsion of the en emy, spec tac u larges tures were made to please and calm the Hungarian and Saxon pop u lations. At the be ginning of November 1916, the Crown Prince and the King of Bavaria vis ited the area and during the following fall the Emperor of Ger many paid a ceremonial visit to Transylvania. Official and social assistance pro grams were ini ti ated. At the same time the civil, but par tic u larly the military authorities, initiated inhuman punitive measures against the Romanians—pre sum ably to cover up their guilt feelings for having left Transylvania defenseless. Internments, arrests and indictments followed in rapid succession, even though several hundred thousand Romanians were still fight ing bravely un der the flags of the Mon ar chy. During the fall of 1917, the Min is ter of the In terior ad mit ted to 825 internments, while the Romanians knew of more than one thousand. When the Tisza gov ern ment was dis missed in the mid dle of 1917, the new Min is ter of Religion and Education, Count Albert Apponyi, began to es tab lish a so-called cul tural zone along the bor ders facing Romania, where public schools were to replace all the religious schools and only the 15-18 most famous educational institutions would remain in the hands of the Romanian Orthodox Church. According to his plans, 1,600 new state schools and kin der gar tens would be es tab lished within 4-5 years. A permanent government inspector-supervisor was appointed for each of the Romanian teacher colleges. In June 1918 all state support was withdrawn in this zone from the 477 teachers employed in the 311 Romanian parochial schools. The restructuring of the schools in the bor der zone was brought to a sud den end by the events of the fall of 1918." (Zoltán Szász)

During this time, and in spite of some regional successes, the war machin ery of the Cen tral Powers in creasingly creaked and cracked, casting the shadow of the final collapse. Yet, on the other side, Rus sia was also defeated and elim i nated from the war and Ro ma nia was forced to acknowl edge a mil i tary de feat. At the peace of May 1918 it had to re linquish Dobrudja to Bul garia, which at this time was still fight ing on the side of the Cen tral Powers. It had to make some bor der con ces sions to Hun gary as well. But then the effects of the 1918 military collapse, the en suing revolution ary period and the de struction of the central ad minis tration on the Romanian political movements in Transylvania and on the events taking place in this area, need not be related in detail since every event was immediately superseded by the on rushing developments. Suffice it to say that the at tempts to promptly repa triate the almost half million Romanian soldiers serving in the disintegrating forces of the Mon ar chy failed, and the expected as sumption of power that this repatri a tion was sup posed to ac complish did not take place.

In compensation and after some initial hesitation, the U.S.A., or rather its "Great Peace maker" President Wilson, decided that a unified Romania, including Transylvania, shall be established. This plan was also—and shamefully—supported by Germany on condition that it

may bring home the still armed and bat tle-ready Mackensen army from south east ern Ro ma nia, where it could have easily be come a hos tage in Ro ma nian hands. The Károlyi gov ern ment in Bu da pest, the product of a mid dle-class rev o lu tion and drift ing aim lessly, made a very lib eral attempt to consolidate Transylvania with political and legal concessions, an nounced in Arad by Oszkár Jászi, which went far be yond any pre vious con ces sions. It was far too late. 1918 was not even over yet when two par al lel events pre-empted any fu ture ac tion. Even though it held only promises and had no legal man date, the Roma nian Royal Army invaded and rapidly oc cupied Transylvania in No vem ber-December. It could do this easily, there was no re sis tance. (In the north west, a somewhat ear lier Czech in va sion was averted by Hun gar ian units). On December 1-2, at Gyulafehérvár, a Romanian Diet-Popular Assembly took place which has ever since been con sidered a mile stone in Romanian history. Nota bene: This fateful Romanian historic event was strongly sup ported by the still ex tant Hungarian admin is tration, and its participants were transported to the meeting by special trains oper ated by the Hun gar ian Na tional Rail ways. It is this Diet which pro claimed Transylvania's union with Romania. There were some conditions which were met and which must be men tioned, since lately they seem to have been for got ten.

The leaders of the Romanian multitude assembled at Gyulafehérvár, who drafted the res o lu tions and sub mit ted them for ap proval, did not wish to subject the Hungarians, who sud denly be came a minority, nor the Sax ons, to the in dig ni ties they them selves were exposed to in the past. They de clared: "Complete national free dom for the national ities living to gether." This sounded very good, and continued: "Every national ity has the right to its own ed u cation and gover nance, in its own language, and its own administration by individuals elected from among them selves." This was clearly a declaration not only of in dividual, but collective nationality rights. It had been.

It was remarkable that the Transylvanian Ro ma nian left wing did not sup port the union, or only gave luke warm sup port to it. The rea son for this was that at the time when the union was pro claimed, there was a much more liberal and increasingly left wing regime in Budapest to which 30 So cial Demo crats had been elected. Sub se quently the En tente moved sub stan tial mil i tary forces into north ern Hun gary which made the pres sures ex erted by the vic to ri ous forces ir re sist ible. The En tente was no longer con cerned only with pun ishing the Hungarians for their participation in the war, but it sat is fied in creasing Romanian demands and promoted the promptes tablish ment of Romanian administrations, which in numerous locations and on numerous occasions used brute force. In exchange, the Entente expected to use Romanian armed forces in its projected military in tervention in So viet Russia. It is understandable that the Transylvanian Hungarians were becoming in creasingly insecure, and that the Saxons and southern Swabians were be gin ning to think about protecting their own in terests in the new Romanian era. They realized much sooner than the Hungarians that the game was over.

The Károlyi gov ern ment could n't carry the bur den. The En tente and the Suc ces sor States sep a rated from the Mon ar chy made in creasingly im pos si ble de mands. The ex treme left saw its chance and the Com munists be gan to ex ert enor mous pres sure. The Károlyi gov ern ment fell and the sec ond, short-lived So viet state, the Hungarian So viet Republic, was es tab lished. (There will be a third one: in Ba varia...) If there were any illusions that the international Communists would be able to accomplish what the Social Democrats were unable to achieve before, during or after the war, in spite of their internationalism, these were rapidly dispelled. National awakening and separation became irresist ible following the collapse of the Central European structure, se verely weak ened by four years of war. The Reds could make only pro nouncements—pos si bly in good faith; they could cre ate no new ar range ments either between nations or between nationalities. Furthermore, when the Czechs intervened from the north and the Romanians from the east, the Hungarian Red Army, led mostly by of fi cers of the for mer regime and composed of bled out peas ants and work ers, went from defense to attack and fought very bravely to prevent the increasingly constricting lines of demarcation which left less and less of the

Carpathian Ba sin to the Hungarians, from be coming fixed borders. In vain; it was or dained oth er wise.

In some of the Transylvanian cities the Soviet Republic, under Béla Kun, which originated in Kolozsvár, had some at traction, although it had little if any in the rural areas. The behavior of the Romanians was very much affected by the fact that the Hungarian Red Army in cluded a Székely Di vision which openly wished to interfere in the determination of Transylvania's future. In fact, this di vision was rapidly broken up, and laid down its arms. In this it may have been a factor that the family members of the soldiers of this division were living in Székelyföld, under Romanian occupation, and as possible hos tages.

The Kun re gime that fol lowed the Károlyi re gime was also bro ken up and fled to Vi enna. Royal Ro ma nia took ad van tage of the op por tu nities granted by the vac uum in power and its troops en tered Bu da pest on Au gust 4. They re mained here un til the mid dle of No vem ber, and then re tired only to the Tisza, greed ily expect ing that this river would become the western border of Greater Romania. When on June 4, 1920 the peace treaty was signed in the Pal ace of Trianon out side Paris, al most one third of the for mer Hun gary, 32% of its ter ri tory, slightly more than 100 thousand square kilometers, were given to Romania. (The mu ti lated Hun gary re tained only a to tal of 93 thou sand square kilometers). Of the 5.25 million inhabitants of this region—some sources, er rone ously gave this number as 3.5 million—1.7 million were Hungarians and more than half a million were of German nationality. The great numerical superiority of the Romanians was evident. Yet, for in stance, across from the city of Gyula and along the north ern part of the common border, a significant area of purely Hungarian in habitants came un der Ro ma nian con trol. At the same time—bi lat er ally—a num ber of cities were completely sep a rated from their pri mary catchment areas. This resulted in enormous economic difficulties, which have re mained un re solved un til this day.

Let us look at the de mo graphic pic ture in some what greater de tail according to the figures collected by András Rónai. The period in ques-

tion, 1920, was not suit able for data collection, but valid conclusions can be drawn from the study of the 1910 and 1930 cen sus results, both of which were obtained in peace time. In the accompanying tables, we present the data per taining only to the territory ceded by the Trianon peace treaty.

1910	Population	Percentage
Romanian	2,829,454	53.8
Hungarian	1,661,805	31.6
German	564,789	10.8
Serbo-Croatian	54,055	1.0
Czecho-Slovakian	31,028	0.6
Russian-Ruthenian	20,482	0.4
Other	95,854	1.8
TOTAL	5,257,467	100

1930	Population	Percentage
Romanian	3,237,000	58.3
Hungarian	1,483,000	26.7
German	543,000	9.8
Jewish	111,000	2.0
Gypsy	46,000	0.8
Other	130,000	2.4
TOTAL	5,550,000	100

## Since Then

Ever since it be came even a pos si bil ity that, in view of its Ro ma nian ma jor ity, Transylvania may or should be re moved from the aegis of the Hungarian Crown and be incorporated into a larger framework containing the bulk of the Romanian people—with its centernaturally beyond the Carpathians—there had been no unanimity as to the mechanism of this change, even among the Romanians. The Romanians living inside the arc of the Carpathians would have preferred it if Transylvania were to en joy a sub stan tial au ton omy. For this there are two strong indications. This part was economically, socially and politically more advanced than the potential incorporator. Secondly, under these conditions, the appreciable non-Romanian residents would be more ready to accept a devel op ment that was clearly distressing for them. The res i dents of the Regat, how ever, wanted full in te gration, with a homogenous central administration, which did not recognize regional au ton o mies and in which the final say-so be longed to Bu cha rest, to the "old Ro ma nian" politicians of the Regat.

In the gradual but rapid take-over of 1918-1919, initially there was some lo cal au ton omy and some evidence of toler a tion to ward the nationalities. This was motivated by the practical realization that knowledge of the area and familiarity with the local conditions would facilitate the take-over. Thus, the Romanian representatives of the area were most suit able to man age its af fairs. There was also a tactical consider ation for such a move. The yet un signed peace treaty would most likely be the more ad van ta geous for the Romanian in terests if the Entente decision makers were favorably impressed by the way the take-over was han dled.

The moment the borders were determined in the Palace of Trianon, every thing took on a different color ation. There was no fur ther need for dissimulation. The liberal, democratizing trends and considerations were swept aside by the Regatmajority. Complete incorporation began and remained in effect, even though there would always be in eradicable

differences be tween Transylvania and Old Ro ma nia which would require a different ap proach and a different so lution.

"Be tween the two world wars, Ro ma nia was a back ward, agrar ian country. This is well il lus trated by the fact that in 1930, 78.7 % of its ac tive population worked in agricul ture, and only 6.7 % in in dus try. In agricul ture dwarf-holdings and small farms pre dom i nated, and after the land reform, which was implemented in 1921, their preponderance increased. In industry and commerce, the large proportion of small enterprises was conspicuous. Oil extraction and coal mining to gether with iron and steel production characterized economic development in the longer run, as did, to some extent, the development of machine-tool in dus try. Be sides Romanian capital, French, Belgian, German, and to a lesser degree, in Transylvania, Hungarian capital had a stake in the larger in dus trial enterprises, as well as in banks.

"As was typ i cal in East ern Eu rope at this time, Ro ma nia's so cial structure bore the marks of eco nomic un der de vel op ment. This meant that the peasantry constituted the majority of the population, and broad sec tions of it lived in tra di tional, back ward cir cum stances; stan dards of living were ex traor di narily low. The work ing class, which was com par a tively un de vel oped, lived in a geo graph i cally limited area, and was concentrated in only a few branches of in dus try. Small busi ness men, small traders and white-collar work ers made up the equiv a lent of the bourgeoi sie. The state was directed by rep re sen ta tives of big busi ness and by the large land own ers". (Béla Köpeczi)

The fairly extensive 1921 land reform—initiated after a war and among a population suffering from severe poverty in spite of the increase in the size of the country—was a his torical necessity. This was a fact that was recognized by the Romanian leader ship, while it was ignored by the Hungarian elite. Its results varied on a regional basis. In the Regat it improved the general structure of land owner ship while in Transylvania it resulted in a shift be tween the land owned by a majority group and the land held by the members of the minority nationalities. There is no question as to who benefited. It did not exclude, how ever, all Hungarian

ans and other national ities from ac quiring land. The loss of the jointly owned forests and pastures was a particularly severe blow since they played a major role in the eco nomic life of the Székelycommunities.

In the strongly con ser va tive Ro ma nian lead er ship, the pro mot ers of an autarchic eco nomic evo lu tion set the tone. This path was par tially jus tified by the fact that the new Romania was almost completely surrounded by coun tries—So viet Rus sia, Bul garia and Hun gary—who all lost territory to it. At that time only the narrow Czecho slovak-Romanian bor der was a "friendly" one. Against autar chy, there was the pos si bil ity of an in ter na tion ally protected mar i time and Danubian shipping industry. Its expenses were largely covered by the increasing production of oil in the eastern foothills of the Carpathians, which made Romania the world's fifth largest producer in the early 1930s. (The autarchic trend was continued in the Romanian "So cial ist" economic developments after 1945, just as the industrial-armament program of Kálmán Darányi in Hun gary, the so-called Győr Pro gram was fully re al ized only much later, during the fe ver ish Rákosi-Gerőin dus trialization).

Ro ma nian in dus trial de vel op ments at this time—con trary to the events af ter 1945—took place al most ex clu sively in the Regat, in spite of the fact that the avail able man power in this area was much less skilled when com pared to the Transylvanian one. The new Romanian in dustry had an effect on the de mog raphy of the country and led to migrations. Between 1918 and 1923 about 200 thou sand Transylvanian Hungarians fled to the mother country—mostly officials and intellectuals—the new mi gra tion to ward the east was trig gered by the de mand for work ers in the industries of Old Romania. As a consequence of this two-way migration, thou sands of the es cap ees to Hungary lived in great poverty, in old rail road cars on the sid ings of rail way freight de pots, while Bucha rest be came a city with one of the world's larg est Hungarian popula tions. Many Transylvanian Hungarians, while preserving their original homes, commuted to temporary or per manent jobs in Old Romania, mostly in con struction work and in in dustry. The emigration to America, in ter rupted by the war, was also re sumed.

The econ omy of cer tain regions, small areas or cities, sen sitively documented the ab sur dity of the new bor ders. While in the south and in the north the incorporation of Hungarian national blocks may be explained, to some extent, by geographic and economic (rivers and railroads) circumstances, the new western Hungarian-Romanian border was most id io syn cratic and most eco nomically damaging. Nagyvárad, for example, was only a few kilometers from the new border and its population—at that time still predominantly Hungarian—was devastated by the loss of its natural economic and commercial base in the Great Plains. If Trianon had not paralyzed the growth of this city, it would have rapidly be come the second largest Hungarian city after Buda pest. Its development after 1945 was purely artificial, and even to day it can barely subsist on the resources of its for mer area. This was and is to the great detriment of both Hungarian and Roma nian economy.

Even though the loss in man power after 1918 was substantial, this was not the real trag edy of the Transylvanian Hungarians. It was the fate of those who remained behind. The changes were dramatic. The Transylvania Hun gar ian so ci ety and its ev ery class, level and group had be come a mi nor ity in the area that for a thou sand years it called home. It had to learn the miseries of this fact. The lovely promises of Gyulafehérvár dis ap peared. It was of no great ben e fit that a large part of its elite re mained ob sti nately faith ful and did not take ad van tage of the available and, for it, very promising opportunities of emigration. The literary life was rich and manifold. Periodical publications [Pásztortüz (Camp fire), Erdélyi Helikon (Transylvanian Helicon), and the left-wing Korunk (Our Times)] organized around the Erdélyi Szépmives Céh (Transylvanian Crafts men's Guild) which was able to dis trib ute the best works of the Transylvanian authors, in Hungary, in sizable editions. There was also a slowly developing, gently naive Transylvanian spirit, concerning the exemplary spiritual role of the Transylvanian Hungarians.

The ongoing Romanization, which they later used, con trary to all evidence, as justification for the declaration of a national state took many forms. It granted economic advantages and in creased employment for

of fi cials from the Regat, who poured in to fill the va can cies left by the with drawal of the Hungarians but, most significantly, the major emphasis was placed on the use of the Romanian language, both in of ficial and personal communications and on the complete restructuring and rearranging of the schools and of the ed u cational process.

Con sidering the lat ter, it seems ap pro pri ate to rec og nize the dil i gence and the rate with which, in the frame work of the re vi tal ized ed u ca tional sys tem, the Romanians de vel oped their own, new of fi cials and in tel lectuals. The strength en ing of pub lic ed u ca tion ob vi ously also served to re place the teaching of the Hun gar ian lan guage, or to relegate it to religious instruction. This, incidentally, also had the effect of tying the Transylvanian nation ali ties much more tightly to their Church and to its institutions—contrary to the secularization of the last one-hundred years. This action-reaction was fur ther emphasized by the strong support that the two great national Romanian Churches, but particularly the Greek Or tho dox, acting almost as a rec og nized state religion, gave to the national and nation alist en deav ors of their country.

During the 1920s and 1930s the "mu ti lated" Hun gary blamed Trianon for all eco nomic and so cial prob lems and trou bles. These in cluded the loss of territory, of for ests and of most sources of raw ma terials. These were in deed griev ous losses. Yet in the so spec tac u larly en larged Ro mania, the eco nomic con cerns and the so cial tensions were no less. There were a series of peasant movements—sometimes bloody—in both Transylvania and Old Ro mania. And there were labor un rest and resistance against the greedy domestic and for eign rob ber capitalism. It is understandable that among the doubly disfranchised—economically and as minorities—there was a strong, radical left wing. In the Transylvanian and in the entire Ro manian Communist movement there were numer ous Hungarians and Jews who considered them selves Hungarians. This had serious effects after 1945...

The rebellious social dissatisfaction assuredly did not limit itself to a move to the left. It also gave am mu ni tion to the right wing, which came naturally to the ruling classes, traditionally influenced by a nationalist

public sentiment. The main bat tle in the Romanian political arena was between the various factions of the right wing. Some of them were Populists, others relied heavily on the elite.

It is not sur prising that the world-wide eco nomic de pres sion hit Ro mania's un de vel oped econ omy par tic u larly hard. This also showed the limi ta tions im posed by autar chy. The great re ces sion came at a time when the Iron Guard, supported by the Orthodox clergy and many of the university students, was already ready and waiting. This movement started in Moldavia and would very soon have a ma jor ef fect on all of Romania. This bloody movement, responsible for political murders and for anti-Semitic pogroms (it tried to re cruit even in Transylvania with vague promises of autonomy), showed peculiar similarities with and differ ences from its European counterparts. Both its overtand covert activities were more extensive than those of the Hungarian extreme right, the Ar row Cross. While the lat ter got a tragic and crim i nal star ring role "only" in the last act of the Hun gar ian trag edy in 1944-45, the Iron Guards were attacked first in 1930 and then again in 1941 and—sim i larly to the elim i na tion of the SA lead er ship in Ger many in 1934—there were two "Nights of the Long Knives" in which other right wing Romanian groups, brutally and bloodily tried to do away with them.

In these tur bu lent ex tremes of Ro ma nian public life, the political freedom of move ment for the Hungarians in Transylvania was very limited. Even with the tightly controlled educational system, they could still serve the preser vation of their nation ality. Hungarian culture and science were supported by in stitutions that came and went but were maintained more effectively by the most talented writers, artists and scientists who gained substantial recognition both in Transylvania and in Hungary. The at tempts to form political parties on a nation ality basis were generally feeble and in 1938, all parties in Romania were disbanded and the multi-party system was replaced by a corporate form of statism.

The sit u a tion of the Ger man na tion al i ties in Transylvania, the Sax ons and, fur ther south in the , the Swabians was some what more fa vor able. Ever since Gyulafehérvár they resigned themselves to the Romanian conquest. Their *Lebensraum*, or "living space", was far removed from that of their Great Ger man home land, and could hardly be ex pected to form a union with it. Also, Romania exchanged its former French-English ori en ta tion with a Ger man one. This nat u rally agreed with Hit ler's de sires to ex ert a tighter con trol over Ro ma nian oil.

The Transylvanian Germans, who were generally receptive to the Hitlerian ideas, be came the favorites of the Romanian leader ship, since the Romanians viewed their re la tion ship with this group as the touchstone of their fu ture re la tion ship with the Third Reich. Yet, the above could hardly explain the dramatic twists and turns that took place in this region in 1940. The out break of World War II, put a land mine under ev ery thing that seemed set tled "in per pe tuity" by the Pari sian peace trea ties. A num ber of Eu ro pean bor ders were moved. Hun gary, which re ceived a sig nif i cant area from Slovakia un der the First Vi enna Agreement in the fall of 1938 and which, after Czecho slo va kia's de struction by Hitler, occupied the Kárpátalja (today's Carpatho-Ukraine)—and re-established a common bor der with Poland—there after in creasingly looked toward Transylvania. The Horthy regime, whose pri mary purpose, since the moment of its in ception, was the territorial revision of the Trianon treaty, would not have been true to it self if it did not prepare for this—with military forces, if neces sary.

Hitler, however, needed Hungarian wheat, meat, aluminum and the Transdanubian oil just as much as he needed the Ploesti oil. Pál Teleki, serv ing his sec ond term as Prime Min is ter, was concerned about Hungary gain ing back the ter ri to ries taken away by Trianon, purely by the grace of Ger many. The Hungarian-Romanian revisionary conference, held in Turnu-Severin in the sum mer of 1940, and in stigated solely by Ger many, ended in complete fail ure. It was the Sec ond Vienna Agreement, engineered by a German-Italian "tribunal" that gave northern Transylvania, i.e. the northern and eastern parts of Greater Transylvania, back to Hungary. At the same time, Roma nia was made to

give up about 50 thou sand square ki lo me ters in the north to the So viet Un ion. In the south, it had to yield 7,000 square ki lo me ters to Bul garia and the area it had to cede to Hun gary en com passed an other 44 thousand square ki lo me ters. This was truly a Ro ma nian Trianon. It was that, even though, this time it was a new country and not a thousand-year-old king dom that was be ing dis mem bered by its neigh bors, under the authority granted by for eign great pow ers. The rul ing king, Charles II, was de posed, and was re placed by his son, Mi chael I.

There are as many estimates about the population and its ethnic composition of the area returned to Hungary as there are sources for same. Reasonably accurate estimates can be made only prospectively from the 1930 Romanian census and, retrospectively from the 1941 Hungarian one. We can be certain, how ever, that of a population on one million, the Romanians amounted to more than 40%, while in the part retained by Romania, they represented only 60% which also in cluded the Germannationalities, the majority of whom live in that area.

The new borders, drawn up by the Second Vienna Agreement, were not satisfactory to either party, and were replete with economic and transportational absurdities. Thus, the almost totally Hungarian Székelyföld could not be reached from Hungary by rail. One of the explanations of these absurdities was that the division of the territory, largely determined by the Germans—Ciano, the Italian For eign Minister was only a bit-player in the negotiations—had a hid den agenda item. Based primarily on the Transylvanian Saxons and on the Serbs in the Banat, the Germans wanted to control an economic belt in this area, which was significant in it self and also represented a bridge to ward the Ploesti oil fields and Bu cha rest. In this, the Germans also re lied on the chain of south ern Transdanubian and east ern Great Plains German villages.

Romania could not resist the Vienna decisions. During the previous weeks the Hungarian army, although poorly equipped, was ready to fight. It now clum sily completed the task of oc cupying the region, welcomed enthusiastically by the Hungarian population. It encountered no

resistance. The stories about confrontations and bloodletting in Transylvania, pub lished much later, but cited fre quently even to day, are rumors and fabrications.

The enthusiasm cooled off rapidly. Tensions developed between the Hun gar i ans who re mained in place and held out during the Ro ma nian oc cu pa tion, and who now expected to play a leading role, and the military lead ers and ad min is tra tors dis patched to Transylvania from Hungary. Prime Minister Pál Teleki, had very little success with his confidential in structions in which he ad vised moder a tion in the treatment of the Romanians who sud denly again be came a mi nor ity na tionality from pre viously having been a nation. The new Hungarian regime in Transylvania, or rather north ern Transylvania, was most ef fec tive in using its local people in destroying the Communistor ganizations. The less prominent leftists who managed to escape imprisonment quickly found themselves serving in labor battalions, undermilitary direction, together with several thousand Romanians. Large population migrations took place among both Hungarians and Romanians, between northern and southern Transylvania. The Hungarian wartime boom and the resulting serious demands for workers resulted in that many were put to work in the Csepel fac to ries, first as vol un teers and later, an other group, under compulsion. At the same time the sit u a tion of the ap proximately half million Hungarians in south ern Transylvania, took a marked turn for the worse. (This num ber rep re sented about 15% of the lo cal pop u la tion, with an other 15% being Germans).

One cer tainly could and should write the his tory of the next four years in Transylvania. The fur ther course of World War II how ever, and the diver gent politics of Hun gary and Ro ma nia have made this, at best, an episode without any foreseeable influence on the future. While both the claim ants for Transylvania, Hun gary and Ro ma nia en tered the war on Hit ler's—and each other's—side, they did this largely to ob tain and keep Transylvania. Through overt and co vert chan nels, both coun tries received word from the increasingly victorious Allied Powers that at the end of the war, Transylvania would be awarded to the one who would wrest it away from Ger many. This was con firmed by the So viet

Union via the Hungarian Communistemigrés in Mos cow, be cause of or in spite of the fact that the Soviet Union itself had territorial demands against Romania. This had now become the position of the west ern Powers as well who con ceded that they could not avoid or prevent East ern Cen tral Europe from fall ing un der So viet in flu ence.

When at the end of Au gust, 1944 Ro ma nia which had fought on Hitler's side with con sider able forces, first asked for an armistice and then, two days later, de clared war on Ger many, the fate of Transylvania was once again de cided. There was no way back. The Ro ma nian army was successfully turned around and the country moved from the rank of the losers to the camp of the winners. Their only gain was northern Transylvania. She did not regain the other territories lost in 1941, and this is a griev ance to Ro ma nia to this day.

The Hungarian army, having suffered very heavy losses between the mill stones of the So viet front, had tried, as best it could to strengthen the crest line of the Carpathians, which in the north and east constituted the bor ders of Hungary. The ter rain lent it self very well for this purpose. Yet, the rapidly moving So viet troops, in cluding their new allies, used the passes of the Southern Carpathiansto enter Transylvania. The Hungarian army was unsuccessful in preventing this, in spite of counter-attacks, first from the Kolozsvár area and then, with German assistance, from the southern Great Plains, in the direction Arad-Temesvár. Every attempt collapsed in days or weeks. The fight shifted very shortly to the central portion of the Hungarian Great Plains, where in the region of Debrecen—already to the west of Transylvania—the Debrecen Tank Bat tle was fought. This is an almost forgot tenin ci dent of World War II, al though considering the forces in volved, it was a very major en gage ment.

Thus, when after much hesitation, Regent Nicholas Horthy's clumsy and weak ar mi stice of fort was made on Oc to ber 15, 1944 and the Hungarian Arrow Cross (Fascists) assumed power, most of northern Transylvania was al ready in So viet and Ro ma nian hands. The war rolled on blood ily to ward the west. Be hind it, first in the Székelyföld and then

in all of north ern Transylvania, the Roma nian ad min is tration was being organized. This did not prevent the atrocities, the cruel, bloody, anti-Hungarian po groms in sev eral set tle ments of the Székelyföld and in the area of Kolozsvár. Such events were com mon be hind the fronts during periods of transition. The culprits were the Maniu Guardists who were the suc ces sors of the Iron Guard. Iuliu Maniu (1873-?1951) was twice Prime Min is ter, the leader of the Na tional Peas ant Party, one of the lead ers of the lib eral wing of the Ro ma nian right. He was not responsible for the murder and persecution of the Hungarians perpetrated under his name, but he did not distance himself from them either. The sit u a tion de te ri o rated to the point where the So viet military com mand, not ex actly cel e brated for its sen si tiv ity, took over the admin is tration of northern Transylvania for four months—nominally under the aus pices of the four power Allied Con trol Com mis sion. "These four transitional months represented a strange historic moment: The life of northern Transylvania, its reconstruction and its political life, were organized and directed by Romanian and Hungarian Communists. The lat ter had their power base in the lo cal and county or ga ni zations of the Hungarian Popular Association. In both Hungary and Ro ma nia, the Com mu nists at this time were just be gin ning the strug gle to strengthen their po si tion." (Béla Köpeczi).

There were a few Hungarians, who had hopes during these four months that not everything had been decided yet. It was. There are Romanians who be lieve that this early Com mu nist "take-over"—triggered by the activities of the Maniu Guardists—was in stituted by the Hungarian Com mu nists who were later considered as the man agers of the subsequent Sovietorien tation. A Romanian administration was set up again, and Petru Groza (1884-1958) formed a government in Bucha rest. He did this among quasi civil war conditions in Romanian politics and with the support of the Soviet Union which, in Romania, was even more manipulative than in the other countries occupied by it. Groza's principal promises were rapid land reform and Romanian control over the admin is tration of northern Transylvania.

Grozare mains a con tro ver sial fig ure. He com pleted some of his studies in Bu da pest, spoke Hun gar ian well and was very fond of the al most leg end ary Hun gar ian poet of the turn of the cen tury, Endre Ady. He promised in very lib eral speeches that the Ro ma nian-Hungarian re lation ships would be placed on a new basis. In spite of these nice—and, per haps, hon estly meant—words, under his rule as Prime Min is ter the mass in tern ments, con fis cations, and deprivations of civil rights of the Hungarians continued "with out in terference". The "legal frame work" for these action was the concept that virtually the entire German minor ity and a large number of Hungarian adult males were considered to be war crim i nals and ene mies of the Romanian people and of the Romanian state.

Confronted with these harsh realities, Groza's proposals for regional federations, customs un ions and the "spiritualization" of the bor ders, were worth very lit tle. It is even possible that the appealing declarations and propos als had no real in tent be hind them and were made only to serve as a base for the peace treaties and to as sure favor able recognition of Romania by the West.

At the end of De cem ber 1947, we had the odd sit u a tion where a country, which came un der So viet in flu ence at the end of World War II, was still a king dom. Petru Groza and the Communist Gheorghiu Dej (1901-1965), a lo cal prod uct and not a Mos cow emi gre, put an end to this, forcing King Mi chael to ab di cate and to leave the country. The Romanian People's Republic was proclaimed. This then for mally prepared the ground for Romania to be come in tegrated into the increasingly homogenized group of So viet satellites. At this time it was the integration, which was impressive. Later a relative separation be comes prominent. Even later this was viewed as a meritorious event.

At the same time, Hungary, as a consequence of the internal power struggle of the Communists, increasingly leaned toward the Moscow emigré group, the first leader of the Romanian Communists, Gheorghiu-Dej, gained his position pri marily at the expense of the former Mus co vite com rades and non-Romanian ri vals. About the mid dle

of 1952 he added the post of Prime Min is ter to that of First Sec re tary, while Groza was "kicked up stairs" and was given the pres i dency of the Parliament—alargely ceremonial position.

When we consider those massive, but largely misdirected economic, cultural and political changes which convulsed, with minorvariations, all the "Socialist" countries, they really facilitated the segregational and/or integrational nationality policies which persist with some ups and downs in Romania to this day. Even under the extreme rule of Gheorghiu-Dej, there were in di ca tions that some of the is sues could be normalized. Namely, there were provisions in the new constitution, which was mod eled after the So viet one, but which contained certain na tion ality rights. Ac cord ingly, the area of the for mer regions of Csík, Erdőszentgyörgy, Gyergyószentmiklós, Kézdivásárhely, Maroshévíz, Marosvásárhely, Régen, Sepsiszentgyörgy and Székelyudvarhely were united into the Hungarian Autonomous Province. "The Hungarian Autonomous Province (MAT) en compassed the largest area in habited by Hun gar i ans, it rep re sented only about one third of the en tire Hungarian population of Romania. According to the 1956 cen sus the MAT had a to tal pop u la tion of 731,387 of which 77.3% (565,510) were Hungarians and 20.1% (146,830) were Romanians. The proclaimed autonomy be came to tally illu sory, since the Pro vin cial Stat utes un der which the laws were to be ad min is tered, were never en acted." (Andrea R. Süle)

MAT was finally disbanded in 1968, just at the time when otherwise, transiently perhaps, some nationality rights and opportunities beckoned. Let us keep, how ever, to the time se quence. The forced in dus trial iza tion was no lon ger limited to the Regat and reached Transylvania, re sulting in the in flux of large num bers of Romanian work ers. In the meantime the still better educated and more skillful Hungarian—and German—work ers could not be spared. For the higher positions, however, the non-Romanians had a much better chance if they moved beyond the Carpathians and away from the land of their co-nationals.

After all the es capes to Hun gary after 1945, Transylvania's man power loss was fur ther aggra vated by a significant move to the Regat. An other,

demographically even more naturalphenomenon, was the increase of mixed marriages. In these cases it was usually the Hungarian spouse who wanted the chil dren to be raised as Romanians to spare them the miseries of be longing to a minority nationality. In the "So cialist" countries every thing was done according to "The Plan". It can be considered to be according to a plan, (without quotation marks), that in Transylvania the Romanians were favored not only in in dustry, but also in educational, hospital and of fice positions, while the new minority intellectuals were enticed to move to Romanian areas with of fers of employ ment or were forcibly moved to such areas. It was frequently easier to be a Hungarian in Bu charest than in Kolozsvár or in Nagyvárad.

It was the practice in Hun gary at this time that the en listed army person nel was sta tioned as far away from their homes as pos si ble. In Romania, this same practice resulted in Hungarian boys serving in the Regat, or in the Dan ube delta—a huge con cen tra tion camp—while the Transylvanian bar racks were filled to the raf ters with Roma nian en listed personnel.

In public education, the principal is sue was the preser vation of the national lan guage in in struction in the face of deter mined efforts to curtail this. Highlighting higher education, the Transylvanian Hungarian population, by virtue of its numbers, would be entitled to several universities and a number of disciplines and professions could be taught rationally. Yet in 1959 the only Hungarian University, in Kolozsvár, established in 1872 and named after the Bolyais, was coerced into a merger, which terminated its separate existence. They also gradually limited, or did not appropriately expand Hungarian professional education, and thus forced the Hungarians, trying to better them selves, into the industrial arena, already over-developed according to Romanian and "Socialist" principles.

In spite of all this, there was a considerable increase in Hungarian books and other publications, both in Transylvania and in all of Ro mania. As far as content was concerned, however, this was subjected to and crip pled by such a rigid cen sor ship as we had never experienced in

Hungary. It was part of the whole picture that the intelligentsia—primarily the authors—whom they allowed to speak, were kept ame nable by relatively generous honoraria. The Transylvanian Hungarian writers, those who published, lived at a much higher economic standard than their counterparts in Hungary. What was more important, however, was the trag edy of the silenced, mal adjusted and emotion ally crip pled creative artists. The emigration, which continues to this day, is a greater loss to Transylvania—in the natural course of events—than it is a gain to the host country, even though the latter is not in significant.

After Sta lin's death in 1953, the imposition of uniformity on the Communist Camp stopped, and then was cautiously reversed. In the case of Romania, this was manifested in the establishment of an individual path. that—surprisingly—led to the Romanization of the country's foreign policy, a matter usually tightly controlled by the So viet lead ership. The internal policies remained insensitive toward internal demands.

It was also as ton ish ing to what de gree the So viet Union toler ated Roma nia following its own path. For us it is of particular significance that while in 1956 the armored units sent to crush the Hungarian revolt came to Bu da pest from Temesvár, in 1958, Roma nia "took in" the deported Imre Nagy and his associates after the Yugoslav betrayal. In 1958 the So viet troops were with drawn from Roma nia. This suggests that the So viet leader ship was hardly concerned about a Roma nian turn around after this gesture. It also suggests that the Roma nian leader ship had self-confidence, and be lieved that it could main tain its rule with out the as sis tance of So viet bay onets. It proved this for three additional decades, but at the cost of an ever increasing and increasingly repressive dictatorship.

We now come to the penultimate chapter of our history. After Gheorghiu-Dej's death in March 1965, power was assumed by the 47-year-old Nicolae Ceausescu, who in the So viet type gerontocratic hier ar chy was con sid ered a young ster. Even though he acted like a modest and loyal spear-carrier during the life of his for mer chief, he soon

found a way of making his predeces sor and his group responsible for all the ills of the coun try. The merry-go-round of per son nel changes that char ac terized his en tire rule be gan at this time, and be came in creasingly id io syn cratic. In the be gin ning he was forced to try to find some common ground with the nationalities and the first few years of his rule brought a certain moder ation. Later on, he became the typical expression of traditional Romanian nationalism. He in scribed him self among the "great build ers" of his tory. In this he caused more harm than just the irrational use of the natural resources or the crippling effects of forced la bor on hun dreds of thou sands of hu man be ings. In his rad i cal building program in Bucharest, whole historically and artistically impor tant quar ters were leveled and even the his toric buildings of the still favored Or tho dox Church were de mol ished. The same megalomanic building and so cietal restructuring drive targeted hundreds of small settlements in Transylvania for destruction. It did, or should have, become necessary for the population to move to the futuristic urban developments.

It was at this time that a paradoxicalad vantage was fatally threat ened. With the exception of the Székelyföld, the least agriculturally productive parts of Transylvania were spared the imposition of collective farming or ganizations. In spite of the inclement climate, the poor soil and the manifold administrative restrictions, the private agricultural enter prise, left to its own devices in these areas, produced relative prosperity. Fortunately, the destruction of villages began only at the very end of the maniacal dictator's life. Its tragic potential was demonstrated, but was not carried to completion.

Among the demographic and migratory processes affecting Romania and, quite particularly Transylvania, there was one chapter in Ceausescu's "na tion ality policy" that was highly "success ful". Namely, the way he per mit ted the Romanian Jews and the Germanna tion als to emigrate in return for a substantial head tax. This was a true "ethnic cleans ing" which also showed a very large financial profit. While it is obviously a highly question able af fair, per haps it is a rational decision on the part of Is rael and Germany to pay the price which, dis guised as

com pen sa tion for the cost of ed u ca tion and care, was in fact a ran som for the peo ple who had be come hos tages. The num ber of peo ple thus re deemed was very large. Since many of the Jews and most of the Germans (Saxons and Swabians) who were allowed to leave the country, came from Transylvania, this had a marked ef fect on the eth nic com position of the region. The multi-nationality was de creased and the Romanian-Hungarian duality was strengthened. The third ethnic group, which just recently be gan to consider them selves a separate nationality, the Gypsies, complicated the sit u a tion, particularly since their numbers were growing rapidly. The Romanian Gypsy problem was even more serious and difficult in the Regat.

West ern coun tries did not know or did not wish to no tice that the Roma nian af fairs were be coming in creasingly bizarre and would be worthwhile subjects for a Kafka or an Or well. When during the 1970s, as part of the Roma nian cultural revolution, the Ceausescure gime is sued or dinances for the better protection of the national treasures, they did not realize that this would entail the "nationalization" of such irreplace able museum and archival treasures, which under rigid centralized control would be lost forever to scientific in quiry. Particularly, if they did not seem to be supportive of the various his toric, demographic and other the ories which were raised to the level of Roma nian political creeds.

When they for bade that a cit i zen of a for eign coun try stay over night in a pri vate home—this projected a ban of a for eigner even en tering such a home—and fur ther de manded a de tailed ac counting of any con tact with a foreign national, this made it impossible for the minorities to have di rect con tact with family members from abroad. It was a clear violation of human rights and was even made worse by class if ying such con tacts as a seditious act and trea son. In practice these dread ful or dinances were never rigidly en forced, but their existence in this century in Europe is almost unimagin able. Similar tendencies were shown only in Albania.

Yet, Ro ma nia was con sis tently thought of by the West as the Cinderella of the East ern block. The rea son for this can be found in the fact that

Romania resisted Soviet demands in some areas and did not always conform to the pattern of Sat el lite be havior. It did not break dip lo matic re la tions with China and with Is rael and its ath letes partic i pated in the Los An geles Olympic games. Even more importantly, in 1968 it vig orously op posed the War saw Pact Nations' in tervention against Prague. It did this under the principle of national sovereignty and non-interference into the internal affairs of an other country. In fact, it did these things to be able to preserve its own internal dictator ship, free from for eign threats and interference. This, according to the ideas and il lu sions of the Ceausescu clan prevented the Czecho slova kian type of détente, which was a major night mare in Romania. They were wrong. But not en tirely wrong.

Ceausescu's megalomania kept the coun try from fall ing into debt, because every bur den was piled onto the back of the peoples of Romania. Eu rope of the 1980s can not even imag ine the de pri va tions—food rationing, intermittent power outages, the limitations of heating fuel causing freezing home temper a tures—that they had to suffer for years on end. The cup of suffering over flowed in 1989, al though the background and the de tails of the events taking place at the end of that year are still shrouded in mys tery. It seems likely that one part of the Ro manian leadership was getting ready for just such an event. This group not ing the cracks in the East ern block and be ing con cerned about the increasingly important Western attitudes began to view the activities and ab sur dities of the dynastic Ceausescu clan and its Prae to rian Guard as a major bur den. Their prep a rations for a power take-over were disturbed, but their activities were accelerated by the popularmovement de veloping on be half of László Tőkés, the Hun gar ian Re formed Minis ter of Temesvár, who was sen tenced to dis missal from his con gre gation for criticizing the system. The brutal police-military activities di rected against this move ment turned out to have been ex ag ger ated by the re ports gen er ated during the rapidly evolving events. There is no doubt, how ever, that at Christ mas in Temesvár the seeds of a popular re bel lion were ger mi nating. Such a pop u lar up rising was fully justified by the crimes committed against the Hungarian minority and against the people of Ro ma nia in general. The counter at tack of the regime,

which was already under way threatened the outbreak of a civil war. Yet, the events took a dif fer ent turn.

For rea sons not en tirely clear, within days and even hours, the cen ter of gravity of the events was transferred from Temesvár to Bucharest, which for this and other rea sons also de vel oped a rev o lu tion ary at mosphere. The events, un der way, took a dif fer ent di rec tion and there was also a shift in emphasis. This was further influenced by the fact that Ceausescu, flying back from abroad completely mis un der stood the situation and acted ac cordingly. Every thing came to gether and resulted in an almost bloodless, very peculiar coup d'état. A coup d'état, whose only bloody and brutal act was the summary court-martial of the Ceausescu couple and their im me di ate execution, shown on tele vision. This had a shock ing effect that may well have been re spon si ble for prevent ing a civil war.

The De cem ber 1898 coup d'état re sulted in ef fect in an ob vi ous change of the sys tem, even though this was al most cer tainly not the origi nal intent but was mandated by the pressures of the day. Was it the intent? Per haps? Yet, that it turned out in this way was due pri mar ily to events that took place out side Ro ma nia and to geopolitical and world po litical fac tors that in flu enced the in sti ga tors of the coup d'état.

The evolving multi-party sys tem and the new parlia men tary frame work made it possible for parties to develop along the lines of national ities and eth nicity. This in creased free dom to the point where just if fied ethnic en deav ors got mixed up with party politics. At the same time the activities of the parties serving minority ethnic in terests are impeded by the phalanx of Romanian parties who may differ from each other in some areas but who are as one in their national is tic sentiments.

It must be empha sized that the terror of the Ceausescu era, its in tel lectual-ideologic, political and economic absurdities were not limited to the Hungarians and to other minorities. This regime, ex treme even in the East ern block, was a Romanian national tragedy. Since the various changes have taken place, the minority concerns have come out into the

open but have not been re solved. Even where the con di tions have improved there is the continuous threat of the fundamentalist Greater Ro ma nia ide als. Un der this head ing there is a real pos si bil ity of fur ther restrictions of the minorities.

During the mid 1980s, and particularly since 1989, further tens of thousands have left, are leaving, or es cap ing from Transylvania. They are going to Hungary or further to the West to emigrate or, at least, for tem po rary work. Their re cep tion in the mother coun try is am big u ous. The pop u la tion of Hun gary has been de creas ing due to high mor tal ity com bined with a low birth rate. Thus, the "blood trans fu sion" cre ated by the im mi grants should be wel come. They would be wel come also if the Transylvanian im mi grants or mi grant work ers were to take jobs that are left va cant by the na tive Hungarians, in spite of the very high rate of unemployment. This trend, incidentally, is not new. With some interrup tions, it has been go ing on since the 1920s. The mi gra tion, if viewed from the per spec tive of Transylvania, is alarming since it fur ther erodes the number of Hungarians in Transylvania. There are also consider able num bers of Romanians who are pleased to work, or would like to work and set tle in Hungary. This suggests that the migra tion is largely an economic mat ter and only sec ond arily due to na tion ality is sues.

In con clu sion: We must add some thing to this his tory of Transylvania which had been approached intentionally from a Hungarian point of view. Namely, the his tory of Ro ma nia for the past 100-150 years is undoubtedly a success story. For us, its most significant component is that it moved Transylvania be youd the territory of Hungary. It is a fas cinating ex ample of the creation of a national state, in the most recent times, taking full advantage of opportunities and not necessarily ensuing from his torical circum stances or precedents. A thou sand years from now Roma nia may recall these times in the same way that we think back to the conquest by Árpád and the founding of the country by St. Stephen. It is a bit terand in sol u ble problem for the Hungarians that this success ful creation of a country had to take place in opposition to us and largely at our expense. It remains only a hope to day what the poet At tila József, who was partly of Roma nian extraction, wrote in 1936:

## The bat tles fought by our an ces tors Are trans muted into peace by Re Mem Brance

And last but not least, while the limitations in space prevent me from including the extensive bibliography of my source materials, I must emphasize the outstanding as sistance I have received from *The History of Transylvania*, edited by Béla Köpeczi and published in Hungarian by the Akadémia Kiadó in Buda pest.

## **Geographic Names, Then and Now**

Abrudbánya Abrud, Romania

Agyagfalva Lutiþ

Alparét Olpret, Romania

Alsó (Lower) - Tatárlaka Tartaria, Romania
Arad Arad, Romania
Bábolna, near Alparét Bobilna, Romania
Balázsfalva Dabaca, Romania
Barcaság, the Bárca, Czech Republic

Berettyó Barcau, Romania Bihar Bihor, Romania

Bihar Moun tains Bihor Moun tains, Romania

Biharvár Biharia, Romania
Brassó Brasov, Romania
Csanádvár Cenad, Romania
Dés Dej, Romania
Déva Deva, Romania

Doboka County (for Mer) Dabaca, Romania

Erdőszentgyörgy Singeorgiu de Padure
Etelköz area now in the Ukraine
Galambóc Golubac, Yugoslavia
Gyergyószentmiklós Gheorghieni , Romania
Gyulafehérvár Alba Iulia, Romania
Háromszék Trei Scaune, Romania

Karlóca Sremski Karlovci , Yugoslavia Kézdivásárhely Tirgu-Secuiesc, Romania Kolozsvár Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Lajta Leitha, Austria

Liptószentmiklós Liptovski Svaty Mikulas , Slovakia

Madéfalva Siculeni , Romania Maros Mures , Romania Maroshévíz Торць

Marosvásárhely TIRGU MURES, ROMANIA

Nagyvárad, Várad Oradea, Romania Nándorfehérvár Belgrade, Yugoslavia

Papolc Рараць

Pozsony Bratislava, Slovakia

Sepsiszentgyörgy Sfintu Gheorghe, Romania

Szászfenes Floresti, Romania

Szeben Sibiu, Romania

Székelyföld Székelyland, an area of Transylvania

Szerémség Syrmien, Croatia

dis trict be tween the Dan ube and the Temes rivers, Romania Szörény, Szörénység

Temes river Romania

Темеѕкöz Area be tween the Temes and Dan ube in Roma Nia

Temesvár Timisoara, Romania

Odorheiu Secuiesc, Romania Udvarhely, Székelyudvarhely

Hunedoara, Romania Vajdahunyad

Várna Varna, Bulgaria

VERECKE PASS Ukraine

Zalatna Zlatna, Romania

Zimony Zemun, now part of Bel grade, Yu go sla via